



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
MODERN TRENDS INSIDE
SOCIAL SCIENCE
(IJMTSS)

www.gaexcellence.com/ijmtss



ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE AND SUSTAINABILITY IN OIL EXTRACTION: A CONCEPTUAL MODEL OF THE ROLE OF TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION INTERMEDIATES IN THE UAE

Al Ali Mohamed Rashid Humaid Adran¹, Siti Asma' Mohd Rosdi @ Mohd Rodhi*²

¹Department of Business Management and Entrepreneurship, Sultan Idris Education University, Malaysia

 P20241000543@siswa.upsi.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-0735-0359>

²Department of Business Management and Entrepreneurship, Sultan Idris Education University, Malaysia

 sitiasma@fpe.upsi.edu.my

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8291-4997>

*Corresponding Author

Article Information:

Article history:

Date received: 30.07.2026

Review date: 18.08.2026

Date received: 03.09.2026

Published date: 24.09.2026

To cite this document:

Adran, A. A.M. H., & Rodhi, S. A. M. R. M. (2026). Environmental Governance and Sustainability in Oil Extraction: A Conceptual Model of the Role of Technological Innovation Intermediates in The UAE. *International Journal of Modern Trend in Social Sciences*, 9(35), 44-54.

DOI: 10.35631/IJMTSS.935004

Abstract:

The oil extraction sector in the United Arab Emirates (UAE) operates under the urgent need to align large-scale hydrocarbon production with the country's sustainability commitments by 2050. While oil extraction companies are increasingly adopting environmental management practices (EMP), the actual mechanisms that translate these practices into sustainability across multiple dimensions remain poorly explored. This paper develops an integrated conceptual framework that examines the relationship between EMP and sustainable performance that encompasses environmental, social, and economic dimensions, with technological innovation as an important mediating mechanism. Based on a synthesis of Institutional Theory, Natural Resource Based View (NRBV), and Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT). The framework explains how macro-level regulatory pressures drive the adoption of EMP, while micro-level organizational capabilities and advanced technological innovations such as AI-driven monitoring, IoT sensors, and carbon capture technologies transform compliance with standards into sustainable competitive advantage. The paper concludes with critical theoretical contributions and strategic implications for energy executives and policymakers leading the way toward decarbonization in an energy-dependent economy.

Keywords:

Environmental Management Practices, Technological Innovation, Sustainability Performance, Oil Extraction, United



© Author (2026). This is an Open Access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY NC) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial reuse, please contact ijmtss@gaexcellence.com.

Introduction

Sustainable development has become a major vision for most developed countries. Various sectors and industries in developed countries are striving to reconcile economic growth with environmental protection. This transformation is particularly evident in the oil and gas industry, where core operations result in greenhouse gas emissions, pollution and depletion of natural resources. This scenario is particularly evident in the United Arab Emirates (UAE), as the world's leading oil producer.

Environmental Management Practices (EMPs) comprising compliance with environmental regulations, implementation of Environmental Management Systems (EMS), waste management, resource efficiency measures and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) practices have been adopted by oil companies to reduce the ecological impact of extraction activities. However, these practices are oriented towards regulatory compliance, raising questions about their ability to generate sustained long-term sustainable outcomes. Ironically, technological innovations including artificial intelligence, carbon capture and storage, the Internet of Things and advanced monitoring systems are increasingly being classified as catalysts that can translate environmental management efforts into measurable sustainable performance.

An initial scoping search was conducted across major academic databases such as Scopus and Web of Science. The aim was to thoroughly validate the research. The search strategy used a combination of core keywords, including ("environmental management practices" OR "EMP" OR "ISO 14001") AND ("technological innovation" OR "digitalization" OR "green technology") AND ("sustainable performance" OR "triple bottom line") AND ("oil and gas" OR "petroleum extraction") AND ("United Arab Emirates" OR "UAE" OR "GCC"). Inclusion criteria were limited to English-language journal articles published between 2018 and 2024. The search revealed that despite the widespread linking of management systems to operational compliance or direct environmental outcomes, studies that empirically conceptualize technological innovation as an explicit mediating mechanism in the oil extraction sector, particularly under the UAE's regulatory and institutional landscape, remain underexplored. Most of the existing literature limits technology as a contextual background variable. This confirms a clear theoretical and conceptual gap. Therefore, this paper addresses this gap by developing an integrated conceptual framework that examines how environmental management practices, reinforced by technological innovation as a strategic mediator, influence sustainable performance in oil extraction firms in the UAE.

Literature Review

Sustainable Concept

According to the World Commission on Environment and Development, (1987) sustainability is commonly understood as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. This definition is broadly operationalized through a triple bottom line framework that encompasses environmental, social, and economic dimensions (Borowy, 2021; Hajian & Kashani, 2021). The environmental dimension focuses on resource stewardship and greenhouse gas reduction; the social dimension involves labor rights, community well-being; and the economic dimension addresses long-term profitability, flexibility, and risk management (Borowy, 2021).

Environmental Management and Technological Innovation in the Oil and Gas Sector

Oil and gas firm operations have significant environmental impacts through greenhouse gas emissions, waste generation and pollution risks, driving the adoption of EMS, EIA, carbon capture and operational efficiency measures (Njuguna et al., 2022; Ozowe et al., 2024; Vora et al., 2021). The UAE has translated these impacts into a coherent national strategy. The Energy Strategy 2050 targets a significant reduction in the carbon intensity of the power sector alongside an expanded share of clean energy, while major initiatives such as the Mohammed bin Rashid Al Maktoum Solar Park and the Al-Reyadah Carbon Capture, Utilisation and Storage (CCUS) facility illustrate the operationalisation of this commitment (Deng et al., 2022; Khan et al., 2024). Abu Dhabi National Oil Company (ADNOC) has also adopted a decarbonisation action plan targeting net zero operational emissions, upstream methane reduction and comprehensive waste management.

Through this policy, digitalization has emerged as a key enabler of environmental management (Al-Rebawi, 2023; Chutcheva et al., 2022). It is based on artificial intelligence that supports waste separation and recycling (Lakhuit, 2025), Internet of Things-based systems optimize transportation and release during waste logistics (Abdeljaber et al., 2022), and CCUS technologies such as Al-Reyadah capture carbon dioxide from industrial processes for reinjection and storage (Deng et al., 2022). Nanotechnology applications, including reusable oil-absorbent nanowires, are being explored to accelerate oil spill recovery. Collectively, these technologies illustrate the convergence of environmental governance and growing technological capabilities in the UAE oil sector. However, environmental management practices towards strong sustainable outcomes remain understudied empirically.

Connecting Environmental Management, Technological Innovation and Sustainability

Previous research studies have shown that the relationship between EMP and environmental and financial performance is inverse. Consistent with the resource-based theory that well-implemented practices, when aligned with internal organizational capabilities, increase effective environmental outcomes (Hart, 1995; Jamil et al., 2023; Patel et al., 2022). In fact, ISO 14001-certified firms that incorporate EMP with circular economy principles have been found to outperform non-certified firms in environmental efficiency and resource productivity (Arocena et al., 2021; Sharma et al., 2023). However, implementation barriers including organizational inertia, weak stakeholder engagement, limited enforcement and short-term economic priorities can hinder EMP from achieving sustainable gains, particularly in the Gulf region (Bashir et al., 2024).

In comparison, previous researchers have studied the oil and gas sector specifically, and found that high capital intensity, complex global value chains, and significant environmental risks are renewable (Blondeel & Bradshaw, 2022). Previous research has also concluded that technology use is an ancillary rather than a primary mediating mechanism linking environmental management to sustainable performance (Al-Saleh & Taleb, 2021). Meanwhile, the social and economic dimensions of the triple bottom line are still less studied than the environmental dimensions. This paper addresses this gap by placing technological innovation as a mediating variable in an integrated conceptual model for the UAE oil extraction environment.

Conceptual Framework and Theoretical Backbone

Theoretical Foundations and Multi-Level Integration

Natural Resource Based View (NRBV), Institutional Theory and Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT) are said to be conceptually isolated. However, this framework synthesizes them into a more integrated level. Existing literature in the resource extraction sector often relies on a single theoretical paradigm. This leads to an incomplete explanation of corporate greening. Thus, by combining these three perspectives, this study drives macro-institutional, micro-organizational capabilities and technology diffusion mechanisms.

Equipment Across Analysis Levels

Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983) creates macro-level incentives for environmental action. In the UAE oil extraction sector, firms operate under increasing coercive regulatory pressures e.g., federal environmental mandates, emission limits. These institutional forces compel operators to adopt formal Environmental Management Practices (EMPs) to gain social legitimacy and operating licenses. However, institutional theory explains compliance and uniformity, it does not explain how firms generate distinct competitive advantages from their environmental initiatives.

To address these limitations, the Natural Resource-Based View (Hart, 1995) provides a micro-level internal capability perspective. The NRBV argues that external compliance alone is not sufficient to deliver superior sustainable outcomes. Instead, firms must internalize EMPs such as comprehensive waste management, pollution prevention, and resource efficiency into valuable organizational capabilities. In capital-intensive oil extraction, these green capabilities serve as fundamental assets that reduce operational risk and optimize life-cycle resource use. The Diffusion of Innovation Theory (Rogers, 2003) acts as a process-mediating mechanism that bridges external pressures and internal capabilities with multidimensional sustainable outcomes. While the NRBV identifies strategic capability requirements and Institutional Theory provides the mandate, the IDT describes how specific technological innovations are evaluated, adopted, and assimilated across complex operational workflows.

Resolving the Original Theoretical Tension

There is a significant theoretical tension between Institutional Theory and NRBV. Institutional theory predicts that benchmarking causes all oil companies to adopt nearly identical environmental policies (such as generic ISO 14001 certification that risks superficial greenwashing) (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). In contrast, NRBV assumes heterogeneity of sources, asserting that superior economic and ecological performance results exclusively from a firm's unique and specific set of routines (Hart, 1995). The proposed framework resolves this

tension by positing technological innovation as a distinct mediator. While institutional pressures create a uniform baseline of compliance across the UAE oil sector, firms differ fundamentally in their technology absorptive capacity and rate of innovation diffusion (Rogers, 2003). For example, firms that leverage cutting-edge technological innovations to operate their EMPs are free from isomorphic compliance, transforming mandatory regulatory standards into idiosyncratic and causally ambiguous green capabilities that drive superior environmental, social, and economic performance.

Theoretical Proposal

In line with the conceptual nature of this research, the proposed relationship is articulated as a theoretical proposition based on the integration of Institutional Theory, Natural Resource Based View (NRBV), and Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT).

Direct Relationship Between EMP and Sustainable Performance

Based on the NRBV (Hart, 1995), environmental management practices (EMPs) that include formal EMS certification, pollution prevention, and waste minimization routines serve as fundamental organizational capabilities. In an oil extraction environment, systematic EMPs reduce waste emissions, reduce the amount of hazardous waste, and increase resource recovery rates, thereby directly driving environmental performance. At the same time, institutional compliance builds socio-political legitimacy, reduces regulatory friction, and reduces litigation risk, thus protecting brand equity and the social license to operate (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). Throughout the operational life cycle, waste minimization and energy efficiency routines translate into operating cost savings, and produce direct economic dividends. As a result:

Proposal 1 (P1): *Adoption of comprehensive Environmental Management Practices (EMP) directly improves sustainable performance (environmental, economic and social) in oil extraction firms.*

The Catalytic Role of EMP on Technological Innovation

Leveraging both institutional pressures and a dynamic capability perspective, a strict EMP creates internal pressure and creates strategic incentives for technological innovation. As oil companies commit to stringent environmental benchmarks, traditional legacy extraction systems prove inadequate to meet deep decarbonization targets. This operational gap therefore stimulates demand for frontier technology solutions (Rogers, 2003). Systematic environmental auditing, continuous monitoring and carbon footprint tracking generate real-time operational data, uncover production bottlenecks and direct R&D investment towards targeted green innovations such as AI-driven pipeline integrity systems, sensor-enabled methane detection and enhanced carbon capture technologies. Therefore:

Proposition 2 (P2): *Proactive implementation of Environmental Management Practices (EMP) has a positive and significant influence on the acceptance and assimilation of technological innovations in oil extraction firms.*

Technological Innovation Mediation Mechanism

While EMPs create internal compliance routines, their own impacts on deep sustainability are inherently bound by the constraints of physical extraction. The Diffusion of Innovation Theory

(Rogers, 2003) states that technological innovation acts as a critical operational conduct that converts management commitments into superior outcomes. Advanced technologies such as Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage (CCUS), smart IoT field sensors and automated energy-efficient drilling rigs have different characteristics of relative advantage and operational compatibility. This fundamentally changes carbon-intensive processes. Rather than relying solely on incremental compliance routines, technological innovation amplifies, scales and operationalizes EMP commitments to transformative reductions in carbon intensity, improving worker safety through automation and long-term capital efficiency. Therefore:

Proposition 3 (P3): *Technological innovation is a significant mediator in the relationship between Environmental Management Practices (EMP) and sustainable performance, thus strengthening the direct impact of environmental governance on tangible outcomes, namely three directions.*




Figure 1: Conceptual Framework Of The Mediating Role Of Technological Innovation Between EMP And Sustainability Performance

Table 1: Summary of Constructs and Indicators

Variable	Operation Definition	Instruction
Compliance with Environmental Regulations	Level of compliance with national/international laws	Regulatory audit passed; penalty avoided

Variable	Operation Definition	Instruction
EMS Implementation	Adoption of a formal environmental management system	ISO 14001 certification; audit frequency
Waste Management	Efficiency in handling hazardous and non-hazardous waste	% recycled; % hazardous waste safely disposed of
Resource Efficiency	Optimizing the use of energy, water and materials	Energy efficiency ratio; water consumption per barrel
Amalan EIA	Conducting environmental assessments for projects	Number of EIAs; mitigation plans implemented
Technological Innovation	Use of advanced digital, monitoring and green technologies	AI Usage; CCS/CCUS Adoption; IoT Monitoring; Automation
Environmental Conservation	Reducing ecological impact	CO ₂ reduction; environmental incidents; use of renewable energy
social sustainability	Social responsibility and employee/community well-being	Safety record; CSR activities; employee satisfaction
sustainable economy	Profitability and competitiveness achieved sustainably	ROA; cost savings; long-term investment

Source: Adapted from operational definitions of study variables

Proposed Methodological Agenda for Empirical Validation

This paper conceptualizes a multi-level theory and advances well-founded propositions. However, it does not report primary empirical data. To validate and extend this framework, future empirical research should employ rigorous quantitative, mixed-methods, or multi-level designs appropriate to the institutional context of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) petroleum sector.

Sampling and Empirical Operations

Future studies should target executive management, sustainability directors and operations engineers across national and international oil extraction and operating companies (such as operating subsidiaries under ADNOC). Given the strategic concentration of the upstream petroleum sector in the UAE, a multi-informant structured survey design is recommended to reduce common method variance (Podsakoff et al., 2003).

Conclusion

This paper presents an integrated conceptual framework that explains how Environmental Management Practices (EMP) and technological innovation interact to drive multidimensional sustainable performance in the United Arab Emirates' oil extraction sector. By bridging the macro-institutional imperative of decarbonization and the micro-level organizational capabilities and processes of technology assimilation, this study presents a comprehensive

model suitable for a capital-intensive and resource-extractive economy that integrates a rapid energy transition.

Implications of Theory

This conceptual study offers a significant theoretical contribution to the literature on corporate sustainability, environmental governance, and energy economics. Previous studies in the hydrocarbon sector have mostly examined environmental practices through a single theoretical perspective, either viewing compliance through an institutional lens or operational performance through a resource-based view. By integrating Institutional Theory (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983), the Natural Resource-Based View (Hart, 1995), and Diffusion of Innovation Theory (Rogers, 2003), this paper resolves the core theoretical tension between institutions (compliance-driven homogeneity) and resource heterogeneity (different competitive advantages).

Existing studies routinely consider technology adoption as a contextual factor or direct predictor. This framework essentially positions technological innovation as an important mediating mechanism, theoretically representing how emerging technologies such as AI-enabled asset management and IoT sensor grids translate control and management intentions into significant reductions in carbon intensity, improved worker safety and life cycle cost efficiency. By applying these three theories, sustainable performance across environmental, social and economic dimensions simultaneously, this study promises to address a pervasive imbalance in extractive industries research, which has overly focused on environmental indicators while marginalizing social legitimacy and economic resilience.

Practical and Management Implications

This conceptual framework produces clear, actionable insights for executive leadership, operations managers and policymakers. For energy executives in national and international operating companies such as ADNOC and its operating subsidiaries. The framework demonstrates that formal EMS certification or environmental compliance audits alone do not guarantee superior sustainable performance. To avoid the pitfalls of superficial or ceremonial compliance, leadership must align EMP investments directly with digital and green technology innovations, such as using predictive AI for methane mitigation and scaling up commercial CCUS operations.

For UAE policymakers and regulators (including the Ministry of Energy and Infrastructure and the environmental agency), this framework provides a rationale for shifting regulatory policy from a rigid command-and-control mandate to a technology-facilitating regulatory regime. Regulators can formulate targeted fiscal incentives, innovation sandboxes, and green technology subsidies that incentivize oil extraction firms to accelerate the deployment of clean technologies, thus directly supporting the national Net Zero by 2050 strategic initiative.

Limitation

Although this study establishes a strong conceptual foundation, certain inherent limitations must be acknowledged. First, the lack of empirical validation. As a conceptual and theoretical paper, the proposed structural pathways, relationships between constructs, and transmission mechanisms have yet to be empirically validated through primary field data. Second, the proposals are contextualized specifically within the UAE upstream oil extraction landscape,

which has a state-backed capital structure and unique national strategic alignment. Therefore, the application of this framework to unregulated, fragmented, or junior oil producing regions requires careful contextual calibration.

Future Research Directions

Future empirical studies should collect multi-informant survey data from sustainability directors, operations engineers and HSE executives across oil companies operating in the UAE to empirically test Propositions 1 to 3 using Partial Least Squares SEM (PLS-SEM) or Covariance-Based SEM (CB-SEM) (Hair et al., 2022). Additionally, researchers should conduct longitudinal field inquiries to examine how upstream extraction facilities assimilate complex technologies over time, capturing the micro-underpinnings of capability building and organizational change during the decarbonization transition. Finally, comparative studies across other Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) member states such as Saudi Arabia, Qatar or Oman would offer valuable empirical insights into how varying regulatory strictness and innovation ecosystems moderate the effectiveness of the proposed model.

Acknowledgment:	The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI) for providing the academic resources, research infrastructure and institutional support required throughout this research. Special appreciation goes to all colleagues and peers who have contributed valuable insights, scholarly feedback and constructive criticism, which have further improved the rigor and quality of this paper.
Financing Statement:	No Financing.
Conflict of Interest Statement:	The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper. All authors have contributed to this work and approved the final version of the manuscript for submission to the International Journal of Modern Trends in Social Sciences (IJMTSS)
Ethics Statement:	This study is conceptual and theoretical in nature. No empirical data were collected from human participants. Therefore, formal ethics approval was not required.
Author Contribution Statement:	All authors have contributed significantly to the development of this manuscript. Siti Asma' Mohd Rosdi was responsible for the conceptualization, theoretical framing, and overall supervision of the study. Al Ali Mohamed Rashid Humaid Adran conducted the literature synthesis, developed the theoretical propositions, and drafted and critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript before submission.

References

- Abdeljaber, A., Zannerni, R., Masoud, W., Abdallah, M., & Rocha-Meneses, L. (2022). Eco-efficiency analysis of integrated waste management strategies based on gasification and mechanical biological treatment. *Sustainability*, 14(7), 3899. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14073899>
- Al-Rebawi, S. (2023). A review of modern digitalization approaches in the oil and gas industry. *Upstream Oil and Gas Technology*, 11, 100098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.upstre.2022.100098>
- Al-Saleh, Y., & Taleb, H. (2021). Technological innovation and environmental sustainability in the oil and gas industry: Empirical evidence from the Middle East. *Energy Policy*, 149, 112008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.112008>
- Arocena, P., Orcos, R., & Zouaghi, F. (2021). The impact of ISO 14001 on firms' environmental and economic performance: The moderating role of size and environmental awareness. *Business and Environmental Strategy*, 30(2), 955-967. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2655>
- Bashir, H., Al Hawarneh, A., Haridy, S., Shamsuzzaman, M., & Aydin, R. (2024). Barriers to implementing environmental sustainability in UAE construction project management: Identification and comparison of ISO 14001 certified and non-certified firms. *Sustainability*, 16(16), 6779. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16166779>
- Blondeel, M., & Bradshaw, M. (2022). Managing transition risks: Toward an interdisciplinary understanding of strategy in the oil industry. *Energy & Social Science Research*, 91, 102696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102696>
- Borowy, I. (2021). The social dimension of sustainable development at the UN: From Brundtland to the SDGs. In *The struggle for social sustainability* (pp. 89-108). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv26d9qj2.11>
- Chan, R. (2021). Ensuring impactful performance in green bonds and sustainability-related loans. *Adelaide Law Review*, 42(1), 221-258.
- Chutcheva, Y. V., Kuprianova, L. M., Seregina, A. A., & Kukushkin, S. N. (2022). Corporate environmental management in the oil and gas market based on AI for sustainable development: An international study. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 952102. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.952102>
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches* (4th edition). SAGE Publishing.
- Deng, Q., Ling, X., Zhang, K., Tan, L., Qi, G., & Zhang, J. (2022). CCS and CCUS technologies: Delivering a green future for the oil and gas industry. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 10, 919330. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2022.919330>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147-160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2022). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publishing.
- Hajian, M., & Kashani, S. J. (2021). The evolution of the concept of sustainability: From the Brundtland Report to sustainable development goals. In *Sustainable resource management* (pp. 1-24). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822424-2.00001-8>
- Hart, S. L. (1995). A view of the natural resource-based firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986-1014. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9512280033>
- Jamil, N. A., Ibrahim, I., Senathirajah, A. R. S., & Semawi, A. R. (2023). Implementation of environmental management practices (EMP) in small and medium enterprises.

- International Journal of Professional Business Studies, 8(6), e01640.
<https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i6.1640>
- Khan, M. I., Bicer, Y., Asif, M., Al-Ansari, T. A., Khan, M., Kurniawan, T. A., & Al-Ghamdi, S. G. (2024). GCC's path towards a sustainable future: Navigating barriers to adopting energy efficiency measures in the built environment. *Energy Conversion and Management*: X, 100636.
- Lakhout, A. (2025). Revolutionizing urban solid waste management with AI and IoT: A review of smart solutions for waste collection, segregation and recycling. *Results in Engineering*, 104018.
- Njuguna, J., Siddique, S., Keroffie, L. B., Piromrat, S., Addae-Afoakwa, K., Ekeh-Adegbotolu, U., & Moller, L. (2022). Fate of waste drilling fluids from oil & gas industry activities in exploration and production operations. *Waste Management*, 139, 362-380.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.12.032>
- Ozowe, C., Sofoluwe, O. O., Ukato, A., & Jambol, D. D. (2024). Environmental monitoring in the oil and gas industry: A conceptual review of HSE practices and climate change mitigation strategies. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Review*, 22(2), 1694-1707.
- Patel, J. D., Shah, R., & Trivedi, R. H. (2022). Impact of energy management practices on environmental performance of Indian small and medium enterprises. *Journal of Net Production*, 333, 130170.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.130170>.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J. Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method bias in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and suggested remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>.
- Ramezani, M., Abolhassani, L., Shahnoushi Foroushani, N., Burgess, D., & Aminizadeh, M. (2022). Ecological footprint and its determinants in MENA countries: A spatial econometric approach. *Sustainability*, 14(18), 11708.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811708>.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *The spread of innovation* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Sharma, M., Joshi, S., Prasad, M., & Bartwal, S. (2023). Overcoming barriers to the implementation of a circular economy in the oil & gas industry: Environmental and social implications. *Journal of Clean Production*, 391, 136133.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136133>.
- Vora, M., Sanni, S., & Flage, R. (2021). An environmental risk assessment framework for enhanced oil recovery solutions from the offshore oil and gas industry. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 88, 106512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2021.106512>.
- World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.