International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MODERN EDUCATION (IJMOE)
www.ijmoe.com

GLOBAL ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE

ADAPTING PEDAGOGICAL SCAFFOLDING FOR DIGITAL NATIVES THROUGH TECHNOLOGY

Kamariah Hussein[1*], Fariha Diyana Awang Ali[2], Khairul Firdaus Ne'matullah[3] , Siti Amalina Ahmad Khairundin[4] , Norazlina Hanim Shamsudin[5], Jayemogen Shanmugam[6]

[1] Faculty of Technology of Applied Science, Open University Malaysia, Malaysia
Email: Kamariah_hussein@oum.edu.my
[2] Faculty of Education, Open University Malaysia, Malaysia
Email: fariha_diyana@oum.edu.my
[3] Faculty of Education and Social Sciences, Kolej Universiti Antarabangsa MAIWP, Malaysia
Email: khairulfirdaus@ucmi.edu.my
[4] Faculty of Business & Accountancy, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Malaysia
Email: lynnhanim@unisel.edu.my
[5] Faculty of Education and Social Sciences, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Malaysia
Email: amalina@unisel.edu.my
[6] Faculty of Education and Social Sciences, Universiti Selangor (UNISEL), Malaysia
Email: jayemogen@unisel.edu.my
[*] Corresponding Author

Article Info:

Article history:
Received date: 16.06.2025
Revised date: 10.07.2025
Accepted date: 18.08.2025
Published date: 10.09.2025

To cite this document:

Hussein, K., Ali, F. D. A., Ne'matullah, K. F., Ahmad Khairundin, S. A., Shamsudin, N. H., & Shanmugam, J. (2025). Pedagogical scaffolding with technology: Adapting support for digital natives. *International Journal of Modern Education, 7* (26), 766-776.

DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

Abstract:

This conceptual article reviews the evolution of instructional scaffolding alongside the rise of digital technologies in education. Drawing on Vygotsky's sociocultural framework and early contributions from Wood, Bruner, and Ross, traditional scaffolding centred on direct teacher-learner interaction. The shift towards online, blended, and hybrid education models now requires rethinking scaffolding approaches to meet the learning styles of digital natives. Digital scaffolding employs technological tools to deliver structured, adaptive support, while maintaining principles of contingency, gradual withdrawal, and fostering learner autonomy. This paper applies models such as Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), the Community of Inquiry (CoI), and self-regulated learning (SRL) to explore effective ways of designing digital scaffolding. It also discusses barriers such as digital inequality, cognitive overload, and learner over-reliance. Finally, the paper outlines future pathways involving artificial intelligence, augmented and virtual reality, gamification, and mobile learning, offering practical insights for creating inclusive and effective pedagogical support in digital environments.

International Journal of Modern Education
IJMOE
EISSN: 2637-0905
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

Keywords:

Digital Scaffolding, Technology-Enhanced Learning, Learner Autonomy, Instructional Design

Introduction

In recent decades, education has undergone significant changes due to technological advancements and the evolving needs of learners. Among the various instructional strategies that have emerged, scaffolding remains crucial for supporting student learning and cognitive development. Initially, scaffolding was conceptualized within Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and further developed by scholars such as Bruner and Wood, traditionally involving direct, face-to-face interaction between teachers and students. However, the growing adoption of digital tools in education has led to a re-evaluation of how scaffolding is understood and applied in contemporary learning environments. The rise of online, blended, and hybrid instructional models presents both new opportunities and challenges in providing effective and responsive support. Learners, often referred to as digital natives, bring distinct preferences, behaviours, and levels of independence to their learning, emphasizing the need for instructional methods that align with these changing expectations. This article examines how instructional scaffolding has been adapted for digital contexts, exploring the changes brought by technology, the main characteristics of digital scaffolding, its benefits and limitations, and the implications for educators aiming to develop meaningful learning experiences in technology-rich environments.

Literature Review

Instructional Scaffolding: A Conceptual Overview

Rooted in Vygotsky's (1978) concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), instructional scaffolding is a method designed to bridge the gap between a student's independent abilities and their potential achievements with assistance. This form of structured, temporary guidance is based on sociocultural learning theory and aims to foster a gradual increase in a student's skills, knowledge, and self-assurance.

The term was first articulated by Wood, Bruner, and Ross (1976), who explained it as a process where an expert structure a learning experience for a novice. A defining characteristic of scaffolding is that it is intentionally temporary. As a learner becomes more proficient, the level of support is deliberately decreased to foster independence. Common scaffolding techniques include simplifying a complex task into manageable steps, demonstrating a solution, or offering targeted hints and feedback.

For scaffolding to be effective, it must incorporate three key principles: tailoring support to the learner's performance (contingency), progressively withdrawing that support (fading), and finally, handing over control of the task to the student (Ali & Azamri, 2023; Van de Pol, Volman, & Beishuizen, 2010). While these elements are foundational, the expansion of digital education platforms requires a new examination of how they can be implemented. The forthcoming sections will therefore delve into how these core ideas are being adapted for online learning environments.

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

Shifting Learning Environments: The Digital Context

The landscape of education has been fundamentally altered by digital technologies, leading to the rise of online, blended, and hybrid models that now supplement or even substitute for traditional classroom instruction. These formats change the dynamics of learning by affecting how information is delivered and how students engage with content, instructors, and each other (Ali et al., 2024; Yang, 2024; Nurillaev, 2024). The use of digital tools facilitates a more student-centered and interactive approach, granting learners greater flexibility and control over their own pacing (Ali et al., 2024; Djibran et al., 2024). Specifically, blended models that merge face-to-face contact with digital tools are shown to improve both academic outcomes and digital literacy (Modi & Chopra, 2024).

To effectively engage today's students, often considered "digital natives," instructional methods must evolve. Having grown up immersed in technology, these learners typically prefer visual media, self-directed pacing, and collaborative online tasks, rendering many traditional teaching strategies less effective (Ali et al., 2024; Kohli & Arora, 2024). However, transitioning to digital environments introduces significant challenges. Problems such as student isolation and decreased motivation can arise from the lack of physical interaction. Instructors must therefore design inclusive learning experiences that account for the digital divide—disparities in access to technology and skills—which can otherwise create barriers to equitable education (Ali et al., 2024; da Costa et al., 2024).

Consequently, this evolving educational context necessitates a reconceptualization of scaffolding. A modern framework for support must be adaptable enough to function in both synchronous and asynchronous learning models, leverage digital tools to actively guide students, and preserve essential human elements like personalized feedback, mentorship, and encouragement within a virtual space (Liu et al., 2022).

Digital Scaffolding: Definitions and Core Characteristics

As digital tools become more embedded in education, the concept of digital scaffolding has emerged to describe the adaptation of traditional support techniques for online and hybrid settings. Digital scaffolding refers to the use of digital platforms, tools, and resources to provide structured, responsive assistance that helps learners accomplish tasks they might not yet manage independently (Setyaningrum et al., 2024). It preserves key principles of scaffolding which are gradual release of assistance, learner autonomy, and responsive support while leveraging the capabilities of technology.

Digital scaffolding can be delivered through a range of platforms, including:

- Learning Management Systems (LMS) that organise course materials, provide feedback, and monitor student progress (Bradley, 2020)
- Interactive media such as simulations, quizzes, and tutorials that help students understand complex ideas (Mamatisakov, 2024)
- Communication tools like discussion forums, chat functions, and video conferencing that facilitate feedback and peer interaction (Ouariach et al., 2024)

International Journal of Modern Education
IJMOE
EISSN: 2637-0905
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

Distinctive features of digital scaffolding include:

- Automated prompts and cues embedded in digital materials to guide learners (Gentner & Seufert, 2020; Hefter et al., 2023)
- On-demand access to support, enabling self-paced learning and flexibility (Rabenn & Brandt, 2024)
- Multimodal formats combining visuals, audio, and interactive elements to cater to diverse learning styles (Al Jarf, 2024)
- Scalability and consistency, as digital supports can be reused across courses (Taylor et al., 2022)
- Adaptive technologies that adjust the level of assistance in real time, based on student progress (Lim et al., 2023)

Despite these strengths, effective digital scaffolding requires thoughtful design. Poorly implemented scaffolding can cause cognitive overload, hinder engagement, or create over-reliance, particularly for learners with lower digital literacy.

Models and Frameworks in Digital Scaffolding

Established theoretical frameworks provide educators with a structured approach for translating traditional scaffolding principles into digital environments. These models serve as a blueprint for creating, implementing, and assessing support strategies that leverage digital tools (Richardson et al., 2022). For instance, the influential model from Puntambekar and Hübscher (2005) conceptualizes scaffolding as a fluid and distributed process. This approach advocates for a holistic learning experience by integrating support from instructors, fellow students, and technological resources (Park, 2024).

The practice of digital scaffolding is also informed by several other key theoretical models. To ensure that support is technologically appropriate, educators can draw on the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, which emphasizes the thoughtful integration of technology with content and teaching methods (Esposito & Moroney, 2020). For fostering engagement, the Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework is particularly useful; it relies on scaffolding—such as active facilitation and peer dialogue—to establish social, cognitive, and teaching presence (Swan, 2021). Furthermore, to promote learner independence, Zimmerman's theory of self-regulated learning (SRL) shows how digital tools can be designed to help students manage their own learning through embedded prompts and goal-setting mechanisms (Alvarez et al., 2022).

Strengths and Weaknesses of Digital Scaffolding

For educators to successfully leverage digital scaffolding, a balanced understanding of its benefits and drawbacks is crucial (Mhlongo et al., 2023). From the learner's perspective, the advantages are significant. Digital support enhances flexibility and accessibility, allowing students to engage with materials at their own pace and time. This is often paired with increased engagement through interactive media and gamification (Huang & Soman, 2013; Al Azawi et al., 2016). Furthermore, adaptive technologies enable a high degree of personalization, tailoring assistance to a student's unique learning trajectory (Aljohani & Davis, 2023; MITR Media, 2024), which ultimately fosters greater autonomy (Chen, 2020). From an institutional standpoint, these tools also offer practical benefits, such as scalability and reusability, which can help manage instructor workload (Yang, Jiang, & Su, 2022).

International Journal of Modern Education
IJMOE
EISSN: 2637-0905
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

Despite its potential, digital scaffolding presents several significant limitations. The most fundamental of these is the digital divide, where inequities in access to reliable internet, devices, and digital literacy skills can prevent fair participation (Shang, 2023). Beyond access, issues can arise from the design and implementation of the support itself. For instance, poorly constructed scaffolds may lead to cognitive overload instead of clarity (Câmara et al., 2021), while the absence of in-person contact can diminish essential emotional and social support systems (Gamage, 2021). There is also a pedagogical risk: if assistance is not carefully withdrawn over time, learners may develop a dependency that inhibits their ability to solve problems independently (George, 2021). Finally, creating high-quality digital scaffolding is a resource-intensive endeavor, demanding considerable instructor expertise and institutional investment (Wei, 2024). A careful consideration of these opportunities and risks is therefore paramount for effective design (Jarvis & Baloyi, 2020).

Emerging Directions and Practical Considerations for Digital Scaffolding

As educational practices continue to evolve, digital scaffolding is likely to play an increasingly important role in enhancing teaching and learning. There are several developments and practical steps that educators and institutions should consider when planning future implementations. Recent research indicates that adaptive instructional design is especially valuable in fully online courses, helping technology provide better support and guidance for learners (Yang, Jiang, & Su, 2022). Innovations such as artificial intelligence (AI), augmented and virtual reality (AR/VR), gamification, and mobile learning are poised to further reshape how digital scaffolding supports students (Ahmad et al., 2023).

Current Developments in Digital Scaffolding

Firstly, AI-based tools are increasingly capable of delivering real-time, tailored feedback to learners. By analysing student behaviour and identifying gaps in understanding, AI systems can adjust scaffolding to meet individual needs (Kochmar et al., 2020). The use of machine learning is also making these tools more adaptive and responsive. Generative AI, for instance, is being explored as a way to create highly personalised learning experiences that can improve both engagement and equity in education (Maity & Deroy, 2024; Zuo et al., 2023).

Secondly, AR and VR technologies offer immersive learning experiences where scaffolding can be embedded into virtual environments. By allowing learners to engage with concepts in interactive, realistic settings, these tools can enhance comprehension and retention. Scaffolding within AR/VR applications can guide learners through complex scenarios step-by-step, offering support exactly when it is needed. Recent reviews highlight the strong potential of AR/VR to improve learning outcomes across subjects such as science, engineering, and language learning (Tan et al., 2022; Familoni & Onyebuchi, 2024). The SC-AR model (Piriyasurawong, 2020) provides an example of how AR can promote deep learning through visual and interactive scaffolding.

Other than that, incorporating gamification into learning environments by adding elements such as points, badges, leaderboards, levels, and challenges—can substantially increase motivation and engagement (Gorai, 2024; Qudsi, 2024). These features provide clear learning goals, immediate feedback, and a sense of progress, which helps maintain student attention and encourages persistence. Research consistently shows that well-implemented gamification not only makes learning more enjoyable but also improves knowledge retention and academic performance (Qudsi, 2024; Wulan et al., 2024). Beyond improving engagement, gamification

International Journal of Modern Education
IJMOE
EISSN: 2637-0905
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

can also support intrinsic motivation when designed to align with psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Li et al., 2024). By giving learners a sense of control over their learning, allowing them to track their progress, and fostering connections with peers, gamified environments can encourage students to take ownership of their educational experience. Furthermore, the element of challenge within gamification encourages learners to move beyond basic understanding and engage in more complex problem-solving.

Gamification can also play a role in scaffolding, especially when levels of difficulty are gradually increased, mirroring the fading of traditional scaffolding. For example, tasks can start with more guidance and become progressively more challenging, supporting learners as they build competence. Badges and achievements can mark key milestones in this progression, reinforcing both effort and mastery. However, the impact of gamification depends heavily on thoughtful design. Poorly executed gamification which focused only on superficial rewards can undermine learning by distracting students or encouraging short-term behaviours that do not build deeper understanding. Effective gamification requires clear alignment with learning objectives and should aim to promote both enjoyment and meaningful learning outcomes.

Apart from that, the increasing use of smartphones and tablets among learners has made mobile learning platforms an essential component of modern education. Mobile devices offer unique opportunities for delivering scaffolding in ways that are immediate, personal, and highly accessible. Through well-designed apps, learners can receive "just-in-time" support at critical points in their learning process whether in class, at home, or on the move. Features such as push notifications, reminders, and interactive content help maintain learner engagement and provide timely feedback (Evans & Rivera, 2024). Mobile learning platforms also extend the reach of education beyond the confines of scheduled lessons, supporting continuous learning and reflection. Learners can interact with course materials in short bursts throughout their day, reinforcing knowledge and skills over time. This flexibility is especially valuable for adult learners, part-time students, or those balancing education with other commitments.

Practical Implications for Educators and Institutions

One of the most significant trends in digital scaffolding is the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) to deliver dynamic, personalized support. AI-powered systems can interpret user actions in real-time to diagnose knowledge gaps and automatically adjust the level of assistance provided (Kochmar et al., 2020). With advancements in machine learning, and particularly the emergence of generative AI, there is growing potential to develop highly adaptive learning experiences that can enhance both student engagement and educational equity (Maity & Deroy, 2024).

In parallel, Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) are creating highly immersive learning environments where scaffolding can be seamlessly integrated. These technologies allow students to interact with complex concepts in simulated, realistic contexts, which can improve both comprehension and knowledge retention. Within these virtual worlds, support can be embedded to offer contextual, step-by-step guidance precisely when a learner needs it. The efficacy of this approach is increasingly recognized, with studies showing significant learning gains in fields like science, engineering, and language acquisition (Tan et al., 2022; Familoni & Onyebuchi, 2024). Frameworks such as the SC-AR model demonstrate how interactive and visual scaffolding within augmented reality can facilitate deeper learning (Piriyasurawong, 2020).

International Journal of Modern Education
IJMOE
EISSN: 2637-0905
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

Another powerful strategy is the integration of game-like mechanics, or gamification, into educational settings. The use of elements such as points systems, achievement badges, and tiered challenges has been shown to significantly boost student motivation and persistence (Gorai, 2024; Qudsi, 2024). By offering clear goals, immediate feedback, and a tangible sense of progress, these features can enhance both knowledge retention and academic performance (Qudsi, 2024; Wulan et al., 2024). Beyond extrinsic rewards, well-designed gamification can tap into intrinsic motivation by satisfying learners' psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness, thereby encouraging them to take greater ownership of their learning journey (Li et al., 2024).

Crucially, these game mechanics can be explicitly designed to function as a form of scaffolding. By structuring tasks in progressively difficult levels, educators can mirror the "fading" of traditional support, gradually increasing the challenge as a student's competence grows. In this model, achievements like badges serve to mark developmental milestones. However, the effectiveness of this approach is contingent upon its thoughtful implementation. If gamification is poorly executed and focuses merely on superficial rewards, it risks distracting from core concepts and promoting shallow learning. To be successful, the gamified elements must be clearly aligned with learning objectives, ensuring they foster both enjoyment and deep, meaningful understanding.

Finally, the ubiquity of smartphones and tablets has established mobile learning as a critical platform for delivering digital scaffolding. Mobile devices provide a unique opportunity for immediate, personalized, and highly accessible support. Through purpose-built applications, students can receive "just-in-time" assistance at the precise moment of need, whether in or out of the classroom. Features like push notifications and interactive prompts can be used to deliver timely feedback and maintain engagement (Evans & Rivera, 2024). This approach effectively extends the learning environment beyond scheduled class times, facilitating continuous reinforcement of skills through brief, regular interactions with course material. The inherent flexibility of mobile learning makes it particularly advantageous for learners who are balancing their education with professional or personal commitments.

Conclusion: Enhancing Education in the Digital Age\
The growing shift towards digital learning environments offers important opportunities to enhance teaching and learning through thoughtfully designed digital scaffolding. While the learning context has changed, the core principles of scaffolding includes providing timely support, promoting collaboration, and encouraging learner independence which remain highly relevant. These principles should continue to guide the design of digital tools and strategies.

By making use of digital scaffolding and addressing its challenges, educators can create more engaging, personalised, and inclusive learning experiences. The future success of digital scaffolding will depend on its ability to evolve alongside emerging technologies and changing learner needs. Educators and institutions must remain committed to continuous improvement, ensuring that scaffolding not only supports learners in the short term but also helps them develop the lifelong learning skills needed to thrive in a complex and connected world.

Acknowledgement
The authors would like to extend their sincere thanks to Open University Malaysia (OUM), University College MAIWP (UCMI), and Universiti Selangor (UNISEL) for their valuable

International Journal of Modern Education
IJMOE
EISSN: 2637-0905
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051
support in the preparation of this article. The authors are also grateful to colleagues and reviewers for their insightful feedback and suggestions, which have contributed to strengthening this work.

References

Ahmad, S., Umirzakova, S., Mujtaba, G., Amin, M. S., & Whangbo, T. (2023). Education 5.0: Requirements, enabling technologies, and future directions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15846*. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.15846

Al-Azawi, R., Al-Faliti, F., & Al-Blushi, M. (2016). Educational gamification: Motivation and engagement. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, *11*(7), 78–90. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v11i07.5455

Al-Jarf, R. (2024). Multimodal Teaching and Learning in the EFL College Classroom. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, *6*(4), 68–75. https://doi.org/10.32996/jeltal.2024.6.4.7

Ali, F.D.A., Idris, R., Zaid, N.N.M., Amir, M.M., Shuhaimi, N.I.M & Mutalib, A. (2024). Revolutionizing ESL Mastery: The Transformative Impact of Blended Learning on Language Proficiency in Selangor, International Journal of Academic Research In Progressive Education And Development, 13 (3), 3034-3050. http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v13-i3/22394

Ali, F. D. A. & Azamri, N.M. (2023). The Students' Perception of the Implementation of Online Collaborative Learning in ESL Writing Class, Asian Journal of Research in Education and Social Sciences, 5(3). e-ISSN: 2682-8502.

Aljohani, N. R., & Davis, H. C. (2023). The impact of adaptive learning technologies, personalized learning, and learning analytics on student engagement and performance. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 1133. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17031133

Alvarez, R. P., Jivet, I., Pérez-Sanagustin, M., Scheffel, M., & Verbert, K. (2022). Tools designed to support self-regulated learning in online learning environments: A systematic review. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, *15*(4), 508-522.

Bradley, V. M. (2020). *Learning Management System (LMS) Use with Online Instruction*. *4*(1), 68–92. https://doi.org/10.46328/IJTE.36

Câmara, A., Roy, N., Maxwell, D., & Hauff, C. (2021, March). Searching to learn with instructional scaffolding. In *Proceedings of the 2021 conference on human information interaction and retrieval* (pp. 209-218). https://doi.org/10.1145/3406522.3446012

Chen, C. (2021). Using scaffolding materials to facilitate autonomous online Chinese as a foreign language learning: A study during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sage Open*, *11*(3), 21582440211040131.

da Costa, M., Sgrancio Olinda, A. L., & dos Santos, A. (2024). *Digital technologies in education: Challenges and opportunities for teaching and learning*. https://doi.org/10.56238/sevenvimulti2024-019

Dichev, C., & Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamification in education: A systematic mapping study. *Educational Technology & Society, 20*(3), 75–88. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270273830_Gamification_in_Education_A_Systematic_Mapping_Study

Esposito, M., & Moroney, R. (2020). Teacher Candidates' Perception of Acquiring TPACK in the Digital Age through an Innovative Educational Technology Masters Program. *Journal for Leadership and Instruction*, *19*(1), 25-30.

Evans, T., & Rivera, A. J. (2024). Using push notifications to establish teacher presence in hybrid/online courses. In M. B. Horning & J. A. Selfe (Eds.), *The better book: Reading*

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051

and writing through literature (pp. 1–14). The WAC Clearinghouse. https://doi.org/10.37514/PER-B.2024.2241.2.01

Gamage, D. (2021, May). Scaffolding social presence in MOOCs. In *Proceedings of the Asian CHI Symposium 2021* (pp. 140-145). https://doi.org/10.1145/3429360.3468198

Gentner, N., & Seufert, T. (2020). The Double-Edged Interactions of Prompts and Self-efficacy. *Metacognition and Learning*, *15*(2), 261–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11409-020-09227-7

George, R. (2021). It Takes Courage to be an Independent Learner. *IASL Annual Conference Proceedings*. https://doi.org/10.29173/IASL7831.

Gorai, N. (2024). *Enhancing learning and engagement: the integration of gamification in elementary education* (pp. 79–87). https://doi.org/10.58532/v3bbso3p2ch1

Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? -- A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. *Proceedings of the 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 3025–3034. https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2014.377

Hefter, M. H., Kubik, V., & Berthold, K. (2023). Can prompts improve self-explaining an online video lecture? Yes, but do not disturb! *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *20*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00383-9

Herwanis, D., Zakaria, R., & Gayo, E. (2024). Training the "Scaffolding Digital Storytelling" for Secondary Level English Teacher at Middle Aceh. *Salus Publica*, *1*(3), 70–78. https://doi.org/10.58905/saluspublica.v1i3.204

Huang, W. H.-Y., & Soman, D. (2013). A practitioner's guide to gamification of education. *Research Report Series Behavioural Economics in Action*, *1*(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294350

Jarvis, M. A., & Baloyi, O. B. (2020). Scaffolding in reflective journaling: A means to develop higher order thinking skills in undergraduate learners. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences,* 12, 100195.

Kochmar, E., Vu, D. D., Belfer, R., Gupta, V., Serban, I. V., & Pineau, J. (2020). Automated personalized feedback improves learning gains in an intelligent tutoring system. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 12252, 140–146. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-52240-7_26

Kohli, A., & Arora, S. (2024). An Unconventional Education Landscape For Unconventional "Generation Alpha." *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*. https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i05.28938

Lim, L., Bannert, M., van der Graaf, J., Fan, Y., Rakovic, M., Singh, S., Molenaar, I., & Gašević, D. (2023). How do students learn with real-time personalized scaffolds? *British Journal of Educational Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13414

Liu, Z., Hua, J., & Zhang, Z. (2022). Scaffolding Instruction in Virtual Language Learning. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, *13*(2), 386–391. https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1302.20

Maity, S., & Deroy, A. (2024). Generative AI and its impact on personalized intelligent tutoring systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.10650*. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10650

Mamatisakov, J. (2024). Revolutionizing Education with Interactive Tech Boosts Global Learning Outcomes. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies*. https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v25i2.1129

Mastam, N. M. (2024). *Bridging the digital divide in malaysia: enhancing digital literacy for inclusive students in educational systems*. https://doi.org/10.56390/apjys2024.6.128.6.6

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051
Mhlongo, S., Mbatha, K., Ramatsetse, B., & Dlamini, R. (2023). Challenges, opportunities, and prospects of adopting and using smart digital technologies in learning environments: An iterative review. *Heliyon*, *9*(6).

MITR Media. (2024). AI and education: Adaptive learning for student success. Retrieved from https://www.mitrmedia.com/resources/blogs/adaptive-learning-how-technology-is-tailoring-education-to-individual-needs/

Nalaila, S., Wawire, V., & Gathara, P. M. (2022). Classroom support for students' digital literacy skills for learning in Tanzania's public universities. *Development in Practice*, *32*(7), 867–878. https://doi.org/10.1080/09614524.2022.2104219.

Nurillaev, M. I. U. (2024). The evolving landscape: challenges and opportunities in digital education. *International Journal Of Management And Economics Fundamental*. https://doi.org/10.37547/ijmef/volume04issue02-07

Ouariach, F. Z., Nejjari, A., Ouariach, S., & Khaldi, M. (2024). From Platforms to Online Communication Tools. *Dirosat*, *2*(3), 130–147. https://doi.org/10.58355/dirosat.v2i3.68

Park, S. (2024). Transition in the Concept of Scaffolding. In *The Social and Technological Dimensions of Scaffolding and Related Theoretical Concepts for Learning, Education, and Human Activity*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45698-1_2

Piriyasurawong, P. (2020). Scaffolding Augmented Reality Model to Enhance Deep Reading Skill. *TEM Journal, 9*(4), 1760–1764. https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM94-58

Puntambekar, S., & Hubscher, R. (2005). Tools for scaffolding students in a complex learning environment: What have we gained and what have we missed? *Educational psychologist*, *40*(1), 1-12.

Qudsi, H. (2024). Gamification in education: boosting student engagement and learning outcomes. *ShodhKosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, *5*(4). https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i4.2024.2542

Richardson, J. C., Caskurlu, S., Castellanos-Reyes, D., Duan, S., Duha, M. S. U., Fiock, H., & Long, Y. (2022). Instructors' conceptualization and implementation of scaffolding in online higher education courses. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 1-38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-021-09300-3

Rabenn, M., & Brandt, S. (2024). Unleashing Asynchronous Learning: Fostering Engagement in the Digital Educational Landscape. *ELearn Magazine*, *2024*(12). https://doi.org/10.1145/3709442.3666008

Setyaningrum, W., Pastoriko, F. M., Fabian, K., & Ying, C. Y. (2024). The effect of scaffolding-based digital instructional media on higher-order thinking skills. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, *15*(4), 1077–1094. https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.v15i4.pp1077-1094

Shang, R. (2023). Divide or Dividend: How Digital Finance Impacts Educational Equality. *Finance Research Letters*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2023.103858.

Swan, K. (2021). The Community of Inquiry Framework, Online and Blended Learning, and the i2Flex Classroom Model. In *Handbook of Research on K-12 Blended and Virtual Learning Through the i²Flex Classroom Model* (pp. 1-17). IGI Global.

Tan, Y., Xu, W., Li, S., & Chen, K. (2022). Augmented and Virtual Reality (AR/VR) for Education and Training in the AEC Industry: A Systematic Review of Research and Applications. *Buildings, 12*(10), 1529. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12101529.

Taylor, A., Dow, M. M., Cushing, A., Lowe, D. M., Mcginness, P., & Carlin, C. (2022). *Improved outcomes with use of the LenusCOPD digital service in the DYNAMIC-SCOT study*. https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.congress-2022.2792

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 26 (September 2025) PP. 766-776
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.726051
Van de Pol, J., Volman, M., & Beishuizen, J. (2010). Scaffolding in teacher–student interaction: A decade of research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(3), 271–296. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9127-6

Vitvitskaya, O., Suyo-Vega, J., Meneses-La-Riva, M., & Fernández-Bedoya, V. (2022). Behaviours and Characteristics of Digital Natives Throughout the Teaching-Learning Process: A Systematic Review of Scientific Literature from 2016 to 2021. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*. https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2022-0066.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Wei, Z. (2024). Navigating digital learning landscapes: unveiling the interplay between learning behaviors, digital literacy, and educational outcomes. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *15*(3), 10516-10546. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01522-3

Wen, Z., Huijser, H., Zhang, S., Zhang, T., & Zhang, X. (2024). Putting the flesh on the bones: using a fishbone digital learning design method to align educational objectives. *Education and Information Technologies*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12799-5

Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89–100. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1976.tb00381.x

Wulan, D. R., Nainggolan, D. M., Hidayat, Y., Rohman, T., & Fiyul, A. Y. (2024). Exploring the Benefits and Challenges of Gamification in Enhancing Student Learning Outcomes. *Global International Journal of Innovative Research*, *2*(7), 1657–1674. https://doi.org/10.59613/global.v2i7.238

Yang, J., Jiang, R., & Su, H. (2022). A technology-enhanced scaffolding instructional design for fully online courses. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 38*(6). Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.6991

Yang, J., Jiang, R., & Su, H. (2022). A technology-enhanced scaffolding instructional design for fully online courses. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *38*(6), 21-33.

Yang, Y. (2024). *Transforming Learning Landscapes: The Evolution and Impact of Educational Technology*. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/fj8k2

Zhong, B., & Si, Q. (2020). Troubleshooting to Learn via Scaffolds: Effect on Students' Ability and Cognitive Load in a Robotics Course. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 59, 95 - 118. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633120951871.

Zuo, M., Kong, S. Y., & Hu, Y. (2023). The Effects of Using Scaffolding in Online Learning: A Meta-Analysis. *Neveléstudomány*. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070705