



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF LAW, GOVERNMENT
AND COMMUNICATION
(IJLGC)

www.gaexcellence.com/ijlgc



THE INTERSECTION OF RELIGIOUS PLURALISM AND COMPETING INTERPRETATIONS OF ISLAMIC THEOLOGY IN MALAYSIA

Ahmad Yumni Abu Bakar ^{1*}, Ahmad Afiq Irshad Omar², Sakinatul Raadiyah Abdullah³,
Izatul Akmar Ismail⁴, Muhammad Saiful Islami Mohd Taher⁵, Siti Aisyah Yusof⁶, Md Saufi
Abdul Hamid⁷, Daing Maruak Sadek⁸, Muhammad Rashidi Wahab⁹, Nurul Husna Mohd
Taher¹⁰

¹Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 yumni705@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7926-1076>

²Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 afiqirshad@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1807-8956>

³Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 sakinatulraadiyah@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1246-4741>

⁴Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 izatulakmar@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6161-6161>

⁵Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 msaiful0860@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-6668-1810>

⁶Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 aisyah421@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8599-4930>

⁷Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 mdsau633@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-5463-6256>

⁸Academy of Contemporary Islamic Studies, Universiti Teknologi MARA Kedah, Malaysia

 daing729@uitm.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1249-5085>

⁹Faculty of Islamic Contemporary Studies, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia

 muhdrashidi@unisza.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9908-6005>

¹⁰Sekolah Kebangsaan Tualang, Malaysia

 husnataher86@gmail.com

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1873-027X>

*Corresponding Author

Article Info:**Article history:**

Received date: 03.08.2026

Revised date: 24.08.2026

Accepted date: 02.09.2026

Published date: 17.09.2026

To cite this document:

Bakar, A. Y. A., Omar, A. A. I., Abdullah, S. R., Ismail, I. A., Taher, M. S. I. M., Yusof, S. A., Hamid, M. S. A., Sadek, D. M., Wahab, M. R., & Taher, N. H. M. (2026). The Intersection of Religious Pluralism and Competing Interpretations of Islamic Theology in Malaysia. *International Journal of Law, Government and Communication*, 11(45), 265-274.

Abstract:

The intersection of religious pluralism and competing interpretations of Islamic theology in Malaysia generates systemic socio-religious friction and doctrinal ambiguity, leaving the Muslim populace vulnerable to polarizing political rhetoric and sectarian fragmentation. To address this issue, this conceptual study aims to explore the interplay between religious pluralism, Islamic theology, institutional transparency, and ideological dissemination, while assessing the moderating role of regulatory strength. Employing a conceptual approach based on a comprehensive synthesis of existing literature, this paper develops a structural mediation and moderation framework. The findings, articulated through four core propositions, posit that the systemic friction between pluralism and theology necessitates institutional transparency. Transparency acts as a vital mediator that filters out doctrinal ambiguity and prevents media manipulation. Furthermore, the framework posits that robust regulatory strength significantly moderates this dynamic, empowering religious authorities to successfully transition from promoting abstract concepts of tolerance to implementing standardized, structurally clear protocols for interfaith engagement. Consequently, transparency ensures that ideological dissemination fosters social cohesion rather than division. Theoretically, this model extends communicative action theories by highlighting transparency as an indispensable structural mechanism in diverse, multi-faith settings. Practically, it urges policymakers and religious institutions to establish explicit, scholarly-backed interfaith guidelines to protect the public from socio-political manipulation. Because this study is limited by its purely theoretical nature, future research must empirically validate this proposed model through mixed-method approaches, utilizing quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews to measure public reception and the actual regulatory capacities of state religious bodies.

DOI: 10.35631/IJLGC.1145017**Keyword:**

Religious Pluralism, Islamic Theology, Institutional Transparency, Ideological Dissemination, Regulatory Strength



© The authors (2026). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY NC) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact ijlgc@gaexcelence.com.

Introduction

The Malay socio-political context is one of religious plurality and plurality of liberal and conservative groups. Islam is constitutionally protected and recognized as the official religion, alongside the numerous other faiths. The state constantly addresses this plurality by introducing policies that seek peace between communities, while preserving Islam's dominant position (Camilleri, 2013; Ebrahimi & Yusoff, 2020). As a result, Muslim Malays are caught between traditional Islam, following orthodox Islamic principles and widely accepted, and working

toward the requirement to confront inter-faith reality (Fernandez & Coyle, 2019). Although changes bring opportunities for solidarity, they also ignite the debate on ideological limits and challenges, related to the dominant Islamic art. These issues have been subjected to rigorous research, on the theological responses of religious bodies and institutions to the demands of a multi religious society.

Historically, the state advocates a structure of moderate Islam, based on the concept of wasatiyyah, to negate extremism and guarantee a more equitable society (Ebrahimi & Yusoff, 2020). Yet, a clash between the institution's requirement of orthodoxy and accommodative society still leads, from time to time, to theological clashes (Hamid & Razali, 2015; Hoffstaedter, 2013). National legal institutions and state-approved religious fatwas issued by official ulama are also sources that often escalate these tensions, imposing monopolistic and dogmatic interpretations that impede the diversification of integration (Hasyim, 2019; Meerschaut & Saeger, 2019). Though state-offered cohesion programs are often innovative and successful, the worldwide exchanging of heterodox religious solutions produces a doctrinal superficiality that separates some groups from basic Islamic principles (Mohamad et al., 2014). The absence of general religious education and sources of guidance accelerates the fallacy and increases the interfaith detachment, intensifying the theological skepticism (Bin Jamil et al., 2025; Mohad et al., 2019). And dogmatists' political speeches, exaggerated media reports and socio-political clashes utilize religious emotions for ulterior interests providing the self-fulfilling prophecy of communities' de facto terrorist group (Majid et al., 2024). So, exploring the modalities of propagation of religion on an uncertain mode in heterogeneous society is a crucial issue. One of the research gaps identified in the existing body of literature is the mediating role of transparent religious communication in the administration of Islamic theology in heterogeneous society. The present research has proposed an innovative conceptual model with transparency as an intervening variable mediating the relationship of religious pluralism and Islamic theology (independent variables) with propagation of ideology (dependent variable).

The conceptual model proposed by the present research proves that explicit ideological communication minimizes theological ambiguity and conversely lack of transparency accelerator leads to social fragmentation. The model also highlights the need for credible research-based religious scholarship and transparent prescriptive guidelines for religious education in certain domains like interfaith dialogues (Mohd Khambali Hambali et al., 2025). The contribution of the present research is that it can be used by educational reformers, policy makers and religious organizations in understanding the vital role of transparent communication for establishing a tolerant and united society (Abdul Rahman & Shapie, 2023). The conceptual model is based on natural theories of communicative action and institutional transparency which be strategic tool to establish genuine ideology in heterogeneous society without distorting the essence of Islamic credentials (Habermas, 1984).

Literature Review:

Religious Pluralism in Malaysia's Context

Religious pluralism is one of the most intrinsic and enduring characteristics of Malaysian society. Historically, the government's approach to pluralism has been to reinforce a multi-ethnic, multi-racial society for economic and national development by taking pre-emptive measures by institutionalizing Islam as the federal religion and at the same time safeguarding

the rights of other religions to flourish in peace (Camilleri, 2013). Such socio-legal arrangements have formed a unique Malaysian model of state-presided religious dualism (Ebrahimi & Yusoff, 2020).

Even so, the everyday encounters of different faiths can sometimes spark sensitive debates about overt religious pronouncement and Islamic authorities. Oppositions argue that pluralism gone unregulated-as distinct from relativism- could succeed in distorting the doctrinal boundaries and could have detrimental consequences to the Muslim society (Mohamad et al., 2014). This ambiguity might even have the potential of turning adherents away from the core religious tenets via dissonance, as they seek to reconcile their faith with the multi-religious setting.

An important reason for this ideological failure is the lack of a comprehensive multi faith education that is outlook neutral toward non-Islamic and Islamic religions (Bin Jamil et al., 2025). Lacking such context, young Muslims lack the cognitive tools to bear cross-religious realities safely so heightening the potential for polarization, intolerance and bigotry.

Islamic Theology and Pluralistic Tensions

Malaysia's multi-religious identity always shapes Islamic theological exchanges, which are mainly influenced by government instructions and popular religious scholarship. To prevent Malay Muslims from falling into extremism, to have an inclusive, development-oriented outlook, and to enhance the country's global image, the government consistently adopts the moderation (wasatiyyah) principle, which resonates with the Malays' conservative outlook and presents a practical way for Muslims to accommodate with abundant aliens without losing their religious identity (Ebrahimi & Yusoff, 2020).

Still, there are never-ending ideological enclaves between the practical and traditionalist poles while dealing with pluralism. A chronic "clash of universalisms" of either a traditionalist camp or a reformist camp is always dividing the devotional realm of the Malays into the competition of who--the traditionalists or liberals--is more legitimate with their "version" of Malaysian Islam (Hoffstaedter, 2013; Hamid & Razali, 2015).

With the changing dynamics of politics, these landmines of the Malaysian Islam field will be intensified and sharpened, leading to a confused fight of religious orthodoxy that traditionalists or progressive forces perpetually even to establish the sole MS version. Such boundaries of Islam are insitutionalized through the fatwas issued by newly appointed government ulama staffs, which tend to prohibit pluralistic legalivows and institutionalize tough fatwas to be debated in the public sphere (Hasyim, 2019). As a result, the application of conservative fatwas hinders pluralism from being integrated into the legal realm while imposing the theological elasticity (Meerschaut & Saeger, 2019).

Malaysian Muslims: Pluralism, Transparency and Politics

For the common Muslim of Malaysia, the act of balancing between strict Islamic devotion and daily non-Muslim activities is an everyday necessity, rather than merely an academic discussion. The interaction with non-Muslims on the daily demands a practical and sensitive approach, like being a member of national interfaith celebrations together with one's Muslim convictions (Fernandez & Coyle, 2019).

Although these practices may bring communities together, they are often threatened by outside elements. Political speeches that are divisive and sensationalized media often use these religions to further social divisions and break trust in public institutions (Mohad et al., 2019). To fight off such issues, religious elders suggest going back to the original texts that hold the most inclusive teachings like the ones found in Sahih Bukhari as a way of creating a genuine harmony (Majid et al., 2024).

But on the flip side, having ideology in a very clean and well-organized structure will be of the utmost importance. Transparency, meaning that the guidance for interfaith interactions will be easily readable and understandable from the scholars, is the most important thing here that will help to reduce doctrinal ambiguity and the community to be securely steered (Mohd Khambali Hambali et al., 2025). Offering definite decrees on things like non-Muslim religious sites visits can be the solution of worries that scholars can suppress by also getting rid of tolerance intolerance spreader. As a consequence of this discussion, it was pointed out by social cohesion expert that the only way to avoid the fragmentation and keep the social fabric intact is for the clergy to publicly declare the scope of tolerance through clearly drawn boundaries, by which, Islamic theology will act as a comprehensive guide to the Muslim community in a culturally diverse environment (Abdul Rahman & Shapie, 2023).

Data Collection and Review Strategy

I employed a targeted, systematic review of key sources in the body of academic knowledge to develop a rigorous conceptual system for the present study. My search strategy entailed comprehensive data gathering from the major academic sources available, Worth noting Scopus, WoS, and Google Scholar, to achieve academic quality and interdisciplinary coverage. A systematic Boolean search using McGregor and colleagues' (2016) conceptual variables drew together search terms related to 'ideology, 'dissemination, 'Malaysian population groups, religion (ie Christianity and Islam), and community groups (ie mayas and "migrant workers"). This specific variable matrix stirred the literature on propagation processes of ideological development as well as interpersonal-socio dimensions of religious-flawed Malaysian Muslims defining the context in exploring relationships between communication transparency and socio-religious outcomes.

Articles, book chapters, and institutional reports from peer-reviewed books were eligible for review. During the screening rounds, sources on Malaysia that were used in a marginal fashion or were not strong on conceptual elements of religious communication were removed. Thematic integrative analysis, or coding, provided structure and reliability for alternative conceptual definitions, then verified the conceptual setup suggested by the study's findings that examination on transparency in communication affects the socio-religious events of Islamic theology in Malaysia and its acculturation.

One narrative review coordinated fundamental references to the socio-religious existence of Malaysian Muslims and found four basic factors: pluralism theologies transparency, and propagating ideology. Their interaction is highly complex. Islam's endeavors to reconcile acceptance of pluralism while encouraging moderation (wasatiyyah), entangles themselves into a constant struggle with lax multi-religious literacy and inflexible bureaucratic systems (Zain & Rahman, 2020). This achievement of the former and failure of the latter establishes a tenuous socio-religious state and state manipulation potential prone to incendiary political and media

rhetoric (Mohamed, 2018). And the literature most evident supports transparency as the essential aspect of language to alleviate these entwining. The propagation of ideology works best when coupled with official intellectuals (e.g. bin Shaikh's proposition that the most usefully memorable rules in planning a multi-religious greeting for others be directionless and non-disparaging, and the advent of standardized education programs).

The theoretical system for this research was developed from Jürgen Habermas's Concept of Theories of Communicative Action (TCA) which explain that peace was achieved in society through open and quality communication in society rather than domination of power through the state alone (Habermas, 1984). Using the TCA setup will show that in Malaysia, ideology propagation through the medias would not be achieved through broadcasting alone but through more complex communicative negotiations. This transparency acts as the neutralizer of the sociopolitical distortions that triggers radicalism in Islam and Islamism (Osman, 2021).

That means, the transparent act of articulate neutral communication between the religious household and the public through objective education and interfaith guideline by religious institutions will influence the non-violent discourse on shared propagandistic related ideologies in the medias. While a large political sociology debate on how security in identity creates polarization in which the misnomer equally promoted in the medias through the polarized coverage effect, this enlighten up and decide that shared propagandistic related ideologies in communal division or social cohesion in society. The way to achieve the relative balance of ideological transmission in society is call for more theological transparency and not the fact of watering down the essence.

Islamic ideological dissemination occurs due to the continual encounter between the multi-religious sociocultural plurality and the prevailing frames of religious understanding (Abu Bakar & Sa'ari, 2021). The collision arises between the opposing ideologies and the constitution of peaceful co-existence. It is intensified with the rise and fall of Islamic theology between institutionalized piety with rigid fatwas and state-driven moderation (Abu Bakar, Fazial, & Omar, 2025).

The non-existence of proper multi-religious learning aggravates doctrinal uncertainties, so alienating Malaysian Muslims from the true Islamic teachings. Islamically pluralized society and diverse existences of Islamic understanding are, that means, naturally susceptible to religious socioreligious tensions which strongly affect ideological dissemination. As a protection from social disintegration, Muslims are because of this engaged in an unending effort to compress everyday interfaith encounters within the setup of key Islamic values and realities.

They are often at risk of being unseated by uncertain media narratives and runaway political partisanship. These forms of vulnerabilities sound the imperative of transparency-the design of straightforward, empirically grounded guidelines for safely navigating pluralism. Transparency releases theological boundaries of rigidity into interfaith behaviors of feasibility legitimized by religious authorities.

Clarity of Institutional Rules

The most frequent cause for doctrinal confusion is the clash between an existing religious pluralism and competing Islamic interpretations; government-driven endeavors based on vague

ideals of tolerance or ephemeral concepts of wasatiyyah have little chance at clarification when confronting the realities of day-to-day grassroots multiculturalism (Zain & Rahman, 2020). Official study centers must reframe undefined ideologies into formal and quantifiable protocols (for instance: a more exactly worded set of guidelines for Muslims to visit the temples of other faiths).

Such an explicit use of paragonic legalese would work as a form of doctrinal insurance that would safeguard the populace from potentially isolating or divisive political rhetoric and perfectly juxtapose mutual respect with pluralistic necessities and orthodox consanguinity.

Tensions between embracing religious diversity and upholding Islamic orthodoxy are mainly pronounced as conservative fatwas coming into conflict with state-prescribed maintain moderation (Abu Bakar, Fazial, & Omar, 2025). Ambiguous messages about these theological guides lay citizens open to political and media sensationalism. Transparency is a structural mediator with providing religious decrees through a transparent, scholarly process, articulating reasoning behind moderate views and particular fatwas diffuse strict boundaries for engaging diversity that preserves own religious legitimacy (Mohamed, 2018).

The Moderating Role of Regulatory Strength

While transparency is vital in a pluralism with competing formal and popular Islamic interpretations, the effect of its practice depends on the power of regulation by religious institutions (Osman, 2021). To remove ambiguity, religious power must shift away from rhetorical pluralism toward the institutional regulation of intercommunal procedures.

The strength of regulation moderates this effect: where the state can imposingly use regulation to circumvent conservative opposition, the government stabilizes a rule-by-scholar procedure in the absence of a positive multiplier unleashing the market impact, leaving populace subject to petty and nationalist control.

Conclusion

This conceptual paper discusses the role of institutional transparency in handling socio-religious dilemmas through the lens of religious pluralism and Islamic theology. The paper suggests that according to the strength of religious institutional governance of these kinds of issues, Islamic transparency in Malaysia can either help create unity amongst people or cause their religious differences to become major reasons behind conflicts when ideology is propagated. From a theoretical perspective, this paper builds upon communicative action systems indicating that regulatory measures and transparency can be an effective strategy for addressing and reducing theological vagueness and polarizing communication in multi-religious societies. The paper is also a call to action to government officials and religious leaders that tolerance should not be merely spoken of by them and instead should be supported by the making of well-defined, consistent policies for interfaith relations. Since it is essentially a conceptual paper, the major flaw from this point of view is the difficulty in making it applicable directly outside of Malaysia. So, this study needs an empirical verification through mixed methods to see how this model can be tested by the combination of a survey method of measuring people's reactions to transparent guidelines alongside a qualitative method of assessing the regulatory strengths of the institution.

-
- Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to express our gratitude to the Research Management Unit (RMU), Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Kedah Branch, Malaysia, for the valuable opportunities and incentives provided throughout this research under the Tabung Penyelidikan AM.
- Funding Statement:** This research received financial support from Tabung Penyelidikan Am. The funding body had no role in the design of the study, data collection, analysis, interpretation of results, or the decision to publish this manuscript.
- Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. All authors have contributed to this work and approved the final version of the manuscript for submission to the International Journal of Law, Government and Communication (IJLGC).
- Ethics Statement:** This study did not involve any human participants, animals, or sensitive data requiring ethical approval. The authors confirm that the research was conducted in accordance with accepted academic integrity and ethical publishing standards.
- Author Contribution Statement:** All authors contributed significantly to the development of this manuscript. Ahmad Yumni Abu Bakar was responsible for the conceptualization, methodology, and overall supervision of the study. Ahmad Afiq Irshad Omar, Sakinatul Raadiyah Abdullah & Izatul Akmar Ismail handled data collection, analysis, and interpretation of results. Muhammad Saiful Islami Mohd Taher, Siti Aisyah Yusof, Md Saufi Abdul Hamid, Daing Maruak Sadek, Muhammad Rashidi Bin Wahab & Nurul Husna Mohd Taher contributed to the literature review, drafting, and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript prior to submission.
-

References

- Abdul Rahman, N. F., & Shapie, N. S. (2023). Religious tolerance conceptual framework: Malaysian religious leaders and scholars' perspective. *International Journal of Islamic Thought*, 24(1), 168–178. <https://doi.org/10.24035/ijit.24.2023.279>
- Abu Bakar, A. Y., & Sa'ari, C. Z. (2021). Islam liberal: Kajian terhadap sumber epistemologi. *Al-Bayan: Journal of Qur'an and Hadith Studies*, 19(2), 393–410. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22321969-1902007>
- Abu Bakar, A. Y., Fazial, F., & Omar, A. A. I. (2025). Fatwas on religious pluralism in Malaysia: A literature review of Islamic legal perspectives. *International Journal of Law, Government and Communication*, 10(39), 115–128.
- Bin Jamil, A. I., Mohd Khambali, K., & Badar, S. (2025). Beyond boundaries: Unravelling the journey of multi-religious education in Malaysia. *Journal of Beliefs & Values*, 46(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13617672.2025.2555557>
- Camilleri, R. (2013). Religious pluralism in Malaysia: The journey of three prime ministers. *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations*, 24(2), 225–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09596410.2013.772327>
- Ebrahimi, M., & Yusoff, K. (2020). Socio-political Islam & revivalism in Malaysia. *Journal for the Study of Religions and Ideologies*, 19(57), 239–254.
- Fernandez, E. F., & Coyle, A. (2019). Sensitive issues, complex categories, and sharing festivals: Malay Muslim students' perspectives on interfaith engagement in Malaysia. *Political Psychology*, 40(6), 1335–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12591>
- Habermas, J. (1984). *The Theory of Communicative Action: Reason and the Rationalization of Society* (Vol. 1). Beacon Press.
- Hamid, A. F. A., & Razali, C. H. C. M. (2015). The changing face of political Islam in Malaysia in the era of Najib Razak, 2009–2013. *Sojourn: Journal of Social Issues in Southeast Asia*, 30(2), 301–337. <https://doi.org/10.1355/SJ30-2A>
- Hasyim, S. (2019). Religious pluralism revisited: Discursive patterns of the ulama fatwa in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Studia Islamika*, 26(3), 475–509. <https://doi.org/10.36712/sdi.v26i3.10623>
- Hoffstaedter, G. (2013). Islam and freedom of religion: Anthropology, theology and clashes of universalisms. *The Australian Journal of Anthropology*, 24(3), 273–289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/taja.12051>
- Majid, L. A., Hussain, A. M., Sulong, W. S. N. W., & Zainol, N. Z. N. (2024). Establishing a harmonious society: The approach of Sahih Bukhari hadith in addressing socio-political issues in Malaysia. *Islamiyyat*, 46(1), 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.17576/islamiyyat-2024-4601-10>
- Meerschaut, K., & Saeger, W. D. (2019). Contextualising Malaysia's Islamic Law: A nuanced perspective. In *Legal Pluralism in Muslim Contexts* (pp. 350–378). Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004398269_016
- Mohad, A. H., Mokhtar, R. A. M., Sulaiman, M., & Latiff, L. A. (2019). Muslim-Christian relations in Malaysia: Issues and solutions. *Humanities and Social Sciences Reviews*, 7(1), 347–353. <https://doi.org/10.18510/hssr.2019.7140>
- Mohamad, M. Z., Mujani, W. K., Rozali, E. A., & Hashim, J. (2014). The negative impact of religious pluralism on the Islamic society in Malaysia. *The Social Sciences*, 9(3), 153–156. <https://doi.org/10.3923/sscience.2014.153.156>
- Mohamed, H. (2018). Navigating religious pluralism in Malaysia: The role of the state, civil society, and Islamic jurisprudence. *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, 49(3), 412–434. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002246341800035X>

- Mohd Khambali Hambali, K., Abdul Khalil, S., Daud, M. Z., & Che Nordin, M. K. N. (2025). Guidelines for Muslim visits to non-Muslim places of worship in Malaysia: A review from the perspectives of 'Aqīdah-Comparative Religion, Fiqh and Law. *Afkar*, 27(1), 463–514. <https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol27no1.12>
- Osman, M. N. M. (2021). *Islam, Politics and Change in Malaysia*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003109358>
- Zain, M. F. M., & Rahman, A. A. (2020). The concept of wasatiyyah (moderation) and its implementation in managing religious diversity in Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic and Society Studies*, 6(2), 45–60