International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MODERN EDUCATION (IJMOE)
www.ijmoe.com

CONSTRUCTIVISM VS. BEHAVIORISM OF PEDAGOGICAL THEORIES TO THE DIGITAL CLASSROOM: PRACTICAL STRATEGIES FOR HIGHER EDUCATORS

Chen Liyao[1] , Shu Cheng[2]*, Aminuddin Hassan[3]

[1] Department of Arts and Education, Kuala Lumpur University of Science and Technology, Malaysia, Guangdong Technology College, China,
Email: 242924907@s.iukl.edu.my / leonielychen@foxmail.com
[2] Guangdong Technology College, China
Email: shucheng@gdlgxy.edu.cn/ 570638126@qq.com
[3] Department of Foundations of Education, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
Email: aminuddin@upm.edu.my
* Corresponding Author

Article Info:

Article history:
Received date: 26.06.2025
Revised date: 10.07.2025
Accepted date: 25.08.2025
Published date: 01.10.2025

To cite this document:

Chen, L., Shu, C., & Hassan, A. (2025). Constructivism Vs. Behaviorism of Pedagogical Theories to the Digital Classroom: Practical Strategies for Higher Educators. *International Journal of Modern Education, 7* (27), 207-216.

DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

Abstract:

In the rapidly evolving background of higher education, the digital classroom has emerged as a trans-formative atmosphere where traditional pedagogical theories are being re-imagined to meet the needs of contemporary learners. This paper explores the application of two prominent pedagogical theories—Behaviorism and Constructivism in digital learning environments, highlighting their distinct approaches and practical implications for higher education. The paper discusses that a blended method, integrating both Behaviorist and Constructivist tactics, can create a more inclusive and dynamic digital learning environment. By leveraging the strengths of each theory, higher educators can design courses that cater to diverse learning needs, promote student engagement, and enhance learning results. Practical recommendations include the usage of Learning Management Systems (LMS) for structured content delivery, personalized learning through adaptive tools, and fostering social interaction through collaborative platforms. This paper concludes that while Behaviorism and Constructivism offer distinct theoretical foundations, their combined application in the digital classroom can lead to a more effective and student-centered learning experience. Behaviorism emphasizes observable behaviors, reinforcement, and structured learning experiences while Constructivism, on the other hand, focuses on active learning, cognitive processes, and the co-construction of knowledge. By thoughtfully integrating these pedagogical approaches, higher educators

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

can better prepare students for the challenges of the digital age and foster a culture of lifelong learning.

Keywords:

Pedagogical Strategies, Constructivism, Behaviorism, Digital Classroom, Higher Education

Introduction

In the contemporary landscape of higher education, the digital classroom has emerged as a pivotal platform for teaching and learning, driven by rapid technological advancements and the increasing demand for flexible, accessible education. This shift towards technology-enhanced learning environments necessitates a re-evaluation of traditional pedagogical theories and their application in the digital age. Among these theories, Constructivism and Behaviorism stand out as two dominant frameworks that have significantly influenced educational practices for decades (Kahu, 2013). However, their relevance and effectiveness in the context digital classrooms remain a subject of ongoing debate and exploration.

Behaviorism, rooted in the principles of observable behavior, reinforcement, and conditioning, has long been a cornerstone of traditional educational practices. It emphasizes structured learning experiences, repetition, and the use of external stimuli to shape student performance. In the digital classroom, Behaviorist strategies manifest through gamified learning platforms, drill-and-practice software, and adaptive learning technologies that provide immediate feedback and reinforcement. These approaches are particularly effective for teaching foundational skills and ensuring consistent performance, making them a valuable component of digital pedagogy (Sam May-Varas et al., 2023).

In contrast, Constructivism focuses on the learner's active role in constructing knowledge through exploration, social interaction, and meaningful experiences. This theory aligns with the principles of student-centered learning, critical thinking, and the application of knowledge in real-world contexts. In digital environments, Constructivist strategies are implemented through project-based learning, collaborative group work, immersive simulations, and virtual reality experiences. These methods encourage students to engage deeply with content, fostering a deeper understanding and more durable learning outcomes.

The juxtaposition of these two pedagogical theories—Behaviorism and Constructivism—raises important questions for higher educators: How can these distinct theoretical frameworks be effectively integrated into digital classrooms? What practical strategies can be employed to leverage the strengths of each approach while addressing their limitations? (Snijders et al., 2020). And, ultimately, how can educators create a balanced, technology-enhanced learning environment that meets the diverse needs of modern students?

The paper aims to explore these questions by examining the application of Behaviorism and Constructivism in digital classrooms. It will provide an overview of each theory, discuss their practical implications for higher education, and offer evidence-based strategies for integrating these approaches in a blended learning model. By examining the strengths and challenges associated with each pedagogical framework, this paper seeks to

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014
provide higher educators with actionable insights for designing effective, inclusive, and engaging digital learning experiences.

In an era where technology continues to reshape the educational landscape, understanding the interplay between Behaviorist and Constructivist theories is essential for educators striving to meet the evolving needs of their students (Stangor & Walinga, 2014). This paper argues that a balanced, theory-informed approach to digital pedagogy can enhance student engagement, foster deeper learning, and ultimately prepare students for the complex demands of the 21st-century learning environment.

Constructivism In The Digital Classroom

Constructivism is a learning theory that emphasizes the active construction of knowledge by learners through exploration, collaboration, and real-world experiences. In the digital classroom, constructivist strategies focus on engaging students in meaningful learning experiences that promote critical thinking, problem-solving, and the development of deeper understanding.

Combine online and offline teaching methods. Using online platforms to deliver lecture videos and learning materials before class, and then conduct in-depth discussions, case analyses, and practical operations in face-to-face classes. This allows students to learn at their own pace and facilitates better knowledge absorption.

Divide teaching content into small, focused modules or micro-lessons. Each module can be completed in a short period, such as 10-15 minutes, which is convenient for students to learn fragmented knowledge and is suitable for the characteristics of online learning where attention is easily distracted.

Design problem scenarios based on real-world issues and guide students to use digital resources to find solutions. For instance, in a business management course, students can be asked to analyze and solve a company's actual operational problems through online data research and case analysis(Basri et al., 2020).

Make full use of LMS such as Blackboard or Moodle to manage courses. Teachers can upload course materials, assign and grade homework, and track students' learning progress. Through data analysis functions in LMS, teachers can understand students' learning behaviors and difficulties and adjust teaching strategies in a timely manner.

Use video conferencing tools such as Zoom or Tencent Meeting for live teaching and discussions. These tools support features such as screen sharing, interactive whiteboards, and breakout rooms, enabling real-time interaction between teachers and students and among students.

Incorporate various educational apps into teaching. For language learning, apps like Duolingo can be used to help students practice vocabulary and speaking; for mathematics and science courses, apps like Wolfram Alpha can assist with problem-solving and concept understanding.

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

Key Principles of Constructivism

Key Principles of Constructivism including Active Learning, Prior Knowledge, Social Interaction, Real-World Contexts and Student-Centered Approach.

Active Learning: Learners construct knowledge through hands-on activities, problem-solving, and exploration.
Prior Knowledge: New learning is built upon existing knowledge, making it essential to activate prior understanding.
Social Interaction: Collaboration and discussion with peers and instructors enhance learning outcomes.
Real-World Contexts: Learning is more effective when situated in authentic, real-world scenarios (Fredricks & McColskey, 2012).
Student-Centered Approach: Educators act as facilitators, guiding students to construct their own understanding.
Furthermore, Project-Based Learning (PBL), Collaborative Learning, Interactive Simulations and Virtual Labs, Flipped Classrooms, Personalized Learning Paths and Reflective Learning are the practical Strategies for Digital Classrooms.

Project-Based Learning (PBL)

Digital tools enable students to engage in complex, long-term projects that require research, collaboration, and application of knowledge. For example, students can use online platforms to work on interdisciplinary projects, such as developing a digital marketing campaign or solving a community problem.

Collaborative Learning

Online collaboration tools like discussion boards, wikis, and virtual group projects allow students to share perspectives and co-construct knowledge. Virtual communities and social learning platforms can also enhance peer interaction and support.

Interactive Simulations and Virtual Labs

These tools provide immersive experiences that allow students to explore complex concepts and apply theoretical knowledge in virtual environments. For example, science students can conduct virtual experiments, while business students can simulate market scenarios (Wu et al., 2023).

Flipped Classrooms

In a flipped classroom model, students review lecture materials online before class, allowing class time to be used for interactive activities, discussions, and problem-solving. This approach leverages digital resources to maximize active learning during face-to-face sessions.

Personalized Learning Paths

Digital platforms can offer personalized learning experiences tailored to individual student needs, allowing them to explore topics at their own pace and in their preferred learning style. This aligns with constructivist principles by supporting the construction of knowledge based on individual prior knowledge and interests (Bovill, 2020).

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

Reflective Learning

Digital portfolios, blogs, and reflective journals provide students with opportunities to document their learning journey, reflect on their progress, and integrate new knowledge with existing understanding. These tools support metacognitive skills and deeper learning.

Set up online discussion forums on the course platform where students can post questions, share ideas, and discuss course-related topics. Teachers should actively participate in the discussions, guide the direction of the topics, and encourage students to express their opinions. Organize students to complete group projects through online collaboration tools. This can cultivate students' teamwork skills and communication skills. In a software development course, students can use tools like GitHub to collaborate on code development. Introduce gamification elements into teaching, such as setting up points systems, badges, and leaderboards. For example, students can earn points by completing learning tasks, participating in discussions, and getting good grades in assignments. This can increase students' motivation and enthusiasm for learning. Formative Assessment: Adopt a variety of formative assessment methods, such as online quizzes, assignments, and peer reviews. Online quizzes can be set to automatically grade and provide immediate feedback to students. Peer reviews can help students learn from each other and improve their critical thinking skills. Use learning data to evaluate students' learning outcomes. Analyze data such as students' learning time, participation in discussions, and assignment completion to comprehensively understand students' learning situations and provide personalized learning suggestions. Provide timely and specific feedback to students (Zainullah et al., 2023). Whether it is in response to questions in class, comments on assignments, or evaluations of project progress, feedback should focus on students' strengths and areas for improvement and offer guidance on how to improve.

Benefits and Challenges

Constructivist strategies promote active participation and intrinsic motivation. By connecting new knowledge to real-world contexts and prior understanding, students develop a more profound and lasting grasp of concepts. Constructivist approaches support the development of critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, and communication skills (Msed, 2023).

Implementing constructivist strategies may require significant digital resources and infrastructure. Educators need to shift from traditional teaching roles to facilitators, which may require additional training and support. Evaluating student learning in constructivist environments can be more challenging due to the focus on process and individual growth (Zainullah et al., 2023).

Constructivism offers a powerful framework for enhancing learning in digital classrooms by emphasizing active engagement, collaboration, and the construction of knowledge. By leveraging digital tools and strategies such as project-based learning, interactive simulations, and personalized learning paths, educators can create dynamic and meaningful learning experiences that prepare students for the demands of the 21st century. However, successful implementation requires thoughtful planning, adequate resources, and a willingness to embrace a student-centered approach.

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

Behaviorism In The Digital Classroom

Behaviorism, as a learning theory, emphasizes the role of external stimuli and reinforcement in shaping observable behaviors. In the context of the digital classroom, Behaviorism offers practical strategies to enhance student engagement, manage behavior, and promote structured learning experiences (Jabsheh, 2024). Below are key applications of Behaviorism in digital education, supported by recent research and best practices. Behaviorists believe that behavior is a response to a stimulus. In the digital classroom, this could mean that when students are presented with specific digital content such as a video lecture (stimulus), they are expected to respond by learning the information or performing a related task.

Positive Reinforcement

Positive reinforcement involves rewarding desirable behaviors to encourage their repetition. In the digital classroom, this can be achieved through: Verbal Praise and Feedback: Providing immediate, positive feedback on online assignments or during virtual discussions. Using digital badges, points, or certificates to motivate students. For example, awarding points for completing online modules or participating in discussion forums. Incorporating game-like elements (e.g., leaderboards, progress bars) into learning management systems (LMS) to make learning more engaging (Anderson et al., 2020).

Negative Reinforcement

Negative reinforcement involves removing an unpleasant stimulus to increase the frequency of a desired behavior. In the digital classroom, allowing students to skip certain tasks if they achieve high performance in assessments. Offering flexibility in deadlines for students who demonstrate consistent progress.

Punishment

Punishment involves applying negative consequences to discourage undesirable behaviors. However, its use should be judicious to avoid negative impacts on student motivation and self-esteem. Temporarily restricting access to certain online resources or activities for non-compliance. Providing corrective feedback on assignments or behavior, focusing on improvement rather than punishment.

Modeling

Modeling involves demonstrating desired behaviors for students to observe and imitate. Creating instructional videos that model problem-solving or task completion. Using avatars or simulations to demonstrate appropriate behaviors in online group activities.

Shaping

Shaping involves reinforcing successive approximations of a desired behavior until it is fully mastered. In digital education: Breaking down complex tasks into smaller steps and providing reinforcement at each stage. For example, gradually increasing the complexity of online assignments. Using software that adjusts the difficulty level based on student performance, providing scaffolded support.

Cueing

Cueing involves providing hints or prompts to guide student behavior or performance. Using on-screen prompts, reminders, or virtual gestures to guide students through tasks. Incorporating interactive elements (e.g., clickable hints, pop-up guides) in digital content to support learning.

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

Many digital learning platforms use gamification elements based on behaviorism. Math learning apps might reward students with coins or stars for answering questions correctly. These rewards act as positive reinforcement, motivating students to continue practicing math problems. Adaptive Learning Systems use behaviorist principles to adapt to students' responses. If a student answers a series of questions incorrectly, the system (stimulus) might present more practice problems on that particular topic until the student shows improvement (response). Digital platforms often provide immediate feedback on assignments and quizzes. This feedback serves as a form of reinforcement. Positive feedback can boost students' confidence and motivation, while constructive criticism can help them understand where they went wrong and how to improve.

Benefits and Limitations of Behaviorism in the Digital Classroom

Behaviorism offers several advantages in digital education. Provides clear expectations and consistent routines, which are essential in the digital environment. Emphasizes observable behaviors and measurable results, allowing for effective assessment and feedback. Through reinforcement and gamification, Behaviorism can significantly increase student motivation and participation (Bakker, 2015). Behaviorism in the digital classroom provides a clear structure for learning. Students know what is expected of them and what behaviors will lead to rewards or punishments. This clarity can help students stay focused and motivated. The ability to receive immediate feedback allows students to quickly correct their mistakes and learn from them. This is particularly beneficial in digital environments where students can progress at their own pace. The use of rewards and incentives can increase students' motivation to engage with the learning material. This is especially effective for younger students or those who need an extra push to stay on track.

However, it also has limitations. Behaviorism primarily focuses on observable behaviors, potentially overlooking deeper cognitive processes. The heavy use of rewards and punishments may reduce intrinsic motivation over time. Behaviorism provides valuable tools for managing and enhancing learning in digital classrooms (Choi & Han, 2023). By leveraging techniques such as positive reinforcement, modeling, and shaping, educators can create structured, engaging learning environments that promote consistent performance and skill development. Focusing solely on stimulus-response and reinforcement may lead to shallow learning. Students might memorize information to receive rewards but not truly understand or be able to apply it in different contexts. Behaviorist approaches often rely on external rewards and punishments, overlooking students' internal desires to learn and explore. Some students may lose interest once the rewards are taken away. It assumes that all students will respond similarly to the same stimuli and reinforcements, which may not be the case. Different students have different learning styles and motivations. Nevertheless, it is important to balance Behaviorist approaches with other pedagogical theories, such as Constructivism, to address the diverse needs of students and foster deeper, more meaningful learning (Jabsheh, 2024).

Conclusion

In the digital classroom, both Constructivism and Behaviorism offer valuable yet distinct approaches to teaching and learning. Behaviorism, with its focus on observable behaviors, reinforcement, and structured learning, provides a practical framework for ensuring consistent performance and mastering foundational skills. This approach is particularly effective in digital environments where immediate feedback and repetitive practice can be facilitated through adaptive learning platforms and gamified elements. On the other hand, Constructivism

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014
emphasizes active learning, social interaction, and the construction of knowledge through real-world contexts. It encourages students to engage deeply with content, fostering critical thinking and problem-solving skills. In the digital classroom, Constructivist strategies such as project-based learning, collaborative tools, and interactive simulations can enhance student engagement and promote deeper understanding (Rehman et al., 2025). Despite their differences, both theories share common ground in their focus on the learning process and the role of the environment in shaping educational outcomes. They also highlight the importance of structuring learning materials and aligning instructional strategies with clear objectives. However, their effectiveness varies based on the learning context and the specific needs of students.

In practice, a blended approach that leverages the strengths of both Behaviorism and Constructivism is recommended (Jang et al., 2010). Foundational skills can be taught through Behaviorist strategies, while deeper learning and application can be supported through Constructivist methods. This balanced approach allows educators to create dynamic, inclusive digital classrooms that cater to diverse learning needs and promote both skill acquisition and critical thinking. The digital classroom environment has the potential to blend these two theories. While behaviorism can be used to ensure that students master the fundamental knowledge and skills through structured practice and rewards, constructivism can be employed to foster higher - order thinking, creativity, and problem - solving abilities (I et al., 2025). A well - designed digital curriculum might start with behaviorist - based drills to build a knowledge base and then transition to constructivist - led projects where students apply and expand upon that knowledge.

Ultimately, the choice between Behaviorism and Constructivism should not be seen as mutually exclusive. Instead, educators should critically analyze the learning context and flexibly integrate elements from both theories to enhance teaching practices and achieve optimal learning outcomes. By doing so, higher educators can better prepare students for the demands of the digital age, fostering a culture of lifelong learning and adaptability. Neither constructivism nor behaviorism can be considered the sole ideal pedagogical theory for the digital classroom. Instead, a judicious integration of the two, tailored to the learning objectives, the nature of the content, and the characteristics of the learners, holds the key to maximizing the educational potential of digital learning environments. By leveraging the strengths of both theories, educators can create a rich, engaging, and effective digital learning experience that promotes both knowledge acquisition and deep understanding.

Acknowledgement
I extend my deepest gratitude to Professor Dr. Aminuddin Bin Hassan, for his unwavering guidance, intellectual insight, and patience throughout the research process. His expertise in educational theories, particularly in constructivism and behaviorism, has been instrumental in shaping the theoretical framework of this paper. The meticulous feedback on integrating these theories into digital classroom practices has not only enhanced the quality of this research but also inspired my understanding of pedagogical innovation. I am also indebted to Dr. Shariffah Bahyah Binti Syed Ahmad, for her valuable discussions on higher education pedagogy and her generous sharing of classroom case studies. The practical experiences in implementing digital teaching strategies provided critical real-world context to this study. Additionally, I would like to thank the technical support team at Guangdong Technology College for their assistance in navigating digital tools and platforms, which enabled the analysis of

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014
pedagogical strategies in online learning environments. Special thanks are due to the participants of the teaching experiment−both educators and students−who contributed their time and insights. Their willingness to share challenges and successes in digital classrooms has enriched the practical strategies proposed herein. Finally, I owe endless appreciation to my family and friends for their emotional support and encouragement during the research and writing process. Their patience and belief in my work have been a constant source of motivation.

References

Anderson, V., Rabello, R., Wass, R., Golding, C., Rangi, A., Eteuati, E., Bristowe, Z.,& Waller, A. (2020). Good teaching as care in higher education. *Higher Education*, *79*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00392-6

Bakker, A. B. (2015). A Job Demands–Resources Approach to Public Service Motivation. *Public Administration Review*, *75*(5), 723–732. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12388

Basri, H., Amin, S., Umiyati, M., Mukhlis, H., & Irviani, R. (2020). Learning Theory of Conditioning. *JOURNAL OF CRITICAL REVIEWS*, *VOL 7*(ISSUE 08). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/343395979_Learning_Theory_of_Conditioning

Bovill, C. (2020). Co-creation in learning and teaching: The case for a whole-class approach in higher education. *Higher Education*, *79*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00453-w

Choi, J., & Han, H. (2023). Understanding the Influence of Teacher-Student Relationship on Mathematics Achievement: Evidence From Korean Students. *SAGE Open*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231208548

Fredricks, J. A., & McColskey, W. (2012). The measurement of student engagement: A comparative analysis of various methods and student self-report instruments. In *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 763–782). Springer Science + Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-2018-7_37

I, R., N, M., T, S., & Ci, R. (2025, January). *Classical Conditioning*. PubMed. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29262194/

Jabsheh, A.-A.-H. (2024). Behaviorism, Cognitivism, and Constructivism as the Theoretical Bases for Instructional Design. *Technium Education and Humanities*. https://doi.org/10.47577/teh.v7i.10576

Jang, H., Reeve, J., & Deci, E. L. (2010). Engaging students in learning activities: It is not autonomy support or structure but autonomy support and structure. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *102*(3), 588–600. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019682

Kahu, E. R. (2013). Framing student engagement in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, *38*(5), 758–773. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2011.598505

Msed, K. C. (2023). *How Classical Conditioning Works, With Examples*. Verywell Mind. https://www.verywellmind.com/classical-conditioning-2794859

Rehman, I., Mahabadi, N., Sanvictores, T., & Rehman, C. I. (2025). Classical Conditioning. In *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470326/

Sam May-Varas, E. D., Jennifer Margolis, P., & Tanya Mead, M. A. (2023). *Behaviorism*. https://openoregon.pressbooks.pub/educationallearningtheories3rd/chapter/chapter-1-behaviorism/

Snijders, I., Wijnia, L., Rikers, R. M. J. P., & Loyens, S. M. M. (2020). Building bridges in higher education: Student-faculty relationship quality, student engagement, and student

International Journal of Modern Education
EISSN: 2637-0905
IJMOE
Volume 7 Issue 27 (October 2025) PP. 207-216
DOI: 10.35631/IJMOE.727014

loyalty. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *100*, 101538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101538

Stangor, C., & Walinga, J. (2014). *8.1 Learning by Association: Classical Conditioning*. https://opentextbc.ca/introductiontopsychology/chapter/7-1-learning-by-association-classical-conditioning/

Wu, X., Wu, R., Hanley, C., Liu, H., & Liu, J. (2023). How to better balance academic achievement and learning anxiety from time on homework? A multilevel and classification and regression tree analyses. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1130274. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1130274

Zainullah, Mahfud, M., & Riniati, W. O. (2023). Exploring the Role of Teacher-Student Relationships in Academic Achievement: A Qualitative Study in Primary Schools. *The Eastasouth Journal of Learning and Educations*, *1*(02), Article 02. https://doi.org/10.58812/esle.v1i02.111