Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM EISSN: 0128-1666

Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

JOURNAL OF INFORMATION SYSTEM AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT (JISTM)
www.jistm.com

INTELLIGENT SUPPLY CHAIN PERSPECTIVES IN BRIDGING DIGITALIZATION SUSTAINABILITY AND FUTURE COMPETITIVENESS

Mohamed Suhaimi Yusof[1*], Ahmad Fauzi Ahmad Zaini[2]

[1] Faculty of Business and Accountancy, Universiti Poly-Tech Malaysia, Malaysia
Email: suhaimi@uptm.edu.my
[2] Institute of Graduate Studies, Universiti Poly-Tech Malaysia, Malaysia
Email: ahmadfauzi@uptm.edu.my
[*] Corresponding Author

Article Info:

Article history:
Received date: 23.09.2025
Revised date: 10.10.2025
Accepted date: 30.11.2025
Published date: 18.12.2025

To cite this document:

Yusof, M. S., & Zaini, A. F. A. (2025). Intelligent Supply Chain Perspectives in Bridging Digitalization Sustainability and Future Competitiveness. *Journal of Information System and Technology Management, 10* (41), 316-338.

DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Abstract:

The evolution of intelligent supply chains reflects the growing intersection of digitalization, sustainability, and global competitiveness. This article explores how digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and digital twins can transform supply chain operations while highlighting the environmental and social trade-offs that accompany these innovations. Drawing upon recent scholarship and global reports, the study reviews current literature, identifies persistent issues, and discusses systemic barriers that hinder the full realization of intelligent supply chains. The findings reveal that while digital tools enhance visibility, resilience, and efficiency, they are frequently undermined by integration difficulties, regulatory fragmentation, and uneven adoption across industries and regions. Sustainability outcomes are further complicated by the high energy consumption of digital infrastructures and the risk of rebound effects. The discussion emphasizes that technology-driven supply chains require more than technical capacity: governance alignment, inclusivity, workforce transformation, and environmental stewardship must be embedded to deliver long-term value. Based on these insights, the article offers suggestions for embedding sustainability into digital strategies, harmonizing regulatory frameworks, supporting organizational learning, and redefining competitiveness around low-carbon performance and resilience. Intelligent supply chains are ultimately presented as a paradigm shift that reconfigures global commerce by balancing efficiency with responsibility, growth with equity, and innovation with ecological sustainability.

Keywords:

Intelligent Supply Chain, Digitalization, Sustainability, Competitiveness, Resilience

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Introduction

Global supply chains are being reshaped by three intertwined forces. The first is the acceleration of digitalization that embeds sensing, analytics, and automation into end-to-end operations. The second is the elevation of sustainability from a compliance exercise to a strategic imperative that spans environmental, social, and governance performance. The third is an irreversible shift in the basis of competition toward agility, resilience, and innovation. These forces converge in the notion of the intelligent supply chain, which uses data-driven capabilities to anticipate change, orchestrate multi-tier networks, and deliver sustainable outcomes that reinforce long-run competitiveness. Recent empirical and conceptual studies indicate that intelligent, digitally enabled practices can improve visibility, coordination, and environmental performance, particularly when combined with process redesign and capability building (Shi et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025).

Digital technologies are now foundational to supply chain management rather than peripheral add-ons. The architecture of an intelligent supply chain integrates the Internet of Things for continuous sensing, artificial intelligence for prediction and prescription, and platform technologies for collaboration. Digital twins, which create computational replicas of products, assets, and networks, enable what-if analysis and proactive adjustment under uncertainty. Evidence from manufacturing and logistics shows that digital twins can enhance both resilience and sustainability by improving real-time decision quality and reducing waste in planning and execution (Singh et al., 2024; Zaidi et al., 2024; Timperi et al., 2024). AI adoption in operations is likewise associated with better forecasting, faster response to disruption, and the ability to optimize inventories and transportation with lower environmental impacts (Samuels et al., 2025; Zejjari et al., 2024). Distributed ledgers strengthen provenance and traceability in multi-tier networks where the credibility of environmental and social claims depends on verifiable data, which is why blockchain continues to feature in transparency initiatives and sustainable sourcing programs (Payandeh et al., 2025; Idrissi et al., 2024).

The strategic importance of sustainability within supply chains has risen sharply due to stakeholder pressure, regulation, and risk management needs. Empirical work increasingly links sustainable supply chain practices with market competitiveness through channels such as brand differentiation, process efficiency, access to capital, and risk reduction (Zhao et al., 2025). Complementary survey and modeling studies find that digitalization strengthens information sharing and responsiveness, which in turn improves sustainable supply chain performance across the triple bottom line (Zaid et al., 2025). Collectively, these findings support the view that intelligent supply chains mediate the pathway from digital investment to competitive advantage by translating data into coordinated action with measurable environmental and social benefits.

Policy trends amplify these pressures and opportunities. In the European Union, the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive requires large and listed companies to disclose sustainability risks, impacts, and metrics in a standardized way, elevating the quality and comparability of data that flows through supply networks (European Commission, 2024a). The Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive, which entered into force in 2024, imposes due diligence duties on in-scope companies to identify and address adverse human rights and environmental impacts across their chains of activities. This reframes sustainability as a system-wide responsibility rather than a firm-centric choice and heightens the need for traceable, reliable multi-tier data (European Commission, 2024b). These regulatory shifts make

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020
intelligent data architectures, interoperable platforms, and supplier engagement programs essential enablers of compliance and competitiveness.

Climate risk further accentuates the value of intelligent capabilities. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change synthesized extensive evidence showing widening physical impacts that propagate through global value chains, with adaptation urgency rising across regions and sectors (IPCC, 2023). Recent integrated assessments and network analyses demonstrate that climate shocks can amplify economic losses via trade and production linkages, which implies that firms must map exposures beyond tier-one suppliers and simulate potential cascades to protect value added and service levels (Sun et al., 2024). In sectors exposed to heat stress and weather variability, the ability to anticipate and reconfigure flows is increasingly a source of advantage and not merely a defensive posture. Intelligent supply chains that integrate risk analytics with inventory, capacity, and logistics decisions are better positioned to sustain service, cost, and sustainability performance in a more volatile climate.

Despite the promise of digitalization, intelligent supply chain strategies face nontrivial trade-offs and constraints. One prominent challenge is the rising energy footprint of digital infrastructure that powers data-intensive supply chain applications. The International Energy Agency estimates that data centers accounted for roughly 1.5 percent of global electricity use in 2024 and projects that electricity demand from data centers will more than double by 2030 as AI workloads scale. This has implications for the net environmental benefits of digitizing operations unless organizations pair digital adoption with clean energy procurement, efficiency improvements, and workload optimization (IEA, 2024; IEA, 2025). The tension is not an argument against digitalization. Rather, it underscores the need for intelligent design that optimizes the digital stack itself while pursuing emissions reductions in logistics and manufacturing.

Another constraint is the uneven diffusion of digital capabilities across firms and regions, especially among small and medium-sized enterprises that anchor many value chains. Cross-country evidence from the OECD indicates that SMEs continue to face a widening digital gap in areas such as cloud adoption, cybersecurity, and advanced analytics. New survey data from 2024 and 2025 show that while digital tools can raise resilience and productivity, many SMEs struggle with costs, skills, and awareness of support programs. This variability matters because sustainability and traceability targets will not be met if capability building stops at the first tier (OECD, 2024; OECD, 2025; OECD, 2023). Intelligent supply chain strategies therefore require inclusive approaches, including supplier enablement, shared platforms, and financing mechanisms that lower adoption barriers for smaller partners.

Firms also encounter governance and security risks. As data flows expand across organizations and jurisdictions, supply chain cybersecurity becomes a strategic concern. Updated guidance in NIST SP 800-161 reinforces a multilevel approach to cybersecurity supply chain risk management that integrates due diligence, contractual controls, and continuous monitoring. These practices are relevant for intelligent supply chains that depend on third-party software, sensors, and platforms, since compromises can propagate quickly through operational networks (NIST, 2024). Interoperability is a related requirement. Emerging data space initiatives, including Catena-X and Gaia-X, seek to combine data sovereignty, standardized interfaces, and trusted identity to support multi-tier collaboration at scale. Case evidence shows that digital data spaces can support circular economy goals by enabling sharing of product and process

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020
information across partners, including information that underpins lifecycle assessments and digital product passports (Steiner et al., 2024). These developments signal that the institutional and technical architecture of data exchange is becoming as important as the analytics that run on top of it.

The competitive logic behind intelligent and sustainable supply chains is grounded in performance linkages that extend beyond public relations. Firm-level research increasingly connects sustainable supply management and process management to operational and economic performance, with effects moderated by stakeholder pressure and mediated by process redesign. These findings align with the natural resource-based view that privileges capabilities which reduce resource intensity and emissions while maintaining service and cost (Li et al., 2025). Sectoral and cross-country studies report positive associations between green supply chain practices and competitive advantage, although results vary with context and implementation quality (Truant et al., 2024; Paluš et al., 2024). From a market perspective, buyers are paying closer attention to the content and credibility of supplier sustainability disclosures, which raises the stakes for verifiable, granular data and consistent reporting. Intelligent systems that automate data capture and validation can reduce the burden of disclosure while strengthening the signal to market participants (Vinayavekhin et al., 2024).

Managerial practice and industry surveys reinforce these academic patterns. Executives report persistent volatility from geopolitics, climate events, and logistics disruptions, and many acknowledge that their existing planning systems and governance routines lag behind the complexity of multi-tier networks. Advisory analyses point to increased investment in AI-enabled planning and execution, but also warn that value capture depends on operating model shifts and board-level engagement with risk and resilience. These insights align with the thesis that competitiveness increasingly depends on an organization’s ability to convert digital capabilities into timely cross-functional decisions that account for sustainability constraints and opportunities (McKinsey, 2024; KPMG, 2024; World Economic Forum, 2025).

The circular economy lens offers another route to align digitalization, sustainability, and competitiveness. Circular strategies require traceability of materials, condition monitoring, and coordination for reuse and remanufacturing. Digital twins can support design for circularity by embedding environmental attributes into product models and by informing maintenance and reverse logistics decisions. Conceptual and empirical work shows that firms struggle with practical barriers such as data availability, cross-partner incentives, and standards, yet digital tools can lower these barriers when integrated with governance and market mechanisms (Taddei et al., 2024; Mayanti et al., 2024; Timperi et al., 2024). As regulatory and customer expectations for circularity increase, intelligent supply chains that maintain high-fidelity information across product lifecycles can unlock cost, risk, and revenue advantages.

Bringing these strands together, we propose to view the intelligent supply chain as a capability system with three mutually reinforcing functions. The sensing function provides end-to-end visibility and early warning through IoT, telemetry, and data spaces. The optimization function translates signals into coordinated decisions using machine learning, digital twins, and prescriptive analytics to balance cost, service, and environmental outcomes. The adaptation function reconfigures assets, contracts, and flows to respond to shocks and opportunities while preserving compliance and social responsibility. In practice, these functions operate within regulatory thresholds, technology constraints, and partner readiness. Their effectiveness

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

depends on organizational learning, cross-functional governance, and supplier development programs that extend digital and sustainability capabilities beyond tier one. Evidence indicates that when these functions are developed coherently, firms realize superior sustainable performance and market positioning, particularly where stakeholder scrutiny and climate risks are high (Zhao et al., 2025; Zaid et al., 2025; Shi et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025).

The research and practice agenda that follows from this perspective is clear. First, intelligent supply chains must address the measurement and management of scope 3 emissions across multiple tiers, which requires new data models, supplier enablement, and verification mechanisms. Recent work on multi-tier emissions structures underscores both the mitigation potential and the implementation complexity, suggesting that progress will depend on interoperable datasets and shared methodologies. Second, balancing the environmental cost of digital infrastructure with its operational and sustainability benefits demands attention to workload efficiency, edge processing, renewable energy procurement, and circularity of hardware to ensure that digitalization does not simply shift emissions elsewhere. Third, governance must integrate cybersecurity and data sovereignty to build trust in cross-enterprise collaboration. Fourth, inclusive strategies are needed to avoid a two-speed economy where large firms succeed while smaller partners fall behind, which would undermine both compliance and resilience (Yang et al., 2025).

Intelligent supply chains provide a pragmatic bridge between digitalization, sustainability, and future competitiveness. They do so by converting data into coordinated action across complex networks, by aligning operational decisions with environmental and social objectives, and by building the adaptive capacity required in a world of more frequent and severe shocks. The empirical and policy evidence reviewed above supports the proposition that firms which invest in intelligent capabilities, supplier development, and trustworthy data architectures can achieve superior performance and durable advantage. At the same time, unresolved challenges around energy use, interoperability, security, and inclusion require thoughtful design choices and collaborative governance. Advancing this agenda will demand cross-disciplinary scholarship and experimentation that integrate operations research, information systems, environmental science, and public policy. The goal is not simply to digitize existing supply chains, but to design intelligent, sustainable networks that compete effectively while contributing to climate and societal goals (World Economic Forum, 2024; European Commission, 2024a, 2024b; McKinsey, 2024; KPMG, 2024).

In strengthening the conceptual foundation of this study, intelligent supply chains can be understood as socio-technical systems in which digitalisation, automation and data-driven coordination interact to shape environmental and competitive outcomes. Theoretical perspectives such as the Technology–Organization–Environment framework and the Diffusion of Innovation model highlight that technology adoption is influenced not only by system capabilities but also by organisational readiness, institutional pressures and perceived value creation (Tornatzky and Fleischer, 1990; Rogers, 2003). These perspectives clarify why digital tools do not automatically generate sustainability benefits unless embedded within strategic, organisational and regulatory contexts. At the same time, supply chain and operations theories increasingly emphasise the integration of resilience, circularity and low-carbon transitions as foundations for long-term competitiveness in global networks (Ivanov, 2023; Christopher, 2022). Intelligent supply chains therefore serve as a convergence point where digital

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

transformation, sustainability expectations and competitive pressures interact, making the topic both theoretically significant and practically urgent for contemporary supply chain research.

Literature Review

The emergence of intelligent supply chains reflects the convergence of digital transformation, sustainability imperatives, and the pursuit of competitiveness in an increasingly volatile environment. While the concept is widely acknowledged, its contours are shaped by a diverse body of scholarship spanning operations management, information systems, environmental studies, and policy research. Existing literature emphasizes that intelligent supply chains represent more than the sum of technologies. They embody a systemic integration of sensing, optimization, and adaptive capabilities that allow firms to respond to uncertainty, comply with sustainability demands, and secure long-term market advantage.

Conceptual Foundations of Intelligent Supply Chains

The conceptual development of intelligent supply chains stems from the recognition that traditional models based solely on efficiency are insufficient in an environment characterized by volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity. Moghaddam and Nof (2022) describe intelligent supply chains as systems that employ advanced analytics, real-time sensing, and decision-support tools to enhance adaptability. Dubey et al. (2022) reinforce this perspective, arguing that intelligence arises when organizations combine big data analytics with collaborative governance structures.

Ivanov (2023) further situates intelligent supply chains within the predictive and prescriptive analytics domain, noting that the ability to forecast disruptions and propose solutions distinguishes them from conventional digitized supply chains. Teece (2021) highlights those dynamic capabilities, including sensing opportunities, seizing resources, and transforming structures, are essential theoretical anchors for understanding how supply chains can evolve intelligently. Sarkis (2021) complements this by framing intelligent supply chains within the natural resource-based view, where sustainability-oriented capabilities serve as sources of competitive advantage.

From the logistics literature, McKinnon (2025) observes that intelligence entails aligning digital innovation with low-carbon strategies, thereby redefining competitiveness in freight and logistics. These conceptual contributions collectively establish that intelligence in supply chains is a multidimensional construct integrating technology, sustainability, and strategic capabilities.

The theoretical foundation of intelligent supply chain adoption can be strengthened by integrating the Technology, Organization and Environment framework which explains how technological readiness, organizational capability and external institutional pressures shape adoption behaviour (Tornatzky and Fleischer, 1990, Baker, 2012). Technological characteristics such as complexity and compatibility influence implementation feasibility while organizational elements including leadership commitment, resource availability and workforce capability determine the depth of assimilation (Oliveira and Martins, 2011).

Environmental pressures such as regulatory expectations, competitive intensity and sustainability requirements influence the urgency of adoption (Hsu et al., 2014). The Diffusion of Innovations theory further clarifies differences in adoption rates by emphasising perceived

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020
complexity, trialability and observability (Rogers, 2003). Intelligent supply chain technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence and digital twins often display high perceived complexity which affects adoption among smaller firms (Chong et al., 2020, Queiroz and Wamba, 2019). Integrating these theories provides deeper conceptual clarity regarding variation in adoption across industries and regions.

Digitalization and Enabling Technologies
Digitalization is the primary enabler of intelligent supply chains, providing the data and analytics foundation necessary for sensing and optimization. Tortorella et al. (2021) show that Industry 4.0 tools enhance operational performance when integrated with process redesign. Singh et al. (2024) argue that digital twins allow firms to simulate supply network dynamics, thereby improving resilience and sustainability outcomes.

Blockchain has received extensive attention as a mechanism for ensuring transparency and traceability. Treiblmaier (2023) and Queiroz et al. (2022) demonstrate that blockchain adoption increases trust in supply networks by enabling immutable records of transactions, although challenges persist regarding scalability and interoperability. Steiner et al. (2024) highlight the role of data spaces and product passports in ensuring interoperability and supporting circular economy models.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning enable predictive analytics for demand forecasting, route optimization, and inventory management. Ghadge et al. (2022) show that AI-driven decision support improves both efficiency and environmental outcomes by reducing resource waste. Gartner (2024) emphasizes that aligning AI with sustainability metrics is necessary to avoid rebound effects.

However, digital infrastructures themselves have environmental implications. The International Energy Agency (2024, 2025) warns that electricity consumption by data centers and AI workloads is rising rapidly, creating a paradox where digital solutions may contribute to emissions unless paired with renewable energy sources. Accenture (2024) suggests that intelligent design of digital stacks, including workload optimization and hardware circularity, is essential to minimize these unintended consequences.

Sustainability Imperatives and Triple Bottom Line Performance
The literature increasingly positions sustainability as an inseparable dimension of intelligent supply chains. Zaid et al. (2025) demonstrate that information sharing and responsiveness, enabled by digitalization, improve environmental and social performance. Centobelli et al. (2022) examine digital tools in circular supply chains, showing that IoT and blockchain facilitate remanufacturing and reuse. Bag et al. (2021) provide empirical evidence that Industry 4.0 combined with lean principles enhances ecological efficiency.

Environmental considerations dominate this stream, with multiple studies highlighting the role of digital technologies in emissions monitoring, waste reduction, and eco-design (Sarkis, 2021; Dubey et al., 2022). Climate risk research underscores the urgency of integrating adaptation strategies. The IPCC (2023) stresses that climate change impacts propagate through global value chains, while Sun et al. (2024) quantify how shocks amplify losses via network interdependencies. McKinsey (2024) illustrates how firms with intelligent risk analytics sustain both service levels and emissions targets during disruptions.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Social sustainability is also a growing focus. OECD (2025) warns of skill mismatches as automation reshapes workforce requirements, while Deloitte (2024) argues that reskilling is critical for inclusive sustainability. The triple bottom line perspective advanced by KPMG (2024) and the World Economic Forum (2025) emphasizes that environmental, social, and economic outcomes must be considered jointly, with digitalization serving as an enabler rather than an end in itself.

Competitiveness and Dynamic Capabilities

Competitiveness in turbulent environments is increasingly defined by resilience, adaptability, and sustainability leadership. Dubey et al. (2022) find that analytics maturity correlates positively with both operational efficiency and market competitiveness. Ivanov (2023) highlights predictive analytics as critical for maintaining competitive advantage under uncertainty.

Dynamic capability theory provides a unifying explanation. Teece (2021) explains that firms sustain advantage by sensing opportunities, seizing resources, and transforming structures. In supply chains, intelligent systems embody these capabilities through real-time monitoring, rapid decision-making, and adaptive reconfiguration. Zaid et al. (2025) confirm empirically that responsiveness mediates the link between information sharing and sustainable performance, thereby reinforcing competitiveness.

From a market perspective, sustainability performance is increasingly a source of differentiation. Accenture (2024) and the World Economic Forum (2025) report that buyers and investors demand verified ESG disclosures, with intelligent systems enabling automation and accuracy in reporting. McKinnon (2025) underscores that low-carbon logistics solutions will be central to competitive positioning.

Thus, competitiveness is no longer measured only in cost or speed, but in the ability to deliver reliable service with verified sustainability outcomes. Intelligent supply chains represent the mechanism through which this advantage is achieved.

Governance, Inclusion, and Diffusion Challenges

The literature highlights that governance and inclusivity are both enablers and barriers to intelligent supply chain adoption. The European Commission (2024a) through the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive mandates standardized disclosures, while the Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (2024b) requires firms to identify and address risks across entire value chains. These policies shift responsibility from firm-level reporting to systemic accountability.

Cybersecurity has also become central. NIST (2024) stresses that as digitalization increases, managing supply chain cybersecurity risks is essential to maintain trust and resilience. Steiner et al. (2024) emphasize that data spaces and digital product passports require robust governance mechanisms to ensure interoperability and compliance.

Diffusion challenges are evident in disparities between large firms and SMEs. OECD (2024, 2025) show that smaller firms face cost and skills barriers to adopting advanced technologies. McKinsey (2024) reports that even multinational corporations often fail to capture expected

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020
value from advanced planning systems due to poor change management. Treiblmaier (2023) and Singh et al. (2024) note that blockchain and digital twins face adoption barriers related to governance and cultural readiness.

Inclusive strategies are suggested as solutions. Deloitte (2024) proposes supplier enablement and public-private partnerships to accelerate adoption. Accenture (2024) advocates for financing instruments and shared platforms that reduce costs for SMEs. These approaches highlight that inclusivity is both an ethical imperative and an operational necessity, since sustainability goals cannot be met if large segments of supply networks are excluded from digital transformation.

Current Issues

Intelligent supply chains are widely regarded as critical for achieving resilience, sustainability, and competitiveness, yet their development in practice continues to face profound challenges. Studies reveal that the integration of advanced digital technologies is often hindered by interoperability problems, poor data quality, and limited organizational readiness, reducing the value that firms expect to capture (Moghaddam & Nof, 2022; Ivanov, 2023). At the same time, sustainability benefits are constrained by the environmental costs of digital infrastructures and by rebound effects that diminish net ecological gains (IEA, 2025; Winkelmann et al., 2024). Firms must also navigate complex regulatory frameworks and compliance requirements that strain resources, particularly for small and medium enterprises with limited capabilities (European Commission, 2024a; OECD, 2025). Moreover, workforce transformation and inclusive participation across global supply networks remain uneven, raising concerns that technological advances may widen rather than close competitive and sustainability gaps (McKinnon, 2018; Dubey et al., 2022).

Technological Complexity and Integration Barriers

One of the most pressing issues in the deployment of intelligent supply chains is the complexity of integrating multiple digital technologies into coherent systems. The literature highlights that IoT devices, artificial intelligence, digital twins, and blockchain each provide distinct benefits, but combining them within existing infrastructures often proves problematic. Ivanov (2023) argues that predictive and prescriptive analytics deliver value only when underpinned by high-quality, interoperable data. Yet, empirical evidence shows that data fragmentation, inconsistent standards, and legacy systems severely limit interoperability (Ghadge et al., 2022; Treiblmaier, 2023).

Singh et al. (2024) demonstrate that digital twins rely on the continuous aggregation of data streams from suppliers, logistics providers, and internal systems, but many firms lack the standardized interfaces to support this integration. Gartner (2024) further reports that technology investments often fail to yield results because organizations underinvest in the complementary processes required for adoption. Similarly, McKinsey (2024) finds that advanced planning systems achieve only partial implementation in most firms due to inadequate change management and weak alignment between IT and business units.

Blockchain adoption illustrates this integration gap. While it promises transparency and trust in multi-tier supply networks, adoption remains patchy because partners often use incompatible protocols, and smaller suppliers lack incentives to participate (Queiroz et al., 2022; Treiblmaier, 2023). Even where technical compatibility exists, differences in data-sharing

Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020
policies hinder full integration. The result is a fragmented ecosystem where technologies are deployed in isolation rather than as components of an intelligent supply chain.

The academic consensus is that technological complexity will remain a major barrier unless integration is approached systemically. This requires not only technological interoperability but also cross-functional coordination, strategic investment, and supplier engagement to ensure that digital tools operate effectively across supply networks (Dubey et al., 2022; Steiner et al., 2024).

Environmental Trade-offs and Sustainability Challenges

While intelligent supply chains are promoted as enablers of sustainability, recent research identifies paradoxes that complicate this narrative. The International Energy Agency (2024, 2025) warns that the electricity demand from data centers and AI workloads is rising sharply, with projections suggesting a doubling of demand by 2030. Winkelmann et al. (2024) show that blockchain technologies, often implemented to improve transparency, are themselves energy intensive, especially under certain consensus mechanisms. This creates a paradox where the tools designed to enhance sustainability may increase emissions.

Androod et al. (2024) observe that digitalization improves monitoring and reduces inefficiencies but can also generate rebound effects. For instance, optimized logistics may lower emissions per shipment but simultaneously enable more frequent deliveries, which in aggregate increases environmental impact. Accenture (2024) similarly highlights that efficiency gains at the firm level may not translate into net ecological benefits at the system level.

Climate risks further complicate the sustainability agenda. The IPCC (2023) emphasizes that physical disruptions from climate change propagate across value chains, amplifying vulnerabilities even in digitally advanced supply networks. Sun et al. (2024) demonstrate through network modelling that supply chains face cascading failures when climate shocks occur, regardless of digitalization levels. Thus, sustainability efforts must not only focus on emissions reduction but also on climate adaptation strategies.

Social sustainability issues are equally important. OECD (2025) and Deloitte (2024) caution that automation and digitalization may lead to job displacement unless complemented by large-scale reskilling initiatives. Bag et al. (2021) argue that technological changes without social safeguards undermine long-term supply chain legitimacy. Intelligent supply chains, therefore, must be designed with a balanced view of ecological, social, and economic sustainability, ensuring that digitalization enhances rather than undermines the triple bottom line.

Governance, Regulation, and Data Security

Governance challenges represent another major issue. The European Commission (2024a, 2024b) has expanded sustainability reporting and due diligence requirements, demanding greater transparency and accountability from firms. While these regulations improve stakeholder confidence, Steiner et al. (2024) note that compliance is difficult due to the lack of harmonized standards and the complexity of multi-tier supply networks. KPMG (2024) adds that inconsistent ESG frameworks across jurisdictions hinder comparability, complicating global reporting.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Cybersecurity risks are a growing concern. NIST (2024) emphasizes that supply chain cyber risks are now systemic, with vulnerabilities in third-party software, IoT devices, and data-sharing platforms providing potential entry points for attackers. Dubey et al. (2022) reinforce that expanding digital flows create exposure to breaches that can halt both digital and physical operations. Even blockchain, often touted as secure, is vulnerable to governance and implementation flaws (Treiblmaier, 2023).

The fragmentation of regulations also presents barriers. Queiroz et al. (2022) show that multinational supply chains must navigate varied data privacy laws, sustainability requirements, and trade rules, resulting in costly redundancies. Without harmonized frameworks, compliance risks becoming a major drain on resources, particularly for smaller firms.

These governance challenges highlight that intelligent supply chains require not just technology but also global regulatory coordination, effective data standards, and trust-building mechanisms among partners.

Capability Gaps and Workforce Transformation

Intelligent supply chains depend heavily on human capital, yet research shows persistent capability gaps. Ivanov (2023) points out that advanced analytics require expertise in data science, systems engineering, and operations management, which are often lacking. OECD (2024) identifies SMEs as particularly disadvantaged due to financial and skill shortages. Deloitte (2024) stresses that without systematic reskilling programs, digital adoption risks excluding workers rather than empowering them.

McKinsey (2024) highlights that even in large firms, digital tools remain underutilized because decision-making rights are not adapted to new data-driven processes. Accenture (2024) observes that cultural resistance and change fatigue often reduce the adoption of new systems. Bag et al. (2021) and Centobelli et al. (2022) show that managing sustainability metrics requires new capabilities in lifecycle assessment and carbon accounting, which are not widely available.

Furthermore, rapid technological change exacerbates the skills gap. As AI, blockchain, and IoT evolve, firms must constantly update capabilities, straining resources and leadership attention (Ghadge et al., 2022; Dubey et al., 2022). The literature suggests that addressing this gap requires investments in education, cross-disciplinary training, and collaborative programs that prepare the workforce for intelligent and sustainable supply chain management.

Inclusivity and Diffusion Barriers

Inclusivity and diffusion remain critical issues for intelligent supply chains. OECD (2025) finds that SMEs lag behind in adopting digital tools, creating a two-speed economy where large firms capture sustainability and competitiveness gains while smaller firms fall behind. Zaid et al. (2025) confirm that effective sustainability outcomes depend on network-wide information sharing, which is difficult when smaller partners lack digital capacity.

Regional disparities are equally concerning. The World Economic Forum (2025) notes that nearly two-thirds of people in least developed countries remain digitally excluded, restricting their participation in global supply networks. Adhikari and Chanda (2024) highlight

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020
infrastructure and financing barriers that hinder diffusion in emerging markets. Queiroz et al. (2022) point out that firms in these regions struggle to comply with global ESG standards, creating risks of marginalization.

Inclusive strategies are essential. Deloitte (2024) advocates for supplier enablement and public-private partnerships to build digital and sustainability capacities among SMEs. Accenture (2024) emphasizes financing models and shared platforms to reduce entry costs. Steiner et al. (2024) show that digital product passports and data spaces can facilitate inclusivity if designed for broad participation. Without such measures, intelligent supply chains risk exacerbating inequalities and failing to deliver systemic sustainability.

Discussion

The preceding issues reveal that while intelligent supply chains are increasingly recognized as a pathway toward resilience, sustainability, and competitiveness, their effective implementation remains fraught with systemic challenges. The discussion that follows synthesizes insights from the literature, interpreting how these issues interact and identifying potential avenues for theory development, policy action, and managerial practice. The emphasis is on reconciling the tensions between digitalization and sustainability, aligning governance structures with emerging regulatory frameworks, and ensuring that competitiveness is inclusive and future-oriented. This discussion builds directly on the identified issues and situates them within broader debates on supply chain transformation.

Intelligent supply chains generate substantial value yet they also introduce important trade offs that must be considered. Digital systems increase visibility and coordination but they also elevate energy consumption and electronic waste due to expanding data centre operations and sensing infrastructures (Belkhir and Elmeligi, 2018, Hintemann and Hinterholzer, 2023). Enhanced governance and traceability strengthen compliance but they can impose financial and technical burdens on smaller firms (Clohessy et al., 2020, Kamble et al., 2021). Automation reduces human error and speeds up processing yet it contributes to labour displacement risks when reskilling programmes are insufficient (Fleming, 2019, Susskind, 2020). These trade offs indicate that digital transformation is not automatically sustainable or equitable without balanced strategies and adequate institutional support.

Reconciling Digitalization with Sustainability

One of the central debates concerns the paradoxical relationship between digitalization and sustainability. On one hand, digital technologies provide opportunities to reduce inefficiencies, monitor emissions, and design circular economy models. On the other, the infrastructure that supports these technologies consumes significant amounts of energy, thereby introducing new environmental burdens. Scholars argue that resolving this paradox requires moving beyond isolated technology adoption toward integrated digital-ecological strategies (Zhang et al., 2023; Kapoor et al., 2024). For instance, edge computing has been proposed to reduce the energy intensity of data centers by processing information closer to the source, thereby limiting redundant data transfers (Alam et al., 2023).

Research also shows that firms that align digitalization with renewable energy procurement achieve more consistent sustainability gains. Luo et al. (2024) demonstrate that companies investing in both digital supply chain solutions and clean energy contracts report significantly lower lifecycle emissions. The emphasis on lifecycle analysis is critical, as optimization at the

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

operational level does not always translate into systemic environmental improvements (Winkelmann et al., 2024). Instead, intelligent supply chains must embed sustainability constraints directly into optimization models to ensure that efficiency gains do not trigger rebound effects (Zhou et al., 2025).

From a social perspective, inclusive digitalization is required to prevent the marginalization of workers. Studies highlight that intelligent automation can reduce repetitive manual work and enhance safety, but without targeted reskilling initiatives, it risks exacerbating unemployment and inequality (Rahman et al., 2023; OECD, 2025). This duality reinforces the conclusion that sustainability in intelligent supply chains must address both ecological and social dimensions, creating balanced outcomes that extend beyond compliance.

The trade-offs within intelligent supply chains can be observed across several core dimensions. In the context of digitalization, organisations gain improved efficiency and greater real time visibility, yet these advancements often require increased energy consumption and expose firms to higher cybersecurity risks. Sustainability efforts contribute to stronger environmental reporting and greater transparency, although these practices may also introduce additional administrative burdens, particularly for smaller firms with limited resources.

Automation delivers faster processing and reduces errors, but it can also lead to labour displacement when reskilling programmes are insufficient to support workforce transitions. Enhancing traceability strengthens data integrity and governance across the supply chain, however it may impose infrastructure pressures on smaller suppliers that lack the technological capacity to meet new requirements. These interlinked trade offs demonstrate that intelligent supply chains must be managed through balanced strategies that recognise both benefits and potential operational strains.

Governance and Regulatory Alignment

Adoption of intelligent supply chain technologies differs across industries, regions and firm sizes. Manufacturing firms often adopt digital twins and predictive analytics earlier because they possess automation infrastructures that support advanced modelling (Kamble et al., 2020, Ivanov and Dolgui, 2021). Logistics operators depend heavily on sensing technologies and real time monitoring to manage network flows and reduce uncertainties (Wang et al., 2023). Large multinational firms advance more rapidly due to stronger governance systems, financial resources and structured capability building programmes (Schoenherr and Speier Pero, 2015). Smaller firms frequently adopt technologies at a slower pace because of capital constraints, skill shortages and interoperability challenges (Giotopoulos et al., 2017, Mittal et al., 2018). Regional infrastructure differences further widen the adoption gap between developed and developing economies (UNCTAD, 2022).

Strengthening systemic resilience is increasingly important as supply chains face climate disruptions, cyber threats and geopolitical instability (Ivanov, 2020, Sheffi, 2021). Intelligent systems supported by digital twins allow organisations to simulate disruptions, evaluate alternative responses and identify vulnerabilities before failures occur (Kaur and Singh, 2022, Lu et al., 2021). Resilience can be assessed using indicators such as recovery time, service level stability and emissions performance under stress (Ponomarov and Holcomb, 2009, Brandon Jones et al., 2014). Integrating resilience analytics into digital strategies enhances adaptive capacity and supports long term competitiveness.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Governance is another domain where tensions are evident. The proliferation of sustainability regulations has raised compliance demands that stretch organizational capacity. The European Commission's directives on reporting and due diligence are prominent examples, but similar regulations are emerging in Asia and North America (European Commission, 2024a; Lee & Park, 2023). The challenge is not the absence of standards but rather their fragmentation. Firms operating globally must comply with divergent frameworks, which creates duplicative reporting and raises costs.

Scholars propose that intelligent supply chains can play a mediating role by developing data-sharing platforms that harmonize metrics across jurisdictions (Steiner et al., 2024; Huang et al., 2025). Blockchain-enabled digital product passports are a promising innovation in this regard, as they can store verifiable information about sourcing, carbon footprints, and compliance in a manner accessible across regulatory regimes (Payandeh et al., 2025). However, their success depends on industry-wide collaboration and public-private partnerships (Trivedi et al., 2024).

Cybersecurity concerns further complicate governance debates. As supply chains become more digitized, vulnerabilities multiply. Recent high-profile cyber incidents demonstrate that breaches in one supplier can disrupt entire networks (NIST, 2024; Tan et al., 2023). This has shifted academic attention toward cybersecurity as a sustainability issue, since the resilience of data systems is now central to economic and social trust. Addressing this requires embedding cybersecurity practices into sustainability reporting and integrating them into multi-tier supplier audits (Kshetri, 2023).

Capability Development and Workforce Transformation

A consistent theme across the literature is that technology adoption is constrained not by availability but by the skills and organizational capabilities required to deploy it effectively. Firms often fail to realize value from intelligent systems because they lack personnel with the necessary expertise in data science, systems integration, and lifecycle sustainability assessment (Ivanov, 2023; Dubey et al., 2022).

Recent studies emphasize that workforce transformation must be treated as a strategic priority rather than a peripheral training activity. Deloitte (2024) reports that firms investing in structured reskilling programs for supply chain professionals are more likely to achieve measurable improvements in both efficiency and sustainability performance. Similarly, Chen et al. (2023) show that cross-disciplinary training, which combines operations, digital analytics, and environmental management, produces managers who are better able to balance trade-offs.

The discussion extends to leadership and organizational culture. Research finds that cultural resistance to change is one of the most underestimated barriers to intelligent supply chain adoption (Accenture, 2024; Rahman et al., 2023). Without leadership commitment and change management frameworks, investments in digital technologies risk underutilization. This observation aligns with broader organizational theory, which underscores those capabilities are socially constructed and require alignment of incentives, routines, and governance (Teece, 2021).

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Inclusivity and Global Diffusion

The uneven distribution of digital capabilities across firms and regions poses critical challenges to the equitable diffusion of intelligent supply chains. While large multinational firms often have the resources to implement advanced technologies and comply with reporting requirements, SMEs and suppliers in developing regions face substantial barriers (OECD, 2024; Adhikari & Chanda, 2024). This creates the risk of a two-tier system in which some firms reap the benefits of intelligence while others are excluded, undermining systemic sustainability.

To address this, scholars and practitioners advocate for inclusive platforms and financing mechanisms. For example, shared blockchain systems have been proposed as a way to lower entry barriers for SMEs by distributing costs across participants (Queiroz et al., 2022; Bag et al., 2021). Public-private partnerships have also proven effective in building regional digital infrastructure, which in turn enhances participation in global supply chains (World Economic Forum, 2025).

Inclusivity is also a geopolitical concern. Countries that lag in digital adoption risk being marginalized in trade, particularly as sustainability regulations increasingly require digital verification of compliance (Lee & Park, 2023). This underscores the need for capacity-building initiatives that support developing economies in upgrading their digital and sustainability infrastructures. In this sense, inclusivity is not only an ethical imperative but also a competitive necessity for the stability of global supply chains.

Toward a Balanced Framework for Competitiveness

The discussion highlights that competitiveness in the era of intelligent supply chains cannot be reduced to cost efficiency or speed. Instead, it must be reconceptualized as a balanced framework that integrates digital capability, sustainability performance, and adaptive resilience. McKinnon (2025) argues that future competitiveness in logistics will depend on the ability to combine low-carbon operations with intelligent technologies. This resonates with evidence that firms demonstrating credible sustainability leadership enjoy superior market positioning and investor confidence (KPMG, 2024; Accenture, 2024).

At the same time, competitiveness is dynamic. Teece (2021) stresses that firms must continually sense, seize, and transform to sustain advantage. In intelligent supply chains, this implies a continuous recalibration of digital portfolios, sustainability commitments, and workforce strategies. The literature suggests that firms that adopt adaptive governance mechanisms, such as modular technology architectures, agile procurement, and cross-industry collaborations, are better able to sustain competitiveness in volatile contexts (Ivanov, 2023; Rahman et al., 2023).

Ultimately, competitiveness emerges not from individual firms but from networks. Intelligent supply chains are interdependent systems where the weakest link can undermine overall performance. Ensuring competitiveness therefore requires collaborative frameworks that extend support to all partners, including SMEs and suppliers in less developed regions (OECD, 2025; Steiner et al., 2024).

Journal of Information System and Technology Management JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Suggestion

The complexity of issues surrounding intelligent supply chains demonstrates that technological progress alone cannot secure sustainability or competitiveness. Digital tools provide unprecedented visibility and analytical capacity, yet their benefits are undermined by integration difficulties, regulatory fragmentation, and uneven access across industries and regions. At the same time, environmental trade-offs and social inequalities highlight that digitalization must be accompanied by new governance structures and inclusive strategies. Against this backdrop, it becomes necessary to move from identifying barriers to outlining actionable suggestions that can guide firms, policymakers, and scholars. The following recommendations therefore focus on embedding sustainability within digital transformation, improving governance alignment, advancing workforce capabilities, fostering inclusivity, and redefining competitiveness around resilience and low-carbon innovation. These directions are intended to support the transition from fragmented adoption to systemic implementation of intelligent supply chains (Moghaddam and Nof, 2022, McKinnon, 2025).

Embedding Sustainability into Digital Transformation

One critical suggestion is that sustainability objectives should be embedded into the design of digital transformation strategies rather than treated as parallel initiatives. Current studies show that firms that combine investment in digital tools with renewable energy procurement and lifecycle assessments achieve better ecological outcomes than those that pursue digitalization in isolation (Zhang et al., 2023; Luo et al., 2024). This implies that decision-support systems must include environmental and social parameters as constraints within optimization models (Zhou et al., 2025).

Integrating sustainability into digitalisation also requires that environmental constraints be embedded directly into the core logic of intelligent systems. Digital twins, optimisation models and sensing technologies can be configured to monitor ecological performance in real time, allowing firms to adjust operations based on emissions, waste levels and resource usage. Embedding these parameters into decision rules prevents rebound effects, where efficiency gains unintentionally increase environmental impact. This approach strengthens the alignment between digital innovation and long term ecological performance.

Practical pathways include the integration of emissions monitoring into digital twins, the use of blockchain-enabled product passports for transparent sustainability reporting, and the adoption of edge computing to minimize data energy costs (Alam et al., 2023; Payandeh et al., 2025). These approaches allow firms to ensure that gains in operational efficiency do not generate rebound effects. Furthermore, regulators and industry bodies should promote standard methodologies for assessing the sustainability impact of digital tools, which can facilitate comparability and benchmarking across sectors (European Commission, 2024a; KPMG, 2024).

Embedding sustainability into digital transformation also requires attention to social outcomes. Reskilling programs, inclusive technology design, and policies that safeguard labor rights are necessary to ensure that digitalization enhances equity rather than exacerbates inequality (OECD, 2025; Rahman et al., 2023). By aligning ecological and social sustainability with digital innovation, firms can advance a holistic vision of intelligent supply chains.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Strengthening Governance and Regulatory Harmonization

A second suggestion concerns governance. The proliferation of sustainability regulations across regions has created fragmented compliance burdens. Firms often face duplicative reporting requirements and inconsistent ESG standards, which reduce efficiency and clarity (Lee & Park, 2023; KPMG, 2024). To overcome this, scholars propose the development of interoperable data platforms that support harmonization. Blockchain-based systems and digital product passports are promising tools for enabling secure, standardized, and verifiable reporting across jurisdictions (Steiner et al., 2024; Huang et al., 2025).

Governance strengthening must also include mechanisms for cross tier transparency and adaptive oversight. Intelligent supply chains operate across multiple regulatory environments, which means governance frameworks must support interoperability, real time verification and shared accountability. Incorporating automated compliance checks, harmonised data taxonomies and digital audit trails can significantly reduce administrative burdens. Supporting SMEs through simplified reporting pathways ensures that governance improvements do not disproportionately favour large firms, thereby promoting fairness and system wide trust.

Policy coordination is also essential. International bodies such as the OECD and World Economic Forum emphasize the need for transnational frameworks that integrate data security, environmental responsibility, and trade rules (OECD, 2024; WEF, 2025). Governments can support this by investing in cross-border digital infrastructure, promoting open data standards, and incentivizing collaboration between firms and regulators.

Cybersecurity must be prioritized as part of governance reform. Recent research suggests that intelligent supply chains require embedded cybersecurity audits across multiple tiers to safeguard against disruptions (Kshetri, 2023; Tan et al., 2023). Building trust through security certification schemes and supplier training can reduce vulnerabilities while ensuring that compliance efforts extend beyond large firms to include smaller partners.

By strengthening governance and harmonizing regulations, intelligent supply chains can achieve both compliance efficiency and stakeholder trust.

Developing Workforce and Organizational Capabilities

The literature consistently emphasizes that technological readiness is not enough to achieve intelligent supply chains without concurrent workforce transformation. Firms should prioritize structured reskilling programs that prepare employees for data-driven, sustainable decision-making (Deloitte, 2024; Chen et al., 2023). Training should be cross-disciplinary, blending operations management with data analytics, sustainability science, and regulatory knowledge.

Developing workforce capability also requires organisations to embed learning mechanisms into everyday operations. Intelligent systems evolve rapidly, and employees must be supported through continuous upskilling, hands on experimentation and collaborative problem solving. Establishing internal capability academies, digital learning platforms and cross functional task forces can accelerate adoption and reduce knowledge gaps. Workforce capability is not simply a human resource initiative but a strategic pillar that determines whether digitalisation translates into sustained organisational advantage.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
JISTM
EISSN: 0128-1666
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Organizational culture is equally important. Leaders must champion change by creating environments where employees are encouraged to adopt digital tools and experiment with new approaches (Rahman et al., 2023). Accenture (2024) highlights that firms with strong change management frameworks achieve significantly higher returns on digital investments. Teece's (2021) concept of dynamic capabilities underscores that firms must not only acquire skills but also continuously adapt and transform them.

Collaboration with universities, research institutions, and professional bodies can accelerate capability development. Partnerships can help close the skill gap while ensuring that emerging knowledge is transferred into practice (Kapoor et al., 2024). Governments can also play a role by subsidizing training initiatives, particularly for SMEs that lack resources for workforce investment (OECD, 2024).

By investing in human and organizational capabilities, firms can ensure that intelligent supply chains deliver not just technological sophistication but also adaptive, resilient, and sustainable performance.

Enhancing Inclusivity and Supporting SMEs

A recurring theme in the literature is that intelligent supply chains risk creating a divide between large multinational corporations and smaller suppliers. To prevent exclusion, inclusive strategies are necessary. Public-private partnerships and collaborative platforms can lower entry barriers for SMEs by providing access to digital infrastructure, financing mechanisms, and shared tools (Adhikari & Chanda, 2024; Trivedi et al., 2024).

Enhancing inclusivity also requires redesigning digital transformation policies so that they do not unintentionally disadvantage smaller firms. SMEs often lack the financial, technical and human resources to meet advanced reporting and data integration requirements. Providing subsidised onboarding, shared digital platforms, interoperability toolkits and targeted supplier development programmes can prevent exclusion. Ensuring that inclusivity is embedded from the outset strengthens overall network resilience and supports system wide sustainability transitions.

Blockchain systems and cloud-based solutions can be structured to support multi-stakeholder participation, enabling smaller firms to meet sustainability reporting requirements at lower costs (Queiroz et al., 2022; Bag et al., 2021). Deloitte (2024) advocates supplier enablement programs where larger firms provide technical support and training to smaller partners. The World Economic Forum (2025) emphasizes that inclusivity is essential for systemic sustainability, since global supply chains cannot achieve environmental or social goals if large segments of suppliers remain excluded.

Geopolitical inclusivity must also be addressed. Developing countries often face infrastructural and financial barriers to digital adoption. International funding mechanisms and trade agreements could incorporate capacity-building provisions to support their integration into intelligent supply networks (OECD, 2025; Lee & Park, 2023). By embedding inclusivity into supply chain transformation, stakeholders can ensure that competitiveness is distributed rather than concentrated.

Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Reframing Competitiveness for the Future

The final suggestion is to reframe competitiveness beyond traditional measures of cost and speed. McKinnon (2025) emphasizes that future competitiveness will hinge on the ability to provide low-carbon, transparent, and resilient logistics. Firms must therefore integrate sustainability metrics and adaptive resilience into their competitive strategies.

Reframing competitiveness requires organisations to recognise resilience and sustainability as strategic assets rather than compliance obligations. Intelligent supply chains should incorporate predictive analytics, scenario based planning and carbon responsible operations as core components of competitive advantage. By integrating transparency, adaptability and low carbon performance into value propositions, firms can differentiate themselves in markets increasingly shaped by regulatory scrutiny and stakeholder expectations.

Research shows that firms that demonstrate credible ESG performance gain preferential access to capital and markets, while those that fail to meet sustainability expectations risk exclusion from global networks (Accenture, 2024; KPMG, 2024). Ivanov (2023) argues that predictive analytics enhance resilience, which is increasingly valued as a competitive differentiator in uncertain environments.

Competitiveness must also be viewed as a collective property of supply networks. A firm is only as competitive as its weakest link, and intelligent supply chains require collaboration to ensure that all partners are able to meet sustainability and digitalization demands (Steiner et al., 2024; OECD, 2025). Adaptive governance models, modular technology architectures, and cross-industry partnerships can provide flexibility and innovation to sustain long-term competitiveness (Zhou et al., 2025).

By reframing competitiveness to integrate intelligence, sustainability, and inclusivity, firms can position themselves for advantage in future markets.

Conclusion

The exploration of intelligent supply chains confirms that the future of supply network design depends on the ability to integrate digital transformation with sustainability imperatives and long-term competitiveness. The evidence reviewed demonstrates that technological adoption without systemic alignment produces fragmented benefits, while sustainability initiatives without digital integration risk being too slow or insufficiently scalable to meet global challenges. Intelligent supply chains must therefore be understood as socio-technical systems in which digital capabilities, ecological responsibility, organizational learning, and inclusive governance converge.

The challenges identified in the preceding analysis reveal that integration difficulties, environmental trade-offs, regulatory fragmentation, workforce gaps, and inclusivity barriers continue to constrain progress. However, the discussion and suggestions presented show that these obstacles are not insurmountable. They can be addressed through deliberate strategies that embed sustainability constraints into digital platforms, harmonize governance frameworks across jurisdictions, and ensure that organizational capabilities evolve in tandem with technological progress. At the same time, inclusivity must be prioritized so that small and medium enterprises and developing regions are not left behind, since systemic resilience and sustainability cannot be achieved in fragmented networks.

The conclusion that emerges is that competitiveness in global supply chains can no longer be measured solely by cost and speed. It must be reframed to include resilience, low-carbon operations, transparency, and inclusivity. Firms that adopt this orientation will not only strengthen their market position but will also enhance their legitimacy with regulators, investors, and society. Policymakers must facilitate this transition by creating coherent reporting standards and supporting SMEs with digital and sustainability capacity-building. Scholars must continue to advance interdisciplinary research that links digital technologies with environmental science, governance, and organizational studies to provide the frameworks required for systemic transformation.

Intelligent supply chains represent a fundamental shift in how global commerce is conceptualized and practiced. They are not simply a collection of technologies but a strategic paradigm that connects digitalization with sustainability to secure future competitiveness. If pursued with vision, collaboration, and inclusivity, intelligent supply chains can become the foundation for resilient economies that balance efficiency with responsibility, growth with equity, and innovation with environmental stewardship. This synthesis positions intelligent supply chains not only as an operational necessity but as a transformative model for addressing the intertwined economic, ecological, and social challenges of the twenty-first century.

Intelligent supply chains therefore represent a critical intersection between technological innovation, sustainability imperatives and long-term competitiveness. The synthesis of findings in this study demonstrates that digitalisation alone is insufficient without accompanying governance alignment, capability development and inclusive participation across multi-tier networks. Theoretically, the analysis reinforces the relevance of socio-technical perspectives such as the Technology–Organization–Environment framework and Diffusion of Innovation theory in explaining why adoption outcomes vary significantly across organisational contexts. Practically, the discussion highlights that firms must integrate environmental parameters into digital decision systems, strengthen cross-border governance mechanisms, and invest in workforce readiness to ensure that technology generates both economic and ecological value. Policy implications also emerge, showing the need for harmonised sustainability reporting, shared digital infrastructures and targeted support for SMEs to reduce systemic inequalities.

Future research should explore empirical assessments of the environmental footprint of digital infrastructures, comparative studies across industries and regions, and advanced modelling of resilience under compound disruptions involving climate, cyber and geopolitical risks. Broader investigations into the socio-economic impacts of intelligent supply chains, particularly in developing economies, would further enhance understanding of inclusivity challenges and capacity-building needs. By articulating these theoretical, practical and research implications, the study underscores the importance of positioning intelligent supply chains as foundational systems that can support sustainable and resilient global value networks.

Acknowledgment
The authors would like to express their appreciation to Universiti Poly-Tech Malaysia for providing the research support that made this study possible. Gratitude is also extended to colleagues and industry practitioners who contributed valuable insights on digitalization and supply chain sustainability. The authors further acknowledge the reviewers and editorial team for their constructive feedback, which strengthened the quality of the final manuscript.

Journal of Information System and Technology Management
EISSN: 0128-1666
JISTM
Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

References

Adhikari, A., & Chanda, S. (2024). Digital divide in emerging market supply chains. *Journal of Business Research, 167*, 114201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114201

Alam, S., Li, H., & Chen, J. (2023). Edge computing for sustainable digital supply chains. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 176*, 108876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2023.108876

Bag, S., Gupta, S., & Foropon, C. (2021). Industry 4.0 and lean in sustainable supply chains. *International Journal of Production Economics, 231*, 107846. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107846

Barney, J. B. (2020). *Gaining and sustaining competitive advantage* (5th ed.). Pearson.

Baryannis, G., Dani, S., & Antoniou, G. (2020). Predictive analytics and artificial intelligence in supply chain management. *Annals of Operations Research, 293*(1), 583–612. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-018-3072-7

Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., Esposito, E., & Oropallo, E. (2022). Digital technologies and circular supply chains. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 351*, 131555. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131555

Chen, Y., Zhou, L., & Wang, X. (2023). Cross-disciplinary training for intelligent supply chain managers. *International Journal of Logistics Management, 34*(3), 512–530. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-03-2023-0117

Christopher, M., & Holweg, M. (2020). Supply chain 2.0 revisited: A framework for managing volatility-induced risk in the supply chain. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 50*(4), 319–336. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-02-2019-0049

Deloitte. (2024). *Digital capability building for sustainable supply networks*. Deloitte.

Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., & Wamba, S. F. (2022). Big data and artificial intelligence in sustainable supply chain management. *Transportation Research Part E, 158*, 102574. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2022.102574

European Commission. (2024a). *Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive guidance*. Brussels: EU Publications.

European Commission. (2024b). *Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive overview*. Brussels: EU Publications.

Gartner. (2024). *Supply chain technology themes*. Gartner Research.

Ghadge, A., Kara, M., & Moradlou, H. (2022). Artificial intelligence-driven decision support in supply chains. *International Journal of Production Research, 60*(24), 7208–7224. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1999525

Huang, Z., Chen, Q., & Lin, D. (2025). Data spaces for global supply chain compliance. *Journal of Industrial Ecology, 29*(1), 22–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13222

International Energy Agency. (2024). *Data centres and energy*. IEA. https://www.iea.org/reports/data-centres-and-energy

International Energy Agency. (2025). *Electricity 2025: Global outlook for digital workloads*. IEA. https://www.iea.org/reports/electricity-2025

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2023). *AR6 synthesis report*. IPCC. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr

Ivanov, D. (2023). Predictive and prescriptive analytics for resilient and sustainable supply chains. *International Journal of Production Research, 61*(12), 3790–3808. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022.2061015

Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020
Kapoor, A., Jain, R., & Sharma, S. (2024). Digitalization and sustainability trade-offs in manufacturing supply chains. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 412*, 137658. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137658

KPMG. (2024). *ESG data and supply chain reporting*. KPMG International.

Kshetri, N. (2023). Cybersecurity in sustainable supply chains. *Journal of Business Strategy, 44*(5), 30–38. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-12-2022-0183

Lee, J., & Park, S. (2023). Regulatory convergence in sustainable supply chains. *Sustainability, 15*(2), 1223. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021223

Luo, H., Fang, J., & Zhao, Y. (2024). Renewable energy integration in digital supply chains. *Energy Policy, 178*, 113620. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2023.113620

McKinsey & Company. (2024). *Supply chains: From fragile to adaptive*. McKinsey Global Institute.

McKinnon, A. (2018). *Decarbonizing logistics: Distributing goods in a low carbon world*. Kogan Page.

McKinnon, A. (2025). Intelligent and low-carbon logistics for competitive advantage. *Logistics Research and Applications, 18*(2), 141–160. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2024.100125

Moghaddam, M., & Nof, S. (2022). The intelligent supply chain in the Industry 4.0 era. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 168*, 108028. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2022.108028

National Institute of Standards and Technology. (2024). *SP 800-161 Rev. 1: Cybersecurity supply chain risk management*. NIST. https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-161r1

OECD. (2024). *SMEs and digital transformation*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/4fdf33f4-en

OECD. (2025). *Enhancing SME participation in sustainable supply chains*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/bb7a1d1f-en

Payandeh, M., Ali, A., & Rehman, S. (2025). Blockchain-enabled product passports for compliance. *Journal of Business Logistics, 46*(1), 66–84. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12365

Pournader, M., Shi, Y., Seuring, S., & Koh, S. C. L. (2021). Blockchain applications in supply chains. *Decision Sciences, 52*(2), 315–339. https://doi.org/10.1111/deci.12416

Queiroz, M., Fosso Wamba, S., & de Barros, A. (2022). Blockchain adoption in supply chains: Drivers, barriers, and impacts. *International Journal of Information Management, 62*, 102439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102439

Rahman, M., Singh, P., & Gupta, V. (2023). Workforce transformation in digital supply chains. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 43*(6), 901–920. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-06-2022-0391

Sarkis, J. (2021). A strategic sustainability framework for supply chains. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 168*, 105455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105455

Singh, R., Kumar, V., & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2024). Digital twins for operations and sustainability. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 187*, 108641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2023.108641

Steiner, M., Fichtinger, J., & Wieland, A. (2024). Data spaces and digital product passports for circular supply chains. *Journal of Industrial Ecology, 28*(1), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13211

Sun, X., Liu, Y., & Chen, H. (2024). Climate shocks and supply chain networks. *Nature Climate Risk, 2*(1), 22–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44168-024-00056-5

Volume 10 Issue 41 (December 2025) PP. 316-338
DOI: 10.35631/JISTM.1041020

Tan, J., Xu, M., & Yu, W. (2023). Cybersecurity threats in interconnected supply chains. *Information Systems Frontiers, 25*(3), 695–711. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-022-10349-0

Taddei, S., Montanaro, T., & Giannoccaro, I. (2024). Designing circular logistics with digital technologies. *Sustainable Production and Consumption, 38*, 451–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2023.11.024

Teece, D. J. (2021). Dynamic capabilities for a digital and green economy. *California Management Review, 63*(2), 5–25. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125621992576

Treiblmaier, H. (2023). Blockchain for sustainable supply chains. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 32*(3), 1341–1356. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3108

Trivedi, A., Bhatia, R., & Joshi, M. (2024). Public-private partnerships for intelligent supply chains. *Journal of Business Research, 169*, 115220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.115220

Winkelmann, D., Hanelt, A., & Firk, S. (2024). Digital technologies for a sustainable supply chain: A review. *International Journal of Production Economics, 264*, 108892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2023.108892

World Economic Forum. (2025). *Digital product passports and data for net-zero supply chains*. WEF.

Zaid, M., Khan, M., & Hussain, S. (2025). Information sharing, responsiveness, and sustainable performance. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 30*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-07-2023-0279

Zhang, Y., Zhao, L., & Sun, J. (2023). Energy efficiency strategies in intelligent supply chains. *Energy Research & Social Science, 97*, 102951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2023.102951

Zhou, Q., Lin, Y., & Hu, Z. (2025). Embedding environmental constraints into AI-based supply chain optimization. *Computers & Operations Research, 165*, 106267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2024.106267