

THE CONTRIBUTION OF PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS ON ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF RURAL STUDENTS IN THE INTERIOR SCHOOLS OF SABAH DIVISION

Getrude C. Ah Gang @ Grace ¹
Crispina Gregory K Han ²
Lawrence Alan Bansa ³

¹Senior Lecturer, Unit for Rural Education Research, University Malaysia Sabah (getrudec@ums.edu.my)

²Senior Lecturer, Unit for Rural Education Research, University Malaysia Sabah (crispina@ums.edu.my)

³Lecturer, University College, Sabah Foundation

Accepted date: 07-02-2019

Published date: 31-03-2019

To cite this document: Gang, G. C. A., Han, C. G. K., & Bansa, L. A. (2019). The Contribution of Psychological Factors on Academic Achievement of Rural Students in The Interior School of Sabah Division. *International Journal of Education, Psychology and Counseling*, 2(27), 76-90.

Abstract: This study examines the effects of three psychological factors (i.e., academic self-efficacy, psychological well-being & parental supports) on rural students' academic achievement. The study predicted that the three factors contributed to students' academic achievement. There were 1586 students from 21 rural schools in the interior division of Sabah involved in this study. A questionnaire with four parts was used to measure all variables. Part A measures the demographic variables (i.e., the current academic achievement, academic level, age, gender, and school); Part B measures the academic self-efficacy. Part C measures parental involvement in the students' academics, and Part D measures psychological well-being. Hierarchical regression analysis was used to analyse the data. Parental support in students' academic tasks contributed positively 1% on the variance of students' academic achievement. In Step 2, when the students' academic self-efficacy included, both factors (i.e., parental support & academic self-efficacy) contributed 5% on academic achievement. In Step 3, parental support, academic self-efficacy, and psychological well-being contributed positively 7% on academic achievement. The findings showed that parental support, students' academic self-efficacy, and psychological well-being can be good predictors to academic achievement of rural students. These findings provide positive insights to the relevant authorities, such as the schools department, Sabah Education Department, and the Parent-Teacher Associations, to create relevant academic programs which may give more opportunity for parents to get involved in any relevant academic activities at schools and which may level up the awareness among parents of their role in supporting their children's academic performance. Besides parental involvement, students' academic self-efficacy and psychological well-being also need to be taken into consideration by the relevant authorities in enhancing rural students' academic achievement.

Keywords: *Academic Self-efficacy, Psychological Well-being, Parental Supports*

Introduction

In today's academic world, academic achievement is one of the major concerns among parents, teachers and particularly, students. Academic achievement has been addressed from the educational and psychological point of view (Tabbodi, Rahgozar & Abadi., 2015). To achieve excellent academic results, students need to put more effort into increasing their competency and capability to understand the subject and skills to accomplish school homework and projects. In addition, parents' and teachers' supports may boost students' level of confidence in pursuing and achieving their academic goals and gain better results. Academic life, as we all know is full of challenges and excitement as well. With continuous support from parents, a positive learning environment and students' competency in dealing with the academic tasks, students may gain better academic results.

In regard to rural students in Sabah, some students might face challenges such as facing low academic self-efficacy and psychological well-being as well as lack of support from parents. These might cause a barrier for students to perform better academically. This is because, besides the preceding factors, rural students may also face other problems such as the school distance from home, lack of school facilities, and poor standard of living, which may reduce students' interest to go to school. Based on the experience of the researcher to a few interior places in Sabah, such as the Sulit village, Paitan, one student declared that the distance to school from home was quite far and she needed to spend a large sum of money daily, which is fairly expensive for the school children in that area. Her father was working as a farmer, and her mother was a housewife. Due to these factors, the student rarely went to school, and she tended to spend her time at home taking care of her siblings. In other cases, the school children in the interior must stay in boarding schools far away from their family because of the distance to school. Although there are many psychological factors that affect students' academic achievement, in this study, researchers only focus on three psychological factors (i.e., academic self-efficacy, psychological well-being, and parental support) which we assume may contribute to rural students' academic achievement as revealed in past studies.

Literature Review

Parental Supports

Parental support plays a major role in students' academic lives besides support from teachers (Jeffery, Lehr, Hache & Campbell, 1992). Siana, Lightbody, Stock, and Walsh's study (1998) revealed that Asian students rated parents and friends as more important in contributing to their academic success. Parental involvement in academics refers to how students perceived the level of parental involvement in supervising and monitoring their academic tasks. Parental involvement has been defined and measured in multiple ways, including the activities that parents engage in at home and at school and the positive attitudes parents have towards their children's education, school, and teachers (Epstein, 1996; Kohl, Lengua, & McMahon, 2000). Rural parents mostly engaged in invisible forms of parental involvement in their children's schools, such as attending parent-teacher meetings, school events, and parent-teacher associations. Past studies (e.g., Chohan & Khan, 2010; Mante, Awereh, & Kumea, 2015; Topor, Keane, Shelton & Calkins, 2010) revealed that children whose parents are more involved in their education have higher levels of academic performance compared to children

whose parents are involved to a lesser degree. Parental involvement in a children's education is consistently found to be positively associated with a children's academic performance (Topor et al., 2010). In contrast, Walton, Azizi and Zakaria's (2014) study revealed that there is no relationship between parental involvement and the academic achievement of aboriginal students in Seletar, Johor. We, however, believe that parental involvement in academics can be the best predictor for students' academic self-efficacy towards academic achievement.

In reality, some parents spend only limited time guiding and advising their children's academic tasks. This may be because they place more responsibility on teachers. As stated by Kong (2016), rural parents often place responsibility for their children's success in school on teachers and the students themselves, due to lack of education and poor living conditions. When parents have not been to school and remain illiterate and innumerate, the school cannot expect that they will understand educational aims or activities. The lack of parental support creates a major problem for schools and children (Chohan & Khan, 2010). Besides that, some parents feel unable to assist and supervise their children, such as in doing their homework and school projects, because they do not know how. This might be due to parents' academic level and their spending more time working independently as farmers, fishermen or carpenters to support their families' financial income. Another factor is some parents are unable to bear the cost of education. As stated by Chandra and Geetha (2009), that the main problem that contributes to the educational problems in rural areas is the poor standard of living and inability to bear the cost of education. This may increase the number of dropouts in schools.

Elliot (1987) stated that many rural students had expected to follow in their parents' footsteps by either taking over the farm or accepting blue-collar employment; and although they acknowledged this was no longer possible, they feel powerless and therefore unable to replace their expectations with more viable ones. Jeffery et al. (1992) stated that the rural situation presents unique challenges to the career decision-making process of young people. Unlike their urban counterparts, youth in rural and remote areas generally must leave home to attend post-secondary school. Lack of emotional support and financial support from parents may reduce students' interest to pursue their study. Besides the distance between home and school, this might be one of the reasons why the dropout rate in rural schools is very high. In addition, many rural parents also do not see value in education; they would rather their children work at their farms (Deborah, in Chong, 2017).

Based on the preceding argument, we, however, believe that not all rural parents lack attention on their children's academic achievement. Currently, many rural parents are educated and aware of the contribution of academic success to their children's future careers. Parents, whether highly educated or not, have realized the importance of their children acquiring an education (Abigail & Okonkwo, 2013). Therefore, in our study, we predicted that parental support can be a predictor to students' academic achievement as revealed in past studies (e.g., Chohan & Khan, 2010; Mante et al., 2015; Topor et al., 2010).

Academic Self-Efficacy

Other than parental academic support, academic self-efficacy is also one of the important predictors for students' academic achievement. Academic self-efficacy refers to students' beliefs in their own capabilities to do their schoolwork completely and successfully. It is also defined as personal judgments of one's own capabilities to organize and execute courses of action to attain designated types of educational performances (Zimmerman, 2000). Rural students, like urban students, are well-equipped with good learning facilities which may help

enhance their academic efficacy in accomplishing their academic tasks and homework. With support from parents and teachers, students are more competent in performing their academic tasks. However, we cannot deny that some schools and boarding schools in rural areas have poor conditions. According to the Bernama report (October 21, 2018), the Sabah government stated that at least 54.5% of schools in Sabah are classified as dilapidated and require immediate maintenance and repair. Despite these conditions, teachers in rural areas play significant roles in educating and supporting students' academic achievement. This was proven based on the *Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia* 2018 results. The students in rural schools in Sabah performed better than their peers in urban schools (Jeffery, 2019).

Wan Hanum Suraya and Jamal Nordin (2017) stated that self-efficacy is an important element in helping individuals be able to face difficulties in life. Their study, which involved secondary-school students in Perak, found that male students exhibited a higher level of efficacy in mathematics, computer science and social sciences, compared to the female students who had a higher level of efficacy in literature and language. Lane and Lane's (2001) study found that students' self-efficacy to cope with the intellectual demands of the program contributed to academic performance variance. Other past studies (e.g., Erlanger, Turner, & Chandler, 2009 et al., 2009; Hasan, Hossain & Islam, 2014; Pajares, 1996) also revealed that self-efficacy predicted academic performance. One of the major challenges of students in rural areas is some of them tend to score low in academic self-efficacy compared to urban students. As stated in Md Sawari, Ghazali and Mansor's (2015) study, a majority of rural students in Ledang, Johor have intermediate learning self-efficacy. Students in the rural still have a medium interest in learning. Some students still have low level of interest in learning. Similarly, Zalika Adam, Faridah Kassim, & Mohd Johdi Salleh (2009) and Ismail Kailani and Khairuzaman Ismail (2010) that found that students in rural areas have a low interest in learning.

One of the reasons for this lack of interest in learning might be that students spend less time in doing study revision and homework. Students who believe their own capability to achieve academic goals tend to succeed in academia. The amounts of time spent studying each week significantly correlated with academic self-efficacy. When students study more, they are more likely to be more confident and understand the subject content or any academic material, and this may contribute to their academic success. However, when students spend little time studying, they are more likely to doubt their grasp of the material (Erlanger et al, 2009). When students feel competent in doing their academic tasks, they may feel more confident, and this may also influence students' well-being. To achieve academic success and develop relevant strategies in handling academic work, students need to increase their academic self-efficacy, such as the ability to figure out difficult homework and complete schoolwork successfully.

Psychological Well-Being

According to Petersen (2017), students do best in school when they have good mental health and are satisfied with their lives. No matter how good schools and teachers are, students' academic achievement suffers when they are unhappy. Healthy psychological well-being can bring positive energy for students to study, learn, and embrace more academic knowledge. We just imagine if we in a bad mood, we tend to have lack of energy to perform well in any task given to us. Some people perceived academic life is filled with challenges and academic load. Therefore, one way to combat the academic challenges life is to gain positive well-being that may create a positive environment and interest to learn and to gain better academic grade.

Adler's study (2017) revealed that students' well-being may improve academic achievement and can predict career success. Therefore, in order for adolescents to achieve their life goals and obtain academic success, it is important for them to be in a psychologically healthy condition.

Turashvili and Japaridze (2012) in their study revealed that students who have a high index of well-being have a medium and high level of academic performance. This is in line with Tabbodi et al.'s (2015) study that found a positive relationship between happiness and achievement motivation. It showed that happiness is one of the variables that are related to academic achievement. Ng, Huebner and Hills' (2015) study, which involved 821 middle school students in the United States, showed that life satisfaction and academic performance seem to have a reciprocal influence on each other. Specifically, students with higher life satisfaction are more likely to get higher grades in the future, and students who get higher grades are more likely to see their life satisfaction go up. Quinn and Duckworth's (2007) study also revealed that participants with higher well-being were more likely to earn higher final grades, even when controlling for intelligence, age, and past academic achievement.

The main objective of this study is to examine the effects of three psychological factors (i.e., academic self-efficacy, psychological well-being & parental supports) on academic achievement. We believe that each psychological factor that we predict may enhance rural students' academic achievement, and these factors need to be studied and understood. Therefore, in this study, we examine the effects of the three important factors (i.e., academic self-efficacy, psychological well-being, and parental supports) on students' academic achievement. Although past studies revealed on the significant effects of these three factors on students' academic achievement, there is still a lack of studies focusing on rural schools, particularly in the interior schools in Sabah.

Research framework

This study used the self-determination theory (SDT) as a guideline to understand the effects of the three psychological factors on academic achievement. Based on this theory, we believe that the three components emphasised in the theory (i.e., autonomy, competence, and relatedness) can represent the three psychological factors that we examined in this study. SDT is a broad theory of human personality and motivation concerned with how the individual interacts with and depends on the social environment. SDT is centered on the basic psychological needs of competence, autonomy, and relatedness (Legaults, 2017). The competency in SDT theory may represent academic self-efficacy; autonomy may represent one's well-being; and relatedness may represent support from close ones, such as parents. According to Deci and Ryan (2002), competency is defined as feeling effective in one's ongoing interactions with the social environment and experiencing opportunities to exercise and express one's capacities. Autonomy refers to be the perceived origin or source of one's own behavior, in this case, physical activity. Autonomy is also defined as acting in accordance with one's values, related to well-being across cultures (Wichmann, 2011).

Researchers believe that the three elements in the self-determinants theory, i.e., autonomy, competence and relatedness, can enhance our understanding of the effects of three psychological factors (i.e., academic self-efficacy, psychological well-being and parental support) on rural students' academic achievement.

Methodology

Study Design

The study was conducted once researchers received approval from the Ministry of Education. The schools' rosters were obtained from the Sabah Department of Education at the Ministry of Education. Researchers went to each selected school in the Interior Sabah Division to give brief information about the study to the school principals and teachers, who assisted in delivering a set of questionnaires which consist of four parts. The survey method was used to collect data for this study. Participants were given a questionnaire consisting of four parts that measure students' demographic profile (e.g. age, gender, academic year, academic achievement), academic self-efficacy, parents' involvement in academic and students' psychological well-being.

Participants

Participant selection is based on the convenient random sampling. There were 1586 school children who took part in this study. They came from various secondary schools in the interior division of Sabah (i.e., Beaufort, Keningau, Kuala Penyu, Membakut, Pensiangan, Sipitang, Tambunan & Tenom). The participants' ages ranged from 15 to 17 years old.

Instruments

A questionnaire which consisted of four parts was used in this study.

Part A: Demographic Profile. The 18 items in Demographic profile include age, gender, ethnicity, and level of education and students' current academic results to measure their academic performance.

Part B: Academic Self-efficacy. The Academic self-efficacy scale consists of three items which measure academic self-efficacy (Hoover-Dempsey, & Sandler, 2005). The response scale provided was as follows: 1 (not true) to 4 (very true). The items to measure achievement motivation were as following, 'I can do even the hardest homework if I try,' and 'I can figure out difficult homework'. This scale assesses student beliefs about personal abilities to complete schoolwork successfully.

Part C: Parental Academic Involvement. The parental academic involvement scale consists of four items. The rating scale was made on a 5-point scale (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree). Four items assessed students' perceived academic monitoring: "My parents monitor whether I have done my homework", "My parents supervise whether I do my best in academics", "My parents monitor my academic outcomes", "My parents supervise whether I make progress".

Part D: Ryff's Psychological Well-Being Inventory. The Ryff's Psychological Well-Being Inventory, which consists of 18 items, was used to measure the psychological well-being of students. There are 8 negative items (i.e., item no 1, 4, 5, 8, 15, 16, 17, 18). These items are reverse-scored so that higher scores correspond to greater psychological well-being. The response scale provided was: 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree).

Data Screening and Cleaning

We checked the normality of data and missing values before the data were analyzed. We also checked the possible outliers for each scale by using the standardized scores or z-scores.

Based on Tabachnick and Fidell (2001) suggestions, standardized scores in excess of 3.29 ($p < .001$, two-tailed test) were identified as potential outliers. In this study, the total number of participants was 1586. Table 1 shows the skewness and kurtosis values for the five scales, and they all are considered acceptable (i.e. below 2).

Table 1: The Values of Skewness and Kurtosis for Each Scale

Scales	Skewness	Kurtosis
Academic self-efficacy	.26	.60
Parental support in academic	-.89	1.36
Psychological well-being	.02	1.22
Academic Achievement	1.08	.84

According to George and Mallery (2010), the values for asymmetry and kurtosis between -2 and +2 are considered acceptable in order to prove normal univariate distribution. West et al. (1996) proposed a reference of substantial departure from normality as an absolute skew value > 2 (West, Finch, & Curran, 1995). The missing values for academic self-efficacy are 0.2% academic achievement, and perceived parental involvement in students' academic task is 1.43% and. psychological well-being, 3.08%.

Data Analysis

We used the SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) to run the descriptive and inference analyses. The research hypotheses were analyzed by using the hierarchical Regression. The inter-items correlations values for each scale are acceptable and ranged from .61 to .83 (see Table 2).

Table 2: The Reliability Values of Each Scale (N=1586)

Scales	Number of items	Reliability Values
Academic Self-efficacy	3 items	.61
Parental support in academic	4 items	.83
Psychological well-being	18 items	.83

Table 3 shows the demographic profiles of participants. The majority of the participants are females (i.e., 894) and the remaining 690 are males. Two participants did not reveal their gender. A majority of participants have more than four siblings, i.e., 71.80%. In terms of students' academic achievement, only 11.6% of students achieved satisfactory academic achievement. Academic achievement measured based on students' recent academic results reported by the students. Most of students passed, but unsatisfactory i.e., 1010 (63.70%). In terms of their fathers' occupation, 86% of fathers are working and only 9% are not working and 4.9% did not mention their occupation. The numbers of mothers who are working is 42% (667) who worked in the government and private sectors or independently while 56.20% are as housewives, and 1.7% did not mention their occupation status.

Table 3: The Demographic Profiles of Participants

Variables	Numbers	Percentage
Gender		
Male	690	43.50
Female	894	56.40
Missing values	2	0.10
Siblings		
1-3	371	23.40
4-6	755	47.60
7-9	291	18.30
More than 10	92	5.80
Missing	77	4.90
Religion		
Muslim	643	40.50
Christian	914	57.60
Buddha	22	1.40
Hindu	4	0.10
Others	2	0.30
Missing values		0.10
Academic Achievement		
Failed all subjects	79	5.0
Passed unsatisfactorily (mostly grade D)	1010	63.70
Passed (with grade C or D)	281	17.70
Passed satisfactorily (A and B)	169	10.70
Excellence	15	0.90
Missing values	32	2.0
Father Occupation		
Government	340	21.40
Private	151	9.50
Work independently	874	55.10
Not working	143	9.0
Missing values	78	4.90
Father's salary		
More than RM3000	95	6.0
RM2501-3000	65	4.10
RM2001-2500	79	5.0
RM1001-2000	169	10.70
Below RM1000	930	58.60
Not relevant	67	4.20
Missing values	181	11.40
Mother Occupation		
Government	202	12.70
Private	49	3.10
Work independently	416	26.20
Not working	892	56.20
Missing values	27	1.7
Mother's salary		

More than RM3000	58	3.70
RM2501-3000	33	2.10
RM2001-2500	40	2.50
RM1001-2000	60	3.80
Below RM1000	445	28.10
Not relevant	158	10
Missing values	794	49.90

Based on the students' current academic achievement, the study showed that 79 (5%) of students failed all subjects, while 95% passed. However, only 184 (11.60%) achieved satisfactory and excellent results.

Table 4 shows the correlation analysis among the three psychological factors. All three factors correlated significantly and positively to each other.

Table 4: The Correlations between the Three Psychological Factors

Variables	r values	Significant values
Parental support & Academic self-efficacy	.27	.001
Parental support & psychological well-being	.37	.00
Academic self-efficacy & psychological well-being	.39	.00

Table 5 shows that parental supports contributed positively 1% of the variance on academic achievement. In Step 2, when we included academic self-efficacy, the two factors (i.e. parental support and students' academic self-efficacy) contributed 5% on the academic achievement. In Step 3, parental support, academic self-efficacy, and psychological well-being contributed positively to academic achievement, i.e. 7% of the psychological well-being variance.

Table 5: Hierarchical Regression Analyses to Examine The Effects of Academic self-efficacy, Parental Support and Psychological Well-being on Academic Achievement

Predictors	Academic Achievement		
	ΔR^2	β	sig
Step 1	.01		
Parental support in academics		.09	.00
Step 2	.05		
Parental support in academics		.02	.60
Academic self-efficacy		.22	.00
Step 3	.07		
Parental support in academics		-.02	.55
Academic self-efficacy		.18	.00
Psychological well-being		.14	.00

Discussions

The Effects of Parental Support on Students' Academic Achievement

This study shows that parental support contributes to students' academic achievement. This is in line with past studies (e.g., Mante et al., 2015; Topor et al., 2010) which showed that parental involvement contributed to academic achievement. In this study parental support is measured based on students' perception towards their parents in terms of their parents' involvement in academic tasks (i.e., supervising and monitoring their children's homework, academic outcomes & progress). The findings showed that rural parents spent time supervising and taking care of their students' academic work and progress although some report stated that rural parents particularly showed a lack of involvement in students' academic work. As stated by Rabahia, Yusof and Awang (2015), there was less indication of parental involvement in the school, parents visited school more often for specific events and reasons, such as attending the registration day, visiting their children at the hostel, receiving students' academic progress reports, and attending scheduled events such as parents-teacher meetings, prize-giving days, sports days and organised special prayer prior to scheduled examinations. In certain cases, other family members, including grandparents, siblings, and parents' siblings, took on the conceptual role of parents to fill up the parental involvement gaps (Rabahia, Yusof & Awang, 2015). In addition, some poor parents made some arrangements for helping their children in studies and home assignments, while others rely on school for the education of their children since they did not have enough resources to spend extra money on tutoring (Choan & Khan, 2010).

In this study, a majority of fathers, 1365 (86%) were working and only 143 (9%) were not working and 78 (4.9%) did not mention their occupation. Among mothers, 667 (42%) were working either in the government sector, private sector, or independently, while 892 (56.20%) were housewives and 27 (1.7%) did not mention their occupation status. We believe that most parents are literate, aware of the importance of education, and involved in their students' academic work and progress although they are living in a rural area. In today's era, we believe that most rural parents are educated and concerned about their students' academic achievement. They will do their best to fulfill their children's academic needs and try to improve weaknesses in the education of their children (Abigail & Okonkwo, 2013). In China and Khan's study (2010), parents' contribution to their children's education has a consistent and positive effect on academic achievement and on the self-concept.

In this study, we did not examine the effects of parents' educational background on students' academic achievement. In the future, it might be interesting if this factor also could also be examined by researchers. This is because parents' educational background and socio-economic background can also affect students' academic achievement (Santhasaran & Othman, 2017). We focus more on parental involvement in academic. According to Santhasaran and Othman (2017), most students who get low grades and drop out of school come from families with a lack of support and supervision in terms of academic progress. Parental involvement and support play an important role in the rural students' journey to college (Yanbarough, 2016). Research by Cotton and Wikelund (1982) conducted in Portland revealed that parental involvement in children's learning is positively related to achievement. Further, the research showed the more intensively parents were involved in their children's learning, the more beneficial were the achievement effects. Another study by Lv, Zhou, Guo, Liu, Liu, and Luo (2016) also revealed that parental engagement with school impacts both the academic achievements and subjective well-being of children in China. Parental engagement

included punishing their children to go to school to ensure that their children did their homework. Parents, especially fathers, would not hesitate to cane the children, for offenses such as refusing to go to school, lying about not having homework, and misbehaving. Parents strictly monitored their children's academic performance for their better future life. This is because they believed that their involvement in their children's academic work would enhance their children's academic performance as we have found to be.

The Effects of Academic Self-efficacy on Academic Achievement

Past studies have found (e.g., Erlanger et al., 2009; Hasan et al., 2014; Pajares, 1996) that self-efficacy predicted academic performance, and this supports our study that students' academic self-efficacy enhances their academic achievement. When students believe they can achieve success in their academic studies, the more likely they are to succeed academically (Erlanger et al., 2009). In our study, we believed that although students lived in rural areas, teachers and parents played a role in increasing students' academic self-efficacy. In this study, academic self-efficacy refers to how well students figure out their difficult homework.

We believe other than teachers' and parents' support, students may take their initiative to spend more time each week studying. When students study more, they are more likely to be confident in their knowledge of the material, which may also increase their academic success. However, when students spend little time studying, they are more likely to doubt their grasp of the material (Erlanger et al., 2009). Individuals with a weak notion of self-efficacy are inclined to think that tasks seem more difficult than they are. These thoughts create a breeding ground for feelings of failure, depression, tension, and helplessness. A strong notion of self-efficacy, on the other hand, creates feelings of tranquility and being challenged in the face of difficult tasks (Hassan et al., 2014). The improvement and development of self-efficacy have a positive impact on students' future. The symptoms of low learning self-efficacy are inactivity and belief that hard work is meaningless. If students think that success is something possible, they will strive to reach the goals, no matter what obstacles may occur along the way (Md. Sawari, Ghazali, & Mansor, 2014).

In addition, Yahaya and Latif (2005) stated that self-efficacy contributes to building students' confidence level in facing challenges and problems. When students realize their capabilities, they have the confidence to reach the goal; thus, they will put forth an effort to reach it. Moreover, when students believe that they will be able to achieve their goals, their behavior, actions, and attitude will indirectly lead them in that direction. A study by Caprara, Steca, Gerbino, and Paciello (2006) revealed that 664 Italian adolescents' self-efficacy beliefs contributed to happiness and positive thinking concurrently and longitudinally. This might be also one of the reasons why students who have high in academic self-efficacy perform better in academic as found in our study.

The Effects of Psychological Well-being on Academic Achievement

Our study showed that positive well-being contributes to better academic achievement. This is in line with past studies (e.g., Adler, 2017; Tabbodi et al., 2015; Turashvilli & Japaridze, 2012; Quinn & Duckworth, 2007) that students' well-being can enhance academic achievement. Therefore, it is good if the school can create a positive environment for school children to promote healthy and happy emotions which may promote better academic performance. This as stated by Goksoy (2017) that school environments and environmental processes are open social systems, and they can have a direct impact on students' emotions and behaviour. Happiness means that people live in an environment that is convenient for

their basic values. The more the living environment, daily life routines, and conditions of a person are coherent to his basic values, the more he is happy and satisfied with life.

Students who define themselves as successful state that they have few unhappy memories. When students are in happy living conditions and environments and experience positive emotions, they feel happier and more successful. Petersen (2017) stated that students do their best in school when they have good mental health and are satisfied with their lives. Therefore, no matter how good schools and teachers are, students' academic achievement suffers when they are unhappy. According to Goksoy's (2017) study, school experiences and situations that make students happy throughout their educational lives include participation in the classroom and school activities, participation in the lesson, appreciation, rewarding, success, and social relationships. In this study, we believe that when students are in a positive mood, they tend to feel more confident and optimistic. Happy people are better able to face and overcome their life problems and enhance their happiness. When one is happy, the world is easier, safer, and more pleasant (Honce, 2006). As Lyubomirsky, King and Diener stated that "happy people appear to be more successful than their less happy peers in the three primary life domains: work, relationships, and health" (p. 825). However, in our study, we also believe when happy students will be more successful in their academic life as what revealed in our study.

Conclusions

The three psychological factors (i.e. parental support, academic self-efficacy, and psychological well-being) in this study showed positive and significant effects on students' academic achievement. We hope our research findings may give a positive motivation to the relevant authorities to provide more educational programs which may enhance students' academic self-efficacy. This may help students to be more competent in performing their academic tasks and projects. At the same time, schools may also need to create a positive school environment which creates a sense of positive well-being in students. This may help them to combat academic and family stress and another kind of challenges. On the other hand, parents-children Associations will heighten parental involvement in students' academic activities with support from the school. This will generate more awareness among parents of their pivotal role in helping their children to gain academic success for their future. It is our hope that our findings will generate interest among psychologists and educational researchers to explore other potential variables (such as school conditions, the family standard of living and parents' educational level particularly in rural areas) that may also enhance academic achievement goals

Acknowledgements

We would like to convey our thanks to the University Malaysia Sabah for the research grant and to the Ministry of Education for the approval to conduct this study. Also, thanks to all our dedicated participants who were willing to spend their time to respond to our questionnaires. Our thanks also go to the school principals and teachers in 21 rural schools who assisted us in the data collection process. Your support and kind assistance will always be treasured.

References

- Abigail,O., & Okonkwo, I(2013).Influence of family background on the academic achievement of secondary school biology students in Anambra state. *African Research Review* 7, 3,156-167

- Adler A. (2017) Well-Being and Academic Achievement: Towards a New Evidence-Based Educational Paradigm. In: White M., Slemp G., Murray A. (eds) *Future Directions in Well-Being*. Springer,
- Antaramian, S.(2017).The importance of very high life satisfaction for students' academic success, *Cogent Education*,4,1, Doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2017.1307622
- Bernama (October 21, 2018).More than half of Sabah schools dilapidated schools in Sabah, retrieved from <https://Borneobulletin.Com.BN/over-50-percent-Dilapidated-schools-in-Sabah>
- Caprara, G.V., Steca, P., Gerbino, M., & Paciello, M.(2006). Looking for adolescents' well-being: Self-efficacy beliefs as determinants of positive thinking and happiness, *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 15,1,30-43
- Chandran, V.V., & Geetha, C.(2009).Does poverty influence the performance of students? A case study in University Malaysia Sabah, *Prosiding PERKEM*, 5,1, 361-369
- Chohan, B.I., & Khan, R.M.(2010).Impact of Parental Support on the Academic Performance and Self Concept of the Student, *Journal of Research and Reflections in Education*,4, 1,14 -26
- Chong, V.(Sept 7, 2017). Young family leaves life in the city for rural communities in Sabah, Retrived from <https://zafigo.com/stories/zafigo-stories/young-family-packs-up-help-rural-communities-sabah/>
- Cotton, K., and Savard, W. G. (1982).*Parental involvement in Instruction K-12*. Education Portland: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2002). *Handbook of self-determination research*. Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- Göksoy,S.(2017).Situations that Make Students Happy and Unhappy in Schools *Universal Journal of Educational Research*,5,(12A),77-83, 2017 <http://www.hrpub.org> DOI: 10.13189/ujer.2017.051312
- 13ABC©OoT06lsa rxTuS2itfrgcuohrl1Boikntrne-esdwa8a n
- Erlanger, A., Turner, M., & Chandler, R.W.H. (2009). The Influence of Parenting Styles, Achievement Motivation, and Self-Efficacy on Academic Performance in College Students *Journal of College Student Development*, 50, 3, 337-346
- Elliott.(1987). Rural students at risk (Report No. RC 016 362). Washington, DC: Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 285708).
- Epstein JL. (1996).Perspectives and previews on research and policy for school, family, and community partnerships. In: Booth A, Dunn JF, editors. *Family-school links: How do they affect educational outcomes?* NJ: Erlbaum; Mahwah
- Hasan, M.Z., Hossain, T., & Islam, A.(2014). Factors Affecting Self-Efficacy Towards Academic Performance: A Study on Polytechnic Students in Malaysia, *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 8,(9),695-705
- Honce P. (2006). Can it be taught? *Traning Journal*.15,1.
- Hoover-Dempsey, K.V., & Sandler, H.M. (2005). *Final Performance Report for OERI Grant # R305T010673: The Social Context of Parental Involvement: A Path to Enhanced Achievement*. Presented to Project Monitor, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education, March 22, 2005.
- Jeffery, G.H.,Lehr, R., Hache, G., & Campbell, M.(1992).Empowering rural parents to support career development: An interior report.*Canadian Journal of Counselling*, 26,4,240-255

- Jeffery, S.(March 15, 2019). Sabah rural students outperform urban peers. *Daily Express*, Retrieved from <http://www.dailyexpress.com.my/news/132404/sabah-rural-students-outperform-urban-peers/>
- Kohl GO, Lengua LJ, & McMahon RJ.(2000).Parent involvement in school: Conceptualizing multiple dimensions and their relations with family and demographic risk factors. *Journal of School Psychology*. 38:501–523.
- Kong, P.A.(2016). *Parenting, education and social mobility in rural China: Cultivating dragons and phoenix*, NY:Routledge
- Lane, J., & Lane, A. M. (2001). Self-efficacy and academic performance. *Social Behavior and Personality*, 29, 687-694.
- Lv, B., Zhou, H., Guo, X., Liu, Z., & Luo, L.(2016). The Relationship between Academic Achievement and the Emotional Well-Being of Elementary School Children in China: The Moderating Role of Parent-School Communication, *Front Psychology*,7,948 Doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00948
- Lyubomirsky,S.,King,L.,and Diener,E.(2005). The benefits of frequent positive affect: does happiness lead to success?" *Psychological Bulletin*, 131, 6, 803–855
- Mante, F.A., Awereh, E.O., & Kumea, A.O.(2015). Effects of parental involvement on academic performance of pupils: A case study at Adukrom Methodist Primary School, *Basic Research Journal of Education Research*, 4,1,1-7
- Md Sawari, S.S., Ghazali, M.A., & Mansor, N.(2015). A study of learning efficacy among rural area students in ?Ledang, Johor, Sains Humanika, 5(3),1-8
- Ng, Z.J., Huebner, S.E., & Hills, K.J.(2015). Life satisfaction and academic performance in early adolescents:Evidence for reciprocal association, *Journal of School Psychology*,53,6.479-491
- Petersen, N.(November 3, 2017).Happiness is key to success, Retrieved from <https://blog.allpsych.com/happiness-is-key-to-student-success/>
- Pajares, F. (1996). Self-efficacy beliefs in achievement settings. Review of Educational Research, 66, 543-578.
- Quinn, P. D., & Duckworth, A. L. (2007). Happiness and academic achievement: Evidence for reciprocal causality. In *The Annual Meeting of the American Psychological Society, Washington, DC*: The American Psychological Society.
- Rabahia, M., Yusof, H., & Awang, M.(2015). Leading Learning: A Grounded Theory Perspective of Orang Asli Parental Involvement and Engagement, *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 211, 94–103. Doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.015
- Ryff, D.C.(1989).Happiness is everything, or is it?*Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*,57(6),1069-1081
- Santhasaran, B., & Othman, N.(2017).Relationship between family socio-economic and student achievement in moral education among secondary school students. *Proceedings of 57 the IASTEM International Conference, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia*, 10 th -11 th June 2017
- Siana, G., Ligthbody, P., Stock, R., & Walsh, D.(1998). Motivation and Attribution at Secondary Schools: The Role of Ethnic. *Group and Gender Education*, 8(3), 261-274.
- Tabachnick, B.G., & Fidell, L.S.(2001).Using Multivariate Statistics.(4th Edition). Allyn and Bacon, Boston.
- Tabbodi, H., Rahgozar & Abadi.(2015).The Relationship between Happiness and Academic Achievements,*European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*,4,1,241-246
- Topor, D.R., Keane, S.P., Shelton, T.L., & Calkins, S.D.(2010).Parent involvement and student academic performance: A multiple meditational analysis, *Journal of*

- Prevention Intervention Community, 38,3,183-197.Doi:10.1080/10852352.2010.486297
- Turashvili, T., & Japaridze, M.(2012).Psychological well-being and its relation to academic performance on students in Georgia context. *Problems of Education in the 21st century*,49,73-80
- Walker, C. O., Winn, T.D., & Lutjens, R.M.(2012). Examining relationships between academic, *Education Research International*, 1-7.Doi.org/10.1155/2012/643438
- Wan Hanum Suraya Wan Mohamed and Jamal @ Nordin bin Yunus.(2017). *Self-Efficacy and Academic Performance of Secondary Schools Students in Perak: An Exploratory Outlook*. International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development, 6, 3,43-55
- West, S.G, Finch, J.F., & Curran, P.J. (1995). Structural equation models with nonnormal variables: problems and remedies. In: R.H., Hoyle (Ed.). Structural equation modeling: Concepts, issues and applications. Newbery Park, CA: Sage; pp. 56–75
- Wichmann, S.S.(2011).Self-determination theory:The importance of autonomy to well-being across cultures, *Journal of Humanistic Counseling*,50,1, Doi:10.1002/j.2161-1939-2011.tb00103.x
- Yanbarough, A.(2016).*Engaging rural students at the University of Montana through digital stories: Supports and barriers to higher education*, A Dissertation, The University of Alabama
- Zimmerman, B.J.(2000). Self-Efficacy: An Essential Motive to Learn, *Contemporary Educational Psychology Research*, 25,1,82-91