Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105

JOURNAL OF INFORMATION SYSTEM AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT (JISTM)
www.jistm.com

THE EVOLUTION AND IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF FUTURE APPLICATIONS AND CHALLENGES

Warda Hanani Mohd Noor[1*], Hafizul Fahri Hanafi[2], Sarmila Marlini Mohd Rufin[3], Ainie Hayatie Hamazah[4]

[1] Faculty of Computing and Meta-Technology, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia
Email: p20231000981@meta.upsi.edu.my
[2] Department of Computer Science and Digital Technology, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia
Email: hafizul@meta.upsi.edu.my
[3] Faculty of Computing and Meta-Technology, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia
Email: p20241000148@meta.upsi.edu.my
[4] Faculty of Computing and Meta-Technology, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia
Email:p20231000030@meta.upsi.edu.my
[*] Corresponding Author

Article Info:

Article history:
Received date: 30.09.2025
Revised date: 18.10.2025
Accepted date: 19.11.2025
Published date: 01.12.2025

To cite this document:

Noor, W. H. M., Hanafi, H. F., Rufin, S. M. M., & Hamazah, A. H. (2025). The Evolution and Impact of Artificial Intelligence: A Comprehensive Analysis of Future Applications and Challenges. *Journal of Information System and Technology Management, 10* (41), 61-77.

DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041005

Abstract:

This paper provides a comprehensive review of the evolution, current state, and future implications of artificial intelligence (AI) across multiple domains. Although AI's technological progress has accelerated rapidly, a critical gap remains in integrating ethical and governance frameworks to ensure responsible and human-centered innovation. Drawing from literature and policy developments between 2018 and 2025, this review explores AI's operational mechanisms, its distinction from human cognition, and the socio-ethical challenges in education, healthcare, law, and industry. Key findings highlight (1) the persistent gap between human intuition and machine reasoning; (2) AI's growing global economic influence, and (3) emerging concerns of fairness, privacy, and accountability. Sustainable advancement requires alignment with UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence, the European Union Artificial Intelligence Act, and IEEE's Ethically Aligned Design framework. The study contributes by synthesizing technological, ethical, and regulatory perspectives to guide responsible, human-centered AI.

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence, Human Cognition, Ethics, Governance, Machine Learning, Data Analytics

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) represents a major technological advancement grounded in computational neuroscience and cognitive science. It enables machines to perform tasks that traditionally require human intelligence, such as reasoning, learning, and decision-making. According to PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), global AI spending is projected to surpass USD 15 trillion by 2030 (Saxena, 2023), yet only 35% of organizations worldwide actively adopt AI solutions. This disparity highlights the need for increased awareness and integration across industries.

This paper examines AI as both a technological evolution rooted in human cognition and as a socio-ethical construct requiring responsible governance. It analyzes the distinctions between human and machine reasoning and synthesizes technical, ethical, and regulatory perspectives to inform the accountable adoption of these technologies.

As AI systems increasingly influence human decision-making, issues of accountability and ethical oversight have become central to global policy discussions. Aligning innovation with governance ensures that AI serves society rather than undermining it.

As explained by (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020), folk theories of human thought play a critical role in the development of machine intelligence and its perception by users, proving that AI allows machines to act and interact with other actors. It is essentially very different from the intellectual capabilities and the intuitive decision-making processes of human beings. Although the artificial intelligence systems can systematically accomplish things such as problem-solving by programmed actions instead of independent problem-solving, the systems have developed to solve progressively complex challenges that may surpass human abilities. The current AI, therefore, takes this step by mimicking human actions via advanced coding and programming structures. Identifying these breakthroughs, the decision-makers of various industries are starting to use AI technologies more and more in order to boost their operations.

AI has completely transformed the education system with stunning inventions. The current generation of students is given a new chance to work with the latest technology that provokes new ideas and supports the learning process. By using AI-based tutoring systems, students get individualized help, which enhances their comprehension of the classroom content and stimulates creative thinking in the classroom. An example of educational innovation with AI use is Enlearn, a non-profit entity based in Seattle, which has created an interactive platform that uses machine learning to speed up the educational process (Yara et al., 2021). The examples of the successful introduction of this platform by the Ministry of Education and Science in Ukraine show that this platform has potential; however, it is necessary to pay special attention to safety measures (Yara et al., 2021). Other than education, AI is changing the manufacturing industry, especially in countering the production processes that involve labour. It is said that robotic process automation has become important in the manufacturing industry, including robotic assembly, and automated repair systems of faulty machines (Saxena, 2023). This automation saves a lot of human error, system failures and defective products hence enhancing efficiency in production.

AI spreads its power to various spheres including conflict management, a legal framework, and entertainment. Within the legal context, AI shows advanced skills in analytical accuracy and judgment when it comes to reasoning in court, as well as a combination of logical precision

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
and fairness (Fam, 2023; Yara et al., 2021). As (Yara et al., 2021) and (Fam, 2023) claim, AI has developed high levels of logical thinking in handling court cases, and it has analytical accuracy and ethical judgment. AI can be used in decision-making through data analysis, whereas humans have emotional intelligence and moral judgment qualities that AI does not have. AI is a supplementary instrument of enhancing human potential, still within the human domain to make value-based decisions on boundaries.

The unique ability of AI in the creative arts, as (Demmer et al., 2023) puts it, is not to imitate human creativity, but to form its own version of the artistic expression, without the need to cognize any emotions. Generative AI technologies in the design sector have been the most lucrative sector in which this creative potential has thrived (Amankwah-Amoah et al., 2024). The latest technology, including Dall-E 2, Imagen, DreamBooth, and Stable Diffusion, has transformed the art by creating advanced interactive images based on written directions. The AI creativity is expanded into the field of music composition, and (Yara et al., 2021) argues about the possibility of an AI system being an author of original music being composed without human intervention. It is important to note that the United Kingdom has reacted to this development of artificial creativity by developing strong legal frameworks to safeguard and claim originality of machine-generated work especially in responding to issues of ownership and intellectual property rights (Yara et al., 2021). This in-depth overview looks at the shifting nature of AI, its growing impact, and how its widespread aspect in numerous fields is transforming the traditional practices and approaches.

Scientists have suggested different definitions of AI with a focus on diverse aspects of the field of complexity. In (Tiwari, 2024), AI is described as the process of simulation, which can simulate the human intelligence using the machine and computer systems whereas (Neiroukh et al., 2024) concentrated on the ability of AI to analyze information and help humans in making decisions. As (Schroer, 2023) notes, AI definition is an academic area within computer science, which is concerned with the creation of machines with human-designed protocols. One of the biggest definitions is (Collins et al., 2021; McCorduck & Cfe, 2004; Turing, 2009), which in the footsteps of John McCarthy was defined as the science and engineering of intelligent machines. Basing on this premise, (Schroer, 2023) went further to highlight the interdisciplinary nature of AI and its contribution to the overall technological progress. Conversely, (Yıldız, 2025) provided a more philosophical viewpoint, stating that the ability to create intelligent machines is inherent to humans, however, mentions that AI is crucial in information processing and transmission to improve human abilities.

From a governance perspective, recent frameworks such as UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (UNESCO, 2021), the European Union AI Act (Union, 2024), and IEEE's Ethically Aligned Design ((IEEE), 2019) provide comprehensive principles for responsible AI deployment. These frameworks emphasize transparency, fairness, accountability, and human oversight, ensuring that technological innovation remains aligned with social values and human rights.

In a 2017 survey of the United States of America, (West & Allen, 2018) and (Davenport et al., 2017) surveyed 1,500 senior business leaders and found out that 17% of them did not fully understand the concept of AI. Conversely, these executives acknowledged the possibility of AI revolutionizing the way businesses were conducted, yet they were unsure of what viable implementation approach to use (West & Allen, 2018). Even with this lack of knowledge at

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
the leadership level, (Schroer, 2023) observed that machine learning and deep learning technologies keep continuing to grow and thrive at an extremely high pace throughout the technology industry.

Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, in their original analysis of AI, had identified four basic ways of defining AI that fit in four categories based on two dimensions: thinking versus doing, and human-like versus rational behavior. These methods analyze the ways in which AI may replicate human thinking patterns, carry out rational decision making processes, emulate human behavior or complete tasks through rational behavior. In the meantime, this framework makes it structured to comprehend AI capabilities, (Schroer, 2023) the human cognition itself is complex in its nature, and it is frequently accompanied by both rational and irrational actions. Developing this insight, Patrick Winston, a former professor of AI and computer science at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), suggested that any successful AI algorithm should be constrained, based on looping models, which combine thought, perception, and action to make a system.

Even though AI has a long history and is studied extensively, several major scientists (Allen, 1998; Bhatnagar et al., 2018; Brachman, 2006; Nilsson, 2009; Simon et al., 2000) admit that there is no universal definition of AI. Nevertheless, (Gignac & Szodorai, 2024; Rai et al., 2019) provided a very broad definition which defines AI as the ability of a machine to act like a human brain and considers all processes of perception, reasoning, learning, interaction with the environment, solving problems, making decisions, and autonomous activity.

Method

A narrative review approach was selected to enable conceptual integration across technical, cognitive, ethical, and governance literatures, where empirical comparators are heterogeneous. This design is appropriate for synthesizing fast-moving policy instruments (e.g., European Union Artificial Intelligence Act) with scholarly debates on fairness, accountability, and human oversight. Literature from 2018 to 2025 was systematically analyzed to identify emerging trends in AI applications, ethical challenges, and governance mechanisms. The methodology emphasizes comparative analysis between human intelligence and AI cognition, linking theoretical frameworks with real-world practices.

Human Intelligence and Artificial Intelligence

Thinking is a complicated process of the human brain that entails the formation of judgment and decision-making, when people are able to differentiate between the positive and negative consequences and, of course, survive in difficult circumstances. In contrast to humans, the robots have historically been limited to minimal emotional abilities and decisiveness, and needed leaders to direct them. Nevertheless, (Armstrong, 2021) also reported a groundbreaking success of scientists Anastasiia Raina, Lia Coleman, Meredith Binnette, Yimei Hu, Danlei Huang, Zack Davey, and Qihang Li that created an innovative neural network that can reproduce the behavior of brain neurons. It is an innovative system with unmatched self-directed learning ability, which becomes better with time and little human effort.

There are inherent differences between the mechanisms of processing and learning between machine intelligence and human cognition. The AI works under varied principles, whereas the human mind serves as a dynamic sponge, constantly assimilating information and making recollections out of it through experience, similar to a muscle that grows stronger by training.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105

In addition, the AI systems are able to store and process enormous amounts of information exceedingly effectively. However, the time and experience nature of human learning brings out a clear contrast. Although information is used in both systems, the plasticity and adaptability of the human brain make it the one and only system that can even combine experiences with emotion and context. Meanwhile, AI is efficient in processing and retaining data quickly.

According to (Padamsey & Rochefort, 2023), seven fundamental distinctions were identified between humans and AI that illustrate the unique characteristics and capabilities of each system:

1. Autonomous Decision-Making: Humans possess the ability to independently formulate decisions and expand their understanding of real-world problems through experience with quantitative and qualitative information.
2. Data Dependency and Processing: Meanwhile, AI relies heavily on pre-existing data for decision-making, while humans integrate logical reasoning with intuition. AI excels in systematic analysis but lacks the flexible thinking characteristic of human cognition.
3. Historical Learning and Context: Humans uniquely learn from historical experiences and past mistakes, whereas AI systems are limited to processing present data without a proper contextual understanding of the past.
4. Adaptability and Environmental Response: Though humans may require time to adjust, they demonstrate superior adaptability to new environments compared to AI systems, showing greater flexibility in response to change.
5. Energy Efficiency: AI systems operate with greater energy efficiency than the human brain, which requires significant energy for both cognitive processes and physical activities.
6. Processing Speed: In terms of pure information processing speed, computers and AI systems significantly outperform human cognitive capabilities.
7. Social Intelligence: Humans exhibit superior capabilities in social interactions and interpersonal relationships, demonstrating emotional intelligence and social skills that AI has yet to replicate effectively.

There are separate routes to the goal of AI, including structural, behavioral, functional, and capability-based, with the system working on the principles of the neural processes of humans (Collins et al., 2021). In addition, they broaden the definition of intelligence to include non-biological objects, and this is depicted as a wider phenomenon, which can be recreated artificially in all kinds of objects Henry, including robots.

Conversely, (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020) theorized intelligence with a two-process model which separates between rapid and introspective thinking and slower, deliberate thought. In the meantime, it is human nature to incorporate the two intuition and reasoning in decision-making. AI mostly involves organized logical thinking. This drawback is pointed out by John Zimmerman, Professor of Artificial Intelligence and Human-Computer Interaction at Carnegie Mellon University, who stated that it was difficult to get machines to mimic human instincts (Armstrong, 2021).

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105

Higher human ability to empathize and comprehend others allows people to care and understand the needs of others at an individual level and the social level as a whole. It is worth noting that AI technology is moving towards a higher order of engagement with human societies (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020), and this development is characterized by the intuitive and reflective processes. According to them, AIs need to evolve to accommodate various cultural and background viewpoints, which brought the idea of the so-called metacognition machines, or AI systems that would replicate human cognitive abilities. The key distinction is information processing: AI is quite efficient in fast data analysis and making predictions based on probabilities (Armstrong, 2021), but human intelligence is the only one that can combine computer power with intuition. This difference is especially clear in cases that demand cultural sensitivity and social awareness.

Fast and slow thinking is a process of cognition of decision-making and solving problems differently. Fast thinking allows the quick reaction to immediate events as a result of intuitively processing information, whereas slow thinking is the consideration of the information and a comprehensive methodical analysis of the information to make a well-considered decision. As stated in (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020), the following is a comparative analysis of the distinguishing aspects and requirements of these two systems.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Fast and Slow-Thinking Systems

Characteristics	Fast-Thinking	Slow-Thinking
Information Processing	Processes large volumes of information with minimal effort	Processes limited information systematically over time
Rule Application	Relies on implicit rules and provides rapid solutions based on established patterns	Applies explicit rules with detailed analysis and conscious reasoning
Processing Mode	Operates through automatic responses based on familiarity and learned patterns	Employs deliberate analysis with systematic verification and error correction

Slow and fast-thinking processes are complementary in various fields. Within the engineering profession, (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020) identified the concepts of heuristic versus analytical reasoning, where the former simplifies a complex problem to enable easier thinking, and the latter is more systematic. They found that slow-thinking has more objectivity and fast-thinking has more subjective features in the user experiences (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020).

It can also be applied to machine intelligence (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020; Castelo et al., 2019), where they found out that certain systems are best at quick and subjective processing tasks. On the other hand, slow-thinking machines are characterized by the ability to make autonomous decisions with minimum human interference (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020). According to

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
(Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020; Kupor et al., 2014), there are also advanced systems that managed to incorporate both modes of thinking in their operations. This multi-processing power has made smart machines to overhaul the day-to-day technology, and (Saxena, 2023)notes that they are now utilized in Internet of Things (IoT) machines such as smartwatches, TVs, appliances, and thermostats.

Operational Mechanisms of Artificial Intelligence: A Technical Analysis

The concept of AI starts with the production of data, which (Saxena, 2023) found is present in any human activity. Implementation of AI is impossible without strategic data management, paying attention to data quality and organizational goals (Saxena, 2023). According to (Burns et al., 2021) and (Padamsey & Rochefort, 2023) the steps of the AI development process include data analysis through training, pattern recognition, and predictive modeling. In addition, (Armstrong, 2021) stressed that a model training is conducted after the initial data preparation, which forms the foundation of machine learning applications. In the meantime, AI technologies are more accurate in analyzing data than human beings, (Padamsey & Rochefort, 2023) has to admit that human innovation is the engine of the technological progress. In the present day big data era, (Saxena, 2023) observed that both structured and unstructured data offers important information on consumer behavior as well as business dynamics. Nevertheless, (Burns et al., 2021)emphasized the interactive features of AI, especially when applying image recognition, which (Padamsey & Rochefort, 2023) explained by reasoning behind. To ensure effective human-AI interaction, (Burns et al., 2021) placed three cognitive capabilities such as learning, self-reasoning, and self-correction as components of cognitive ability. These are capabilities with great business consequences, and (Saxena, 2023)notes that proper data use can produce sustainable organization value.

As (Burns et al., 2021) and (West & Allen, 2018) explain, the basic stage of AI is the algorithmic learning procedures, which are used to carry out the particular human tasks, by means of numerical calculations. In addition, (Burns et al., 2021)also highlighted the importance of choosing an algorithm with the best possible accuracy in the results. Based on this premise, (Collins et al., 2021) introduced (Langley, 2012) further developed framework of AI cognition, which includes the following important processes:

1. Strategic Multi-Level Reasoning: The ability to process and analyze information through multiple connected logical steps.
2. Natural Language Comprehension: The capacity to understand and interpret human language in its various forms and contexts.
3. Generation of Novel Solutions: Development of innovative tools, methods, and approaches to address challenges.
4. Goal-Oriented Planning: Creation and implementation of original strategies to achieve specified objectives.
5. Action-Based Rationalization: Ability to explain and justify decisions and behaviors through logical frameworks.

Based on these premises, (Arslan, 2024; Kurzweil, 2005) defined advanced AI as a strong AI, which describes systems that exhibit general human like cognitive abilities. This is not limited to mere chatbots and virtual agents that respond promptly. They coined the term hybrid knowledge, that can both be the result of human-agent interactions in the physical and the virtual world, according to (Collins et al., 2021). This is a mixed method that gives real-life experiences and digital interaction to form a more detailed picture. Moreover, (Collins et al.,

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
2021) investigated the potential of such integrated knowledge system to produce the main progressive business value when it grows in different stages of development.

Like (Saxena, 2023), who came up with four unique types of data analytics in AI systems, namely: descriptive, diagnostic, predictive, and prescriptive analytics. It is important to note that descriptive analytics theorizes the information we know in the past to comprehend past patterns and trends. Based on this, diagnostic analytics is a process of data analysis through iterative data analysis to determine the root causes and connection. It further progresses to predictive analytics which is a probability-based modeling predicting the future and finally, prescriptive analytics refers to the actionable recommendations generated as a result of the predictive analytics (Saxena, 2023). In addition to that, (Saxena, 2023) also reviewed the way Netflix implemented its algorithm as a viable case study of data analytics in practice. Throughout the pandemic, and continuing to this day, this system managed to ensure the originality of its content, but served an enormous number of viewers, and its streaming content continues to be reviewed positively. By dissecting the correlation analysis, (Saxena, 2023) found out how the algorithm works at a detailed level, and how even smallest details like the images of thumbs stay closely correlated with corresponding shows and movies, which adds to the user experience in general.

The Historical Development of Artificial Intelligence: A Chronological Analysis

The Industrial Revolution was a period when human labor and capitalism were the main factors in the business productivity, leading to the diversification of the economy and provided new jobs to be able to survive. This paradigm changed as innovators invented automation machinery that brought about the use of robots and machines to help in the work that required a lot of labor. As (Armstrong, 2021) notes, technological evolution was also a new way of capitalism that radically redefined the way business corporations related to their customers.

In addition, (Schroer, 2023)dated the development of AI to the ground breaking work of Alan Turing during the World War II in which he was able to crack the code of the Enigma used by the Allied forces. This breakthrough became one of the most memorable instances of the force of computational thinking and made Turing ask the most significant question that would define the sphere of AI: could machines have the ability to think?

In the 1950s, with the introduction of robotics, technological history took an important step. Nevertheless, (Saxena, 2023) reported that the early systems did not have the big data and AI that we have today. In the 1950s and 1960s organizations were dependent on large mainframe computers that despite their revolutionary nature were limited due to their small storage capacity and slow processing power. One of the major breakthroughs was that of the Moore Law as (Saxena, 2023) remarked that this was a breaking concept that foretold that machines would become smaller in size, but with a bigger storage capacity to analyze the data. This principle was summed up in the 1970s when Gordon Moore estimated that computing power would be doubled after every two years (Saxena, 2023). Conversely, (Armstrong, 2021) noted that over this technological advancement, there has been a progressive attempt in creating artificial systems with a human being emotional and behavioral trait.

The history of technological modernity has placed much emphasis on the development of AI (Armstrong, 2021). The turning point was reached in 1964 when Joseph Weizenbaum created ELIZA at the Artificial Intelligence Laboratory of MIT. This first natural language processing

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
system was an imitation of simple conversation responses based on pattern matching. In 2019, in his paper "On the Measure of Intelligence," francois Chollet, a former deep learning researcher and engineer at Google, addressed how intelligence is acquired through experience into future valuable skills (Schroer, 2023). The branch of AI that prevailed at this time was machine learning as (Armstrong, 2021) concluded.

The influence of AI and data analytics does not limit itself to the conventional aspects of technology. In Starbucks, this was depicted by (Saxena, 2023) and it was defined as a data company, which sells coffee but is not a coffee company. Such a paradigm shift clearly shows how enterprises have shifted their focus to data-driven personalization and customer preference at the expense of plain commodity transactions. This shift has resulted in the creation of humanoid robots that learn and evolve using large amounts of analysis of behavioral data (Saxena, 2023).

Evaluating the Advantages and Challenges of Artificial Intelligence: A Critical Analysis

Artificial intelligence has been applied in various decision-making processes that are critical. In (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020) AI is involved in all types of high stakes such as bank loan approvals, parole decisions, kidney transplantation control systems, and advanced driving assistance system, which provide responses to road challenges within split seconds. In the field of transportation, in particular, the combination of AI and the Ukrainian Traffic Organization Center shows that it is capable of analyzing traffic at the most complex level, tracing such variables as traffic density, traffic patterns, or even time (Yara et al., 2021). The car uses of AI have also been advanced. In addition, (Saxena, 2023)explained that autonomous vehicle systems are capable of correcting mistakes and preventing dangerous situations on highways once they are detected and minimizing the selection of routes to travel through as much as possible. This development of AI in transportation, according to (Armstrong, 2021) has managed to convert such systems into all-inclusive human navigators.

Development of AI needs special care about the ethics as it is highlighted (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020). Another irony of this kind further manifests itself, where (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020; Srivastava et al., 2019; Wong, 2020) found that human beings tend to be too slow in responding to the ethical issues that fast-thinking AI systems raise. The human rationalization of machines is also addressed psychologically (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020; De Neys, 2020; Dong et al., 2020), but (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020)) and (De Neys, 2020) discussed the tendency of human judgment in the design of AI. The possible dangers of AI development have been of interest to leading technological experts. In 2015, (Steels & Brooks, 2018) pointed to the subtle viewpoint of Bill Gates on AI, accepting its opportunities and threats of excessive innovations. The same has been expressed by Stephen Hawking, who also talked of existential risks to the human race, and is also supported by Tesla and SpaceX founder Elon Musk. The researchers divided these possible risks of AI in a systematic way as follows according to (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025):

1. Workforce Automation and Organizational Impact: Automation poses a notable human resource dilemma and what (Armstrong, 2021) termed as human, so-called, deskilling. As was proposed in (Shinde, 2024) and (Saxena, 2023), the middle ground between innovations and retention of the workforce highlighted the need to provide stability to employees during the implementation of the automation process. To create this balance, (Shinde, 2024) offered a gradual implementation plan, whereby organisations will have to wait before automation becomes possible to evaluate its

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105

effects on the growth rates of their businesses comprehensively. This will enable organizations to come up with a long-term strategy that can be implemented gradually and will eventually automatize the organization without laying off their employees. Importantly, human flexibility is more effective than AI in the process of technological transition, which underscores the need to retain the human expertise in the course of automation.

2. Social Privacy and Digital Platform Risks: The privacy issues with social media and their artificial intelligence algorithms are major and (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025) state that one of the main risks of artificial intelligence in 2018 is social violations. The example of Tik Tok in the Philippines is no exception, as the possibility of political control using the application shows how AI-based social networks can have a more extensive impact (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025). Although meant to filter inappropriate content, the AI algorithms of the platform may unintentionally cause privacy problems due to content monitoring and filtering. As (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025)proved, such automated systems may trigger a series of misunderstandings and misinterpretations that may disable user privacy and the integrity of personal information.
3. Privacy Invasion and Surveillance Concerns: Surveillance of the personal lives of people is unlawful, which (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025) evaluated the concept of AI-supported surveillance systems. This social surveillance is a possible criminal violation to the privacy and security of individuals. By contrast, the surveillance systems of the public space need the collection of data in legitimate purposes. Over surveillance and over collection of data may lead to deliberate contradictory circumstances in which an attempt to safeguard people may lead to a loss of personal liberty and safety.
4. Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination Issues: AI systems may reinforce societal discriminations, especially gender and race, as (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025)discovered. These algorithmic biases may be reflected in the evaluation of human capabilities by AI systems, which may strengthen any stereotypes and prejudices that already exist. These systematic biases can confine AI to the capability of acknowledging and measuring the personal talents and skills and potential of growth in an equitable way thus potentially preventing the individual and professional development opportunities.
5. Cascading Effects of AI Discrimination: The earliest introduction of bias and discrimination in AI systems can provoke even more extensive implications in the society. The existing weaknesses in AI of measuring and assessing community development and talent potential are a great challenge. As it was noted by (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025), although AI was initially viewed as the means of the dismantling of social boundaries and the creation of more opportunities regarding employment, the latter is still not very achieved because of those essentials.
6. Overreliance on AI in Legal Decision-Making: The widespread use of AI in the legal system creates a serious issue of autonomy in decision-making. When AI systems are entrusted to making critical judgments of the law by law enforcement officials and legislators, potentially dangerous precedents are established. Blindly taking AI interpretations and predictions without human control and evident explanations implies significant threats to society in relation to all population groups.
7. Autonomous AI Systems and Security Vulnerabilities: Unchecked and completely automated AI, which does not have human control, is a serious security threat. These

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105

weaknesses also spread to the possible cyber threats such as system hacking and targeted attacks that may undermine the operational control and integrity. Therefore, these risks and system safety should be minimized through the use of proper human supervision and security protocols.

The use of AI in economic systems poses a major problem in financial decision-making. It has been stated that investors are increasingly worried and show more uncertainty and reluctance to operate in AI-driven financial markets (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025). Artificial intelligence can be also used to analyze profitable and risky investment choices because (Ngulube & Vincent Mosha, 2025)underlined that making financial choices based on AI needs requires a developed awareness of the tools in order to make a rational economic choice.

According to (Jain et al., 2021), recent patterns on cybercrime analyzed by Better Business Bureau reported that there is an upsurge in complex attacks such as phishing, identity theft, and romance scam, which use personal information posted on social networks. One of the most striking cases is a scam in which scammers spoofed the Amazon Web site to defraud users into registering a new account through the use of fake information about a modification in their account web sites (Jain et al., 2021).

The key to successful risk management of AI systems is creating a balance between human control and the AI potential. The nature of human-AI interaction is one of the primary factors that determine the emergence of constructive and fruitful technological relationships. It is important to note that programmed parameters affect decision-making processes via AI. The human agency of decision-making and future planning is important to maintain. The AI systems must be designed to supplement human activity, especially in the processing of routine tasks and time-sensitive processes, but leave human decision-making about the most important issues. Thus, the future-oriented attitude to the integration of AI presupposes the communities to formulate the knowledge and the tools that would enable efficient use of AI in their everyday activities. This development requires that organizations should not stick to the old business models but should carefully also broaden their technological base and create the environment in which the human skills and AI abilities could co-exist and complement one another. Nevertheless, (Jain et al., 2021) suggested the necessary principles on recognizing suspicious materials in online services with the following protective steps:

1. Exercise vigilance with unsolicited communications and messages.
2. Verify identity through proper contact information validation processes.
3. Pay attention to warning indicators and potential red flag signals.
4. Ensure personal account authentication and security measures.
5. Implement robust password protocols using complex combinations.
6. Verify website authenticity before downloading content or following hyperlinks.

Future Trajectories and Implications of Artificial Intelligence Development

The AI effects on the labor market are an important point of consideration in the future, especially when it comes to labor displacement. The conclusion of what Forrester wrote in the article titled The Future of Work suggests that by 2030, the number of jobs lost is going to be 29 percent, or 20 million jobs, and the job creation will be only 13 percent (Forrester, 2023). This gap is a symptom of the growing level of automation of jobs traditionally performed by humans, and states that the automation of AI systems is specifically targeting jobs in data entry, inventory control, proofreading, and reception services. The trend is further applied to on-the-

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
field training whereby automated systems and cars are used in place of traditional systems to achieve better performance and technological advancement.

Conversely, AI has come out as an effective instrument of scientific discovery and space exploration. NASA (NASA, 2022) highlighted the growing role of AI in scientific discovery, particularly in predicting complex material properties and advancing thermoelectric research at institutions such as the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. The potential of AI in astronomy became evident when NASA's AI systems uncovered the eighth planet orbiting Kepler-90 in 2017, demonstrating machine learning's capacity for autonomous discovery (NASA, 2022). Following this breakthrough, astronomers at the University of Texas used similar AI-driven pattern-recognition systems to discover two additional exoplanets (NASA, 2022). NASA has further expanded the use of AI in Mars exploration; as reported by (Agence France-Presse, 2023) artificial intelligence was applied in the design of the Perseverance rover to optimize soil sample collection and navigation systems (NASA, 2022).

According to the World Health Organization ((WHO), 2023), artificial intelligence has been exceptionally effective in creating preventive measures against epidemics in the healthcare sector. AI technologies have transformed medicine by analyzing complex trends in diseases, diagnoses, and treatment across extensive datasets. Furthermore, ((WHO), 2023) emphasizes AI's contribution to predicting life expectancy, anticipating disease onset, optimizing drug trials, and supporting clinical decision-making. Practical implementation can be seen in Garmi, an AI-driven medical assistant deployed in remote German communities to monitor patients' health and maintain personal medical records (Agence France-Presse, 2023). Meanwhile, (Agence France-Presse, 2023) highlights the importance of family and community support in the recovery process as such technological innovations expand. In addition, ((WHO), 2023) notes ongoing integration of AI with nanotechnology to enhance cancer treatment through targeted drug delivery systems that improve tumor-specific therapy efficacy.

The future of social robotics is the creation of humanoid companions, especially In regards to emotional intelligence and social interaction. Nevertheless, (Armstrong, 2021) found the significance of empathic connections between man and lifelike robots. This idea is further applied to the real world, where (Agence France-Presse, 2023) explained that it was possible to have robotic caregivers and home companions who could hold an intelligent conversation, help with day-to-day tasks, and cook. Still, the combination of emotional intelligence and AI system is an important development in human-robot interaction. The most recent trends are emotion detection AI that applies the neural network mapping technique to assess emotional states. Conversely, (Saxena, 2023) referred to this as affectionate computing, which involves sensors, cameras and deep learning software to understand human emotions in different tasks such as entertainment and learning. In addition (Yara et al., 2021) examined human feelings by use of physiological indicators like pulse rates and electrical impulses. Nevertheless, (Armstrong, 2021) warned to take the capabilities of advanced emotional recognition and interpretation in the machines as a long-term developmental objective.

There is a substantial change in the legal processes of Japan due to the integration of AI, which can be seen through (Yara et al., 2021). Cassandra is an AI-based program that is used in criminology to predict criminal behavior. Similar changes have taken place in the United States where the use of the COMPAS program has been introduced in multiple states, such as New York, California, Florida, and Wisconsin (Yara et al., 2021). With the growing nature of the

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
criminological and correctional practices, the software systems are yet to be fully evaluated and implemented in the future. They suggested a three-pronged assessment tool that focuses on general recidivism risk, violent recidivism risk, and escape probability which is modelled within an algorithmically-based system that complies with legal frameworks (Yara et al., 2021; Yong, 2019). Nevertheless, existing systems have some major challenges. Suspect profiling is still a problem because the identification systems are weak, and (Yara et al., 2021) found that AI weaknesses include data non-linearity and non-heterogeneity that make effective algorithm development difficult. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems may have impairments as human cognitive biases do (Yara et al., 2021). However, they prove to be more objective in assessing legal case facts that are complex and require an objective view. One example of this ability is the use of Chat-GPT in the legal system, where it can help create a well-organized argument with concrete evidence to present it in court (Fam, 2023).

According to (Fam, 2023), the Generative Pretrained Transformer 3.5 (GPT-3.5) language model has risen to prominence as a chatbot who can respond to queries offered by the user in an almost human-like way. However, the fact that it is a prototype can be seen in the replies that sometimes need further evidence in validation. Conversely, companies can think about full adoption of ChatGPT, (Fam, 2023) states that it should be refined to facilitate sustainable business development. The emergence of GPT-4 is a major innovation, which can possibly compete with conventional internet search platforms by combining digital books and online texts to give more precise answers to the daily decision-making process. Conversely, (Associated Press, 2023) emphasized that GPT-4 has a more developed ethical system as it is capable of identifying and rejecting answers to potentially dangerous questions, including references to explosive devices or acts that are capable of causing human death.

Results and Discussion

Brain-inspired models have significantly influenced the development of Artificial Intelligence by enabling computational systems to simulate neural processes (Bonnefon & Rahwan, 2020; Chen et al., 2022). However, these models remain limited in replicating human consciousness, emotional reasoning, and ethical judgment. This limitation underscores the importance of human oversight and moral accountability in AI development (Floridi, 2020). The history of this evolution started with the intelligent systems of Turing (Chen et al., 2022; Turing, 1936) and is further developed with modern models embracing more and more attributes of human neural architecture (Chen et al., 2022). Human-AI relationship establishes an equitable ecosystem in which the two systems are complementary in the construction of more efficient solutions. Taken together, these patterns reinforce AI's dual nature: gains in algorithmic precision do not substitute for moral judgment, which must be designed as governance constraints rather than assumed emergent properties of models.

The development of AI technology requires full human control and responsibility. This very principle arises out of the fact that AI development becomes an interdisciplinary affair and can be traced back to various human viewpoints and experiences (Floridi, 2020). The AI incorporation should be made in accordance with the human rights framework and moral principles to be developed and implemented responsibly (UNESCO, 2021). Recent studies have shown that, as human beings continue to exploit the potential of AI, they are simultaneously in search of a more insightful view of its implications for the future of mankind on earth. Beyond that, uncontrolled dependence on the AI systems may lead to the neglect of important long-term implications and consequences as they prove to perform in a structured

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
task. Its disadvantages are clearly evident when it faces new or unheard-of situations. Systems optimized solely for algorithmic performance may underperform in contexts requiring value trade-offs, explainability, or rights-preserving constraints (Balasubramaniam et al., 2023).

To build trustworthiness, AI systems must be structured to minimize bias and discrimination, thereby safeguarding fundamental human rights (Barocas et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2024). Failure to ensure equality can lead to discriminatory legal outcomes, particularly in employment, education, and economic opportunities. Such goals can be achieved through stringent sampling and data collection procedures that guarantee representative and unbiased datasets. Reliable predictive odelling in machine learning depends on data quality and fairness-aware design. In practice, certainty denotes calibrated model confidence under distributional assumptions; however, it is often undermined by overfitting, dataset shift, and biased sampling. Accordingly, reliability hinges on validation under realistic shifts, bias audits, and human-in-the-loop safeguards rather than point-estimate accuracy alone (Mitchell et al., 2021). Errors in model development—whether from sampling bias or measurement drift-should be systematically anticipated through continuous monitoring and governance mechanisms during system design and implementation.

Conversely, AI systems have some risks. They are also experiencing various problems that need strategic solutions. In order to be more accurate and precise, AI systems need a large amount of training data and sophisticated methodology. As an example, whereas the existing face recognition system can be subject to misidentification, the development of advanced AI can provide the future with a high chance of the system becoming more accurate in recognition.

Conclusion and Recommendations

AI offers opportunities and challenges to society, and ethical and moral implications need to be considered carefully, even though it is an autonomous entity. The highest among these concerns is the privacy and security of users, especially with the fragility of personal information in the digital space. Their arguments stated that it is of paramount importance to protect the personal information, intellectual property, and to ensure equal competition in the application of AI (Yara et al., 2021).

The need to have strong security policies is further reflected by (Saxena, 2023), who also noted that AI could be weak when it comes to allowing people without the correct credentials to access sensitive information. The banking industry is the case in point where AI has been used to detect and prevent unauthorized access to account details (Saxena, 2023). In addition to the security factor, (Agence France-Presse, 2023) showed that AI can effectively be applied to human creativity in art and design, with the most effective results and balance between technological potential and human innovativeness.

Future work should prioritize auditable risk management, including bias and privacy impact assessments, model-card style documentation, and red-teaming protocols aligned with UNESCO's Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence (UNESCO, 2021) and the European Union's Artificial Intelligence Act: Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council (Union, 2024). Embedding these practices will help institutions balance innovation with accountability, strengthening public trust, and ensuring equitable access to AI's benefits.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105

Acknowledgement
The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive feedback and Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris (UPSI) for supporting this research under the Faculty of Computing and Meta Technology.

References

(IEEE), I. of E. and E. E. (2019). *Ethically Aligned Design: A Vision for Prioritizing Human Well-being with Autonomous and Intelligent Systems (1st ed.)*. IEEE Standards Association. https://ethicsinaction.ieee.org

(WHO), W. H. O. (2023). *Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence for Health*. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240057328

Agence France-Presse. (2023). *Robots rise to help and care: lacking health workers, Germany taps robots to assist with the care of its elderly*.

Allen, J. F. (1998). AI growing up: The changes and opportunities. *AI Magazine*, *19*(4), 13.

Amankwah-Amoah, J., Abdalla, S., Mogaji, E., Elbanna, A., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2024). The impending disruption of creative industries by generative AI: Opportunities, challenges, and research agenda. In *International Journal of Information Management* (p. 102759). Elsevier.

Armstrong, H. (2021). *Big data, big design: Why designers should care about artificial intelligence*. Chronicle Books.

Arslan, S. S. (2024). Artificial human intelligence: The role of humans in the development of next generation AI. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2409.16001*.

Associated Press. (2023). *ChatGPT: Version four to the fore*.

Balasubramaniam, N., Kauppinen, M., Rannisto, A., Hiekkanen, K., & Kujala, S. (2023). Transparency and explainability of AI systems: From ethical guidelines to requirements. *Information & Software Technology*, *159*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2023.107197

Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). *Fairness and Machine Learning*. MIT Press. https://fairmlbook.org

Bhatnagar, S., Alexandrova, A., Avin, S., Cave, S., Cheke, L., Crosby, M., Feyereisl, J., Halina, M., Loe, B. S., & Ó hÉigeartaigh, S. (2018). Mapping intelligence: Requirements and possibilities. *Philosophy and Theory of Artificial Intelligence 2017*, 117–135.

Bonnefon, J.-F., & Rahwan, I. (2020). Machine thinking, fast and slow. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *24*(12), 1019–1027.

Brachman, R. J. (2006). AI more than the sum of its parts. *AI Magazine*, *27*(4), 19.

Burns, E., Laskowski, N., & Tucci, L. (2021). What is artificial intelligence. *Search Enterprise AI*.

Castelo, N., Bos, M. W., & Lehmann, D. R. (2019). Task-dependent algorithm aversion. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *56*(5), 809–825.

Chen, Y., Wei, Z., Gou, H., Liu, H., Gao, L., He, X., & Zhang, X. (2022). How far is brain-inspired artificial intelligence away from brain? *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *16*, 1096737.

Collins, C., Dennehy, D., Conboy, K., & Mikalef, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence in information systems research: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, *60*, 102383.

Davenport, T. H., Loucks, J., & Schatsky, D. (2017). Bullish on the business value of cognitive: Leaders in cognitive and AI weigh in on what's working and what's next. *The 2017 Deloitte State of Cognitive Survey*, 1–25.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
EISSN: 0128-1666
JISTM
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
De Neys, W. (2020). Rational rationalization and System 2. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *43*.

Demmer, T. R., Kühnapfel, C., Fingerhut, J., & Pelowski, M. (2023). Does an emotional connection to art really require a human artist? Emotion and intentionality responses to AI-versus human-created art and impact on aesthetic experience. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *148*, 107875.

Dong, Y., Hou, J., Zhang, N., & Zhang, M. (2020). Research on how human intelligence, consciousness, and cognitive computing affect the development of artificial intelligence. *Complexity*, *2020*(1), 1680845.

Fam, C. (2023). *ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND I*.

Floridi, L. (2020). The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. In *The Logic of Information: A Theory of Philosophy as Conceptual Design* (pp. 305–324). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198833635.003.0011

Forrester. (2023). *The Future of Work: The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Employment by 2030*. Forrester Research Report. https://www.forrester.com/report/the-future-of-work/

Gignac, G. E., & Szodorai, E. T. (2024). Defining intelligence: Bridging the gap between human and artificial perspectives. *Intelligence*, *104*, 101832.

Jain, A. K., Sahoo, S. R., & Kaubiyal, J. (2021). Online social networks security and privacy: comprehensive review and analysis. *Complex & Intelligent Systems*, *7*(5), 2157–2177.

Kupor, D. M., Tormala, Z. L., Norton, M. I., & Rucker, D. D. (2014). Thought calibration: How thinking just the right amount increases one's influence and appeal. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *5*(3), 263–270.

Kurzweil, R. (2005). The singularity is near. In *Ethics and emerging technologies* (pp. 393–406). Springer.

Langley, P. (2012). *Artificial intelligence and cognitive systems*.

McCorduck, P., & Cfe, C. (2004). *Machines who think: A personal inquiry into the history and prospects of artificial intelligence*. AK Peters/CRC Press.

Mitchell, M., Wu, S., Zaldivar, A., Barnes, P., Vasserman, L., Hutchinson, B., Spitzer, E., Raji, I. D., & Gebru, T. (2021). Model cards for model reporting. *Communications of the ACM*, *64*(12), 151–161. https://doi.org/10.1145/3570842

NASA. (2022). *Artificial Intelligence in Space Exploration: Perseverance and Beyond*. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/mars-2020/ai-in-space

Neiroukh, S., Aljuhmani, H. Y., & Alnajdawi, S. (2024). In the era of emerging technologies: discovering the impact of artificial intelligence capabilities on timely decision-making and business performance. *2024 ASU International Conference in Emerging Technologies for Sustainability and Intelligent Systems (ICETSIS)*, 1–6.

Ngulube, P., & Vincent Mosha, N. F. (2025). Integrating artificial intelligence-based technologies 'safely'in academic libraries: An overview through a scoping review. *Technical Services Quarterly*, *42*(1), 46–67.

Nilsson, N. J. (2009). *The quest for artificial intelligence*. Cambridge University Press.

Padamsey, Z., & Rochefort, N. L. (2023). Paying the brain's energy bill. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *78*, 102668. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2022.102668

Rai, A., Constantinides, P., & Sarker, S. (2019). Next generation digital platforms: toward human-AI hybrids. *Mis Quarterly*, *43*(1), iii–ix.

Saxena, A. (2023). *The AI Factor: How to Apply Artificial Intelligence and Use Big Data to Grow Your Business Exponentially*. Post Hill Press.

Schroer, A. (2023). *What Is Artificial Intelligence (AI)? How Does AI Work? builtin. com*.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 61-77
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.104105
Shinde, S. (2024). Beyond Compensation: A Holistic Approach to Employee Retention in the Era of Remote Work and Technological Change. *Research Review Journal of Social Science*, *4*(2), 82–92.

Simon, H. A., Bibel, W., Bundy, A., Berliner, H., Feigenbaum, E. A., Buchanan, B. G., Selfridge, O., Michie, D., Nilsson, N., & Sloman, A. (2000). AI's greatest trends and controversies. *IEEE Intelligent Systems and Their Applications*, *15*(1), 8–17.

Srivastava, M., Heidari, H., & Krause, A. (2019). Mathematical notions vs. human perception of fairness: A descriptive approach to fairness for machine learning. *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 2459–2468.

Steels, L., & Brooks, R. (2018). *The artificial life route to artificial intelligence: Building embodied, situated agents*. Routledge.

Tiwari, S. (2024). The Rise of Intelligent Machines: An Introduction to Artificial Intelligence. *Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Drug Design and Development*, 1–22.

Turing, A. M. (1936). On computable numbers, with an application to the Entscheidungsproblem. *J. of Math*, *58*(345–363), 5.

Turing, A. M. (2009). *Computing machinery and intelligence*. Springer.

UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence*. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000380455

Union, E. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence Act: Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council*. Official Journal of the European Union. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32024R1689

Wang, X., Wu, Y. C., Ji, X., & Fu, H. (2024). Algorithmic discrimination: Examining its types and regulatory measures with emphasis on US legal practices. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2024.1320277

West, D. M., & Allen, J. R. (2018). How artificial intelligence is transforming the world. *Brookings Institution. URL: Https://Www. Brookings. Edu/Research/Howartificial-Intelligence-Is-Transforming-the-World/(Дата Обращения: 07.04. 2021). Научное Издание*.

Wong, P.-H. (2020). Democratizing algorithmic fairness. *Philosophy & Technology*, *33*(2), 225–244.

Yara, O., Brazheyev, A., Golovko, L., & Bashkatova, V. (2021). Legal regulation of the use of artificial intelligence: Problems and development prospects. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, *10*(1), 281.

Yıldız, T. (2025). The minds we make: A philosophical inquiry into theory of mind and artificial intelligence. *Integrative Psychological and Behavioral Science*, *59*(1), 10.

Yong, E. (2019). *A popular algorithm is no better at predicting crimes than random people. The Atlantic, 17 January 2018*.